# Introduction to Revenue Management: Flight Leg Revenue Optimization 

### 16.75 Airline Management

Dr. Peter P. Belobaba

April 19, 2006

## Lecture Outline

1. Airline Revenue Maximization

- Pricing vs. Yield (Revenue) Management

2. Computerized RM Systems

- RM System in ePODS

3. Single-leg Fare Class Seat Allocation Problem

- Partitioned vs. Serial Nesting of Booking Classes
- Deterministic vs. Probabilistic Demand

4. EMSRb Model for Seat Protection

- Example of Calculations


## 1. Airline Revenue Maximization

- Two components of airline revenue maximization:

Differential Pricing:

- Various "fare products" offered at different prices for travel in the same O-D market


## Yield Management (YM):

- Determines the number of seats to be made available to each "fare class" on a flight, by setting booking limits on low fare seats
- Typically, YM takes a set of differentiated prices/products and flight capacity as given:
- With high proportion of fixed operating costs for a committed flight schedule, revenue maximization to maximize profits


## Why Call it "Yield Management"?

- Main objective of YM is to protect seats for laterbooking, high-fare business passengers.
- YM involves tactical control of airline's seat inventory:
- But too much emphasis on yield (revenue per RPM) can lead to overly severe limits on low fares, and lower overall load factors
- Too many seats sold at lower fares will increase load factors but reduce yield, adversely affective total revenues
- Revenue maximization is proper goal:
- Requires proper balance of load factor and yield
- Many airlines now refer to "Revenue Management" (RM) instead of "Yield Management"


## Seat Inventory Control Approaches

EXAMPLE: 2100 MILE FLIGHT LEG
CAPACITY $=\mathbf{2 0 0}$

| NUMBER OF SEATS SOLD: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FARE CLASS | AVERAGE REVENUE | YIELD <br> EMPHASIS | LOAD FACTOR EMPHASIS | REVENUE EMPHASIS |
| Y | \$420 | 20 | 10 | 17 |
| B | \$360 | 23 | 13 | 23 |
| H | \$230 | 22 | 14 | 19 |
| V | \$180 | 30 | 55 | 37 |
| Q | \$120 | 15 | 68 | 40 |
|  | PASSENGERS | 110 | 160 | 136 |
|  | FACTOR | 55\% | 80\% | 68\% |
|  | REVENUE | \$28,940 | \$30,160 | \$31,250 |
|  | AGE FARE | \$263 | \$189 | \$230 |
|  | (CENTS/RPM) | 12.53 | 8.98 | 10.94 |

## Revenue Management Techniques

- Overbooking
- Accept reservations in excess of aircraft capacity to overcome loss of revenues due to passenger "no-show" effects
- Fare Class Mix (Flight Leg Optimization)
- Determine revenue-maximizing mix of seats available to each booking (fare) class on each flight departure
- Traffic Flow (O-D) Control (Network Optimization)
- Further distinguish between seats available to short-haul (one-leg) vs. long-haul (connecting) passengers, to maximize total network revenues
- Currently under development by some airlines


## 2. Computerized RM Systems

- Size and complexity of a typical airline's seat inventory control problem requires a computerized RM system
- Consider a US Major airline with:

2000 flight legs per day
10 booking classes 300 days of bookings before departure

- At any point in time, this airline's seat inventory consists of 6 million booking limits:
- This inventory represents the airline's potential for profitable operation, depending on the revenues obtained
- Far too large a problem for human analysts to monitor alone


## Typical 3rd Generation RM System

- Collects and maintains historical booking data by flight and fare class, for each past departure date.
- Forecasts future booking demand and no-show rates by flight departure date and fare class.
- Calculates limits to maximize total flight revenues:
- Overbooking levels to minimize costs of spoilage/denied boardings
- Booking class limits on low-value classes to protect high-fare seats
- Interactive decision support for RM analysts:
- Can review, accept or reject recommendations


## Example of Third Generation RM System



## Dynamic Revision and Intervention

- RM systems revise forecasts and re-optimize booking limits at numerous "checkpoints" of the booking process:
- Monitor actual bookings vs. previously forecasted demand
- Re-forecast demand and re-optimize at fixed checkpoints or when unexpected booking activity occurs
- Can mean substantial changes in fare class availability from one day to the next, even for the same flight departure
- Substantial proportion of fare mix revenue gain comes from dynamic revision of booking limits:
- Human intervention is important in unusual circumstances, such as "unexplained" surges in demand due to special events


## Current State of RM Practice

- Most of the top 25 world airlines (in terms of revenue) have implemented 3rd generation RM systems.
- Many smaller carriers are still trying to make effective use of leg/fare class RM
- Lack of company-wide understanding of RM principles
- Historical emphasis on load factor or yield, not revenue
- Excessive influence and/or RM abuse by dominant sales and marketing departments
- Issues of regulation, organization and culture
- About a dozen leading airlines are looking toward network O-D control development and implementation
- These carriers could achieve a 2-5 year competitive advantage with advanced revenue management systems


## "Vanilla" RM System in ePODS

- Airlines' RM systems forecast fare class demand for each flight leg departure:
- Simple "pick-up" forecasts of bookings still to come
- Unconstraining of closed observations based on booking curve probabilities.
- Optimization is leg-based EMSRb seat protection algorithm:
- Booking limits set for each fare class on each flight leg departure, revised 16 times during booking process.
- No overbooking or no-shows in ePODS.


## Revenue Management Intervention

- ePODS replicates airline RM system actions over time, taking into account previous interventions:
- Previously applied booking limits affect actual passenger loads and, in turn, future demand forecasts
- "Historical" booking data is used to generate forecasts for "future" departures.
- RM system only uses data available from past observations.


## PODS Simulation: Basic Schematic



## 3. Single-Leg Seat Allocation Problem

- Given for a future flight leg departure:
- Total booking capacity of (typically) the coach compartment
- Several fare (booking) classes that share the same inventory of seats in the compartment
- Forecasts of future booking demand by fare class
- Revenue estimates for each fare (booking) class
- Objective is to maximize total expected revenue:
- Allocate seats to each fare class based on value


## Partitioned vs. Serial Nesting

- In a partitioned CRS inventory structure, allocations to each booking class are made separately from all the other classes.
- EXAMPLE (assuming uncertain demand):
- Given the following allocations for each of 3 classes--Y $=30, B=$ $40, M=70$ for an aircraft coach cabin with booking capacity = 140.
- If 31 Y customers request a seat, the airline would reject the $31^{\text {st }}$ request because it exceeds the allocation for the Y class
- It is possible that airline would reject the 31st Y class customer, even though it might not have sold all of the (lower-valued) B or M seats yet!
- Under serial nesting of booking classes, the airline would never turn down a $Y$ fare request, as long as there are any seats (Y, B or M) left for sale.


## Serially Nested Buckets



## Deterministic Seat Allocation/Protection

- If we assume that demand is deterministic (or known with certainty), it would be simple to determine the fare class seat allocations
- Start with highest fare class and allocate/protect exactly the number of seats predicted for that class, and continue with the next lower fare class until capacity is reached.
- EXAMPLE: 3 fare classes (Y, B, M)
- Demand for $Y=30, B=40, M=85$
- Capacity = 140
- Deterministic decision: Protect 30 for $\mathrm{Y}, 40$ for B, and allocated 70 for $M$ (i.e., spill 15 M requests)
- Nested booking limits $\mathrm{Y}=140 \mathrm{~B}=110 \mathrm{M}=70$


## EMSRb Model for Seat Protection: Assumptions

- Basic modeling assumptions for serially nested classes:
a) demand for each class is separate and independent of demand in other classes.
b) demand for each class is stochastic and can be represented by a probability distribution
c) lowest class books first, in its entirety, followed by the next lowest class, etc.
d) booking limits are only determined once (i.e., static optimization model)


## EMSRb Model Calculations

- Because higher classes have access to unused lower class seats, the problem is to find seat protection levels for higher classes, and booking limits on lower classes
- To calculate the optimal protection levels:

Define $P_{i}\left(S_{i}\right)=$ probability that $X_{i} \geq S_{i}$, where $S_{i}$ is the number of seats made available to class $i, X_{i}$ is the random demand for class $\mathbf{i}$

## EMSRb Calculations (cont'd)

- The expected marginal revenue of making the Sth seat available to class $i$ is:
$\operatorname{EMSR}_{i}\left(S_{i}\right)=R_{i}{ }^{*} P_{i}\left(S_{i}\right)$ where $R_{i}$ is the average revenue (or fare) from class i
- The optimal protection level, $\pi_{1}$ for class 1 from class 2 satisfies:
$\operatorname{EMSR}_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathbf{P}_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{2}$
- Once $\pi_{1}$ is found, set $B L_{2}=$ Capacity $-\pi_{1}$. Of course, $B L_{1}=$ Capacity (authorized capacity if overbooking)


## Example Calculation

Consider the following flight leg example:
Class Mean Fcst. Std. Dev. Fare

| Y | 10 | 3 | 1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 15 | 5 | 700 |
| M | 20 | 7 | 500 |
| Q | 30 | 10 | 350 |

- To find the protection for the Y fare class, we want to find the largest value of $\pi_{Y}$ for which $\operatorname{EMSR}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{Y}}{ }^{*} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \geq \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}$


## Example (cont'd)

$\operatorname{EMSR}_{Y}\left(\pi_{Y}\right)=1000 * P_{Y}\left(\pi_{Y}\right) \geq 700$

$$
P_{Y}\left(\pi_{Y}\right) \geq 0.70
$$

where $P_{Y}\left(\pi_{Y}\right)=$ probability that $X_{Y} \geq \pi_{Y}$.

- If we assume demand in Y class is normally distributed with mean, standard deviation given earlier, then we can create a standardized normal random variable as $\left(X_{Y}-10\right) / 3$.


## Probability Calculations

- Next, we use Excel or go to the Standard Normal Cumulative Probability Table for different "guesses" for $\pi_{\mathrm{Y}}$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } \pi_{Y}=7, \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left(X_{Y}-10\right) / 3 \geq(7-10) / 3\right\}=0.841 \\
& \text { for } \pi_{Y}=8, \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left(X_{Y}-10\right) / 3 \geq(8-10) / 3\right\}=0.747 \\
& \text { for } \pi_{Y}=9, \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left(X_{Y}-10\right) / 3 \geq(9-10) / 3\right\}=0.63
\end{aligned}
$$

- So, we can see that $\pi_{Y}=8$ is the largest integer value of $\pi_{Y}$ that gives a probability $\geq 0.7$ and therefore we will protect 8 seats for Y class!


## Joint Protection for Classes 1 and 2

- How many seats to protect jointly for classes 1 and 2 from class 3?
- The following calculations are necessary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{1,2}=\overline{X_{1}}+\overline{X_{2}} \\
& \hat{\sigma}_{1,2}=\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}+\hat{\sigma}_{2}^{2}} \\
& R_{1,2}=\frac{R_{1} * \bar{X}_{1}+R_{2} * \overline{X_{2}}}{\overline{X_{1,2}}} \\
& P_{1,2}(S)=\operatorname{Pr} o b\left(X_{1}+X_{2}>S\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Protection for Y+B Classes

- To find the protection for the $Y$ and $B$ fare classes from $M$, we want to find the largest value of $\pi_{Y B}$ that makes

$$
\operatorname{EMSR}_{\mathrm{YB}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{YB}} * \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{YB}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}\right) \geq \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{M}}
$$

- Intermediate Calculations:

$$
R_{Y B}=(10 * 1000+15 * 700) /(10+15)=820
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{Y, B}=\bar{X}_{Y}+\bar{X}_{B}=10+15=25 \\
& \hat{\sigma}_{Y, B}=\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}+\hat{\sigma}_{B}^{2}}=\sqrt{3^{2}+5^{2}}=\sqrt{34}=5.83
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Joint Protection

- The protection level for Y+B classes satisfies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 820 * P_{Y B}\left(\pi_{Y B}\right) \geq 500 \\
& P_{Y B}\left(\pi_{Y B}\right) \geq .6098
\end{aligned}
$$

- Again, we can make different "guesses" for $\pi_{\text {YB. }}$ for $\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}=20$, Prob $\left\{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{YB}}-25\right) / 5.83 \geq(20-25) / 5.83\right\}=0.805$ for $\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}=22$, Prob $\left\{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{YB}}-25\right) / 5.83 \geq(22-25) / 5.83\right\}=0.697$ for $\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}=23$, Prob $\left\{\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{YB}}-25\right) / 5.83 \geq(23-25) / 5.83\right\}=0.633$ for $\pi_{Y B}=24, \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left(X_{Y B}-25\right) / 5.83 \geq(24-25) / 5.83\right\}=0.5675$


## Joint Protection for Y+B

- So, we can see that $\pi_{Y B}=23$ is the largest integer value of $\pi_{\mathrm{YB}}$ that gives a probability $\geq 0.6098$ and therefore we will jointly protect 23 seats for $Y$ and $B$ class from class M!
- Suppose we had an aircraft with authorized booking capacity $\mathbf{8 0}$ seats, our Booking Limits would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B L_{Y}=80 \\
& B L_{B}=80-8=72 \\
& B L_{M}=80-23=57
\end{aligned}
$$

## General Case for Class n

- How many seats to protect jointly for classes 1 through $\mathbf{n}$ from class $\mathbf{n + 1 ?}$
- The following calculations are necessary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\overline{X_{1, n}}}^{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n}{\overline{X_{i}}}^{\prime} \\
& \hat{\sigma}_{1, n}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}} \\
& R_{1, n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} * \bar{X}_{i}}{\bar{X}_{1, n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General Case (cont’d)

- We then find the value of $\pi_{n}$ that makes
$\operatorname{EMSR}_{1, n}\left(\pi_{n}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{1, \mathrm{n}}{ }^{*} \mathbf{P}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$
- Once $\pi_{n}$ is found, set $B L_{n+1}=$ Capacity $-\pi_{n}$

