### MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences

Social Psychology

PHYSICAL /

BIOLOGICAL

9.70

SHAKESPEARE

All the world's a stage,

And all the men and women merely players. They have their exits

and' their entrances, And one man in his time plays many parts, His acts being seven ages.

As You Like It

(II, vii, 139)

Spring Term 2006

ERIKSON

(psychosocial development –

in-contexts)

viewing persons-

# Human Systems: A Selection of Developmental Schemes

Models of individual, family and group development drawn from various sources and adapted by Professor S. L. Chorover (layout by Jovan Ristic)

Note that all stage/age boundaries are approximate. Case-to-case variations are to be expected.

### **MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT**

FRFUD

(psychosexual)

### MODELS OF FAMILY AND GROUP DEVELOPMENT

### FAMILY

Families are transgenerationally produced and propagated human social systems whose main modes of organization and development might reasonably be expected to parallel generally those in other human systems at both the individual and the social levels.

Let's agree to take the prototypical "family life cycle" as a process involving three successive generations, and to identify its beginning with the coming together of two young persons. Having reached, say, the GENITAL STAGE of psychosexual development (as depicted in the columns to the left) they meet at a point in their lives at which (neuro-biopsycho-socio-culturally speaking) both are ready, willing and able to differentiate enough from both their families of origin and their current peers in order to form a family unit of their own. The point at which "boy meets girl" begins a process of courtship and indicates the start of a new family system building process – which may take a variety of trajectories. The following entries trace a typical trajectory from the moment of courtship until the death of both spouses.

### OTHER GROUPS

As this entire document attests, models involving stage or phase theories figure prominently in our efforts to comprehend the organization and development of human social systems at all levels of size and complexity. It should by now be clear that the organization and development process has some generic features – to be expected in all human social systems.

Let us therefore take 9.70 as a close-tohome test case. Parallels to Freud's and Erikson's developmental tasks and crises will be emphasized. Can we identify any of the stages or phases of development through which our own 9.70 collaborative learning system has been and is in process of passing? Please compare and contrast the following account with your experience as a participant/observer in/of the organization and development of the 9.70/03 collaborative learning system. You should also feel free to consider whether this account appears to you to be applicable to the task of understanding the organization and development of other human social systems.

	<b>BIRTH-3 MONTHS:</b>	ORAL PHASE:	INFANCY:	STAGE I:	STAGE I: PREAFFILIATION:
At first the infant, Mewling and puking in the nurse's arms.	human infant exhibits extreme neoteny (is born in a relative state of neurobiological, psychological and soci- ocultural immaturity in comparison to many other mammals); may be early defined by caretakers as "easy" or "difficut"; "fussy" or "calm"; sleeps a lot; its nervous system is anatomically and phys- iologically "incom- plete"; it is relatively insensitive and unre- sponsive to environ- mental changes (nois- es, etc.); early reflexes include sucking, tonic neck, grasping, etc.; head needs to be externally supported; gaze alert	Context/Focus: <b>feed-</b> <b>ing; mouth/breast</b> unable to distinguish between self and other, and between internal and external stimuli/ sensations; net affirma- tive maternal responsi- veness to needs (if con- sistent and neither ex- cessively harsh nor un- duly permissive, encou- rages infant to assume a "psychological set" of trustful passivity = "op- timism"); net negative maternal responsiveness to needs or net incon- sistency is liable to be experienced negatively by the child (nonver- bally) as aversive or painful, thus encour- aging infant to assume a "psychological set" of mistrustful passivity = "pessimism"	SPHERE OF SIGNIFI- CANT SOCIAL RELA- TIONS: infant and primary caretaker (usually bio- logical mother) MAIN DEVELOPMEN- TAL TASK: to get needs (mainly oral/nutritive) met; MAIN DEVELOPMEN- TAL CRISIS: basic trust vs. basic mistrust; FAVORABLE OUT- COME: drive and hope UNFAVORABLE OUT- COME: apathy and fearful- ness; autism; paranoia	The coming together ("engagement?") is an encounter (negotiation process) in which the two individuals must somehow reconcile many and varied traces of their respective (and collec- tive) pasts – including attitudes toward marriage and family that have been shaped by their respective experiences growing up in their own families of origin. Each arrives at this point with more or less different worldviews, val- uesystems and lifestyles. Typically, this part of the process is marked by an interplay of "approach/avoidance" behavior by both partners, and by "family problems" traceable to differ- ences, disparities and disapprovals on all sides. This initial "entry" phase involves the working out of basic trust/mistrust issues. From a structur- al/developmental point of view, can it be seen as a counterpart of the devel- opmental processes described immedi- ately to the left and right of this col- umn?	Consider the situation of an MIT undergraduate student poised, so to speak, at or before the actual point of entry into a particular classroom on the first day of a new spring term at MIT. What social influences are play- ing upon her or him? Has s/he arrived, at least at a provisional deci- sion to consider committing to this class? Given that this one was chosen from among a number of other possi- ble HASS elective classes to attend, are there still likely to be some unresolved commitment issues? How about per- sonal and social demand characteris- tics of the upcoming semester? Is s/he operating under any significant aca- demic/extracurricular constraints/ fore- seeable time conflicts? How (in what ways) and how much (to what extent) is her/his readiness, willingness and ability to act conditioned and con- strained by social influences arising from a tension between having some genuine personal and social interest in being a serious student of the subject

### MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT

FREUD

(psychosexual)

### **MODELS OF FAMILY AND GROUP DEVELOPMENT**

FAMILY

ERIKSON

(psychosocial

in-contexts)

"development –

viewing persons-

### OTHER GROUPS

before us and desiring to pursue, instead, some other competing interests? Are their any other boundary conditions to consider? How well does it fit into your schedule? What is s/he instead)thinking? Is s/he experiencing any approach/avoidance conflict(s)?

page 2 of 6

### PHYSICAL / BIOLOGICAL

### 3-6 MONTHS:

**SHAKESPEARE** 

As You Like It

gaze follows moving objects; eyes focus; smiles; responsive to mother's face, hand/ mouth activity; head erect; social responsiveness; laughter; eyehand coordination; orality; binocularity; sits supported; legs bear weight; reaches; localizes sounds; explores; cries easily

### 6-12 MONTHS:

responds to own image in mirror; babbles; squeals; apprehensiveness with strangers; interest in people & toys; plays "peek-a-boo"; responsiveness to own name and "no!"; moves from supine/sitting to prone; may begin creeping/ crawling; approximates thumb and forefinger; supports own weight; vocalizes "ma" "ba" "da" etc.; social interest; independent movement; may walk with support; possible time of weaning; begins to feed self; explo-ratory behavior, "adventurousness" and self confidence; evinces teething-related discomfort; irritability; excitability; responsiveness; creativity

### 12-18 MONTHS:

vocabulary; negativism; turns pages; climbs; plays; builds; scribbles; runs; hand preference; follows directions; 3-4word phrases; points/ turns toward named objects; dressing ability; alternates between independent and dependent activity; obeys and disobeys instructions STAGE II:

"Marriage" (or its equivalent in terms of "commitment") and the establishment of a common household entails for both partners a transition from a state of relative independence to one of relative interdependence. Ideally (but not invariably) the new relationship is based on trust built up in the course of the preceding set of more or less explicit negotiations. Not atypically, this is a point at which unresolved (and perhaps transgenerationally "inherited") issues of power and control come to the fore. As each partner struggles (in his/her own habitual way) to realign connections/separations involving previous social relations (including families of origin), a further mutually and reciprocally acceptable cycle of conflict and reconciliation of differences necessarily takes place. Among the problems commonly encountered at this stage may be mentioned: residual ambivalence concerning loyalties to families of origin; issues of "commitment," distance regulation, sexual adjustment and disappointed initial expectations.

### STAGE II AT THE POINT OF ENTRY:

Amid continuing approach/avoidance conflicts, one enters the place. Safely seated and beginning to settle in, the prospective participant is now concerned about personal safety and security issues. What will the class will be like? Will it be a fun? Who are the instructors? Who are the other people here? Will the workload be heavy? What will I need to do to get through (or ace) it? How much time and effort will I have to put into it? Will I get what I want out of it (gradewise and otherwise)? Will I have a good learning experience?

Here, as a rule, approach/avoidance conflict gradually gives way to "attentive exploration" with a view toward resolving some of the basic trust/mistrust issues that must be dealt with in making even a provisional commitment to the process of becoming a member of the group. The underlying and frankly self-centered question at this point is, "What's in this for ME?"

Assuming that the goal is to develop a relatively open and cooperative (as compared with closed and competitive) learning situation (which is our stated aim), it is appropriate at this point for leadership: • to allow – indeed, to support – the need for group members to maintain their distance; • to let them approach at their own speed, while at the same time inviting trust; • to facilitate exploration of substantive curricular topics while stimulating/entertaining discussion of commitment issues; • to provide information regarding the

 to provide information regarding the demand characteristics of the situation, goals and objectives. The likelihood of dropouts is quite high here.

page 3 of 6 PMENT

### **MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT**

MODELS	OF	FAMILY	AND	GROUP	DEVELOP
--------	----	--------	-----	-------	---------

SHAKESPEARE As You Like It	PHYSICAL / BIOLOGICAL	FREUD (psychosexual)	ERIKSON (psychosocial)	FAMILY	OTHER GROUPS
	18–36 MONTHS:	ANAL PHASE:	TODDLER:	STAGE III:	STAGE III POWER AND CONTROL:
	continuing postnatal myelinization of spinal cord; capacity for bladder/bowel control	retentiveness/explo- siveness; possessive- ness; anal zone becomes focus in con- nection with "power and control" issues in connection with toilet training and/or matu- ration; child experi- ences pleasure from anal "holding in" and	SPHERE OF SIGNIFI- CANT SOCIAL RELA- TIONS: child and parents; nuclear family MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to learn to hold on and to let go MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL	With the birth of a child, the family undergoes a transition from a dyad to a triad. Here the focus of negotiation shifts to issues of parental interde- pendence in the face of mutual responsibility for the well-being of a highly dependent new family mem- ber. The new parents must concurrent- ly adjust to big changes in their rela- tions with each other as well as their conjoint responsibility for an extreme-	Once their initial commitments to membership in a group have been made, prospective (now provisional) members must come face to face with the reality of the group and begin to negotiate various issues with each other within it (e.g. determining the parameters of their individual and col- lective responses to the demand char- acteristics of the unfolding situation).
		"letting go;" control- ling/appropriately releasing urine and feces and, by exten- sion, hygiene/cleanli- ness become issues; becoming independ- ent requires discipline and self-control;	CRISIS: autonomy and self esteem vs. shame and doubt FAVORABLE OUTCOME: sense of self-control and will power UNFAVORABLE	ly needy infant; common issues at this point in the process include increased feelings of abandonment and/or fear of the loss of the other's love by one or both of the partners.	What is <b>really</b> going on here? Who is in charge? How do I fit into this arran- gement? What is my status/role here? What am I supposed to be doing, thin- king, learning? Do I like it? Notice that these questions are only slightly less self-centered in topic and tone than the basic trust/mistrust questions listed above.
		"learning styles" begin to emerge with self development and interactions with oth- ers sowing seeds of future mental/behav- ioral patterns; for example: in the extreme, either "obses- sive/compulsive" and "impulsive/hyperac- tive" behavior may develop out of early experiences with things and other per- sons (psychoanalysts are thus intensely interested in what they call "object relations" (including relations with both parents & extended family)	OUTCOME: resulting from parental permissiveness: prob- lems in management of aggression; result- ing from parental over-restrictiveness: extreme obedience to authority; conformity; need for approval; shame, doubt; fear of loss of power and con- trol		This is a phase of the process in which members of a group-in formation must needs struggle with each other and with the nominal leadership (where such exists) to arrive at some "definition of the situation" that is reasonable and workable for them, both individually and collectively. Who is to determine the nature and scope of the prevailing rules and goals? The likelihood of drop- outs continues to be quite high here, as is the probability of frankly hostile, ag- gressive, and negative behavior toward the group development process itself and those responsible for imposing it. Not uncommonly, the effort to gain a degree of power and control leads to proposals to formalize the proceedings by enacting rules, regulations, voting procedures, etc. The result of following these leads is invariably the establish- ment of a "zero-sum" game in which every disagreement is "resolved" by ha- ving some winners and some losers. During this rather chaotic phase, a lea- dership aiming for a more "win-win" approach needs to focus on allowing resistance to be expressed and rebel- lion to be developed within limits con- ducive to the protection of individual rights and general safety. In order to be effective, leadership will continue: a) to provide activities conducive to in- creased individual and collective com- petence, b) to clarify (insofar as possi-

### MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT

# page 4 of 6 MODELS OF FAMILY AND CROUP DEVELOPMENT

MO	DELS OF INDIVI	DUAL DEVELOPN	IENT	MODELS OF FAMILY AN	D GROUP DEVELOPMENT
SHAKESPEARE	PHYSICAL /	FREUD	ERIKSON	FAMILY	OTHER GROUPS
As You Like It	BIOLOGICAL	(psychosexual)	(psychosocial development – viewing persons- in-contexts)		ble) the nature, scope and origins of the collaborative learning model, c) to "normalize" the power struggle present- ly going on, and d) to explain why it must be undergone in order to reach achieve the next developmental stage.
	3-6 YEARS:	PHALLIC PHASE:	PRESCHOOLER:	STAGE IV:	STAGE IV: INTIMACY AND TASK ORIENTATION:
	bodily control; both gradual and sudden changes from infantile to juvenile physique, behavior	(note the gender bias implicit in Freud's pre- occupation with male psychosexual develop- ment and penises): ge- nitals become focus; in- terest in sex differences and "where do babies come from?" and "how does the seed get in there?" etc. Freudian "Oedipal complex" pre- sumes sexual identity of boys is significantly shaped by earlier inter- actions with mother; sexualized imagery (if present in sufficient kinds and significant degrees sows seeds of anxiety, hysteria, ques- tions about own sexu- ality; phobias, rigidity; feelings of guilt, sha- me, alienation, awk- wardness, helplessness, and incapacity in inter- personal relations.	SPHERE OF SIGNIFI- CANT SOCIAL RELA- TIONS: family and nursery school or daycare MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to make; to go after, to imitate MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL CRISIS: initiative vs. guilt FAVORABLE OUTCOME: purpose and direction UNFAVORABLE OUTCOME: see column to left	The partial exit of the first child from the immediate world of the family unit and his/her entry into the larger world of the neighborhood, local com- munity and school (or daycare) initi- ates another shift in parental relations. As the child begins to move toward relative independence, both parents and child(ren) continue to participate in sharing (consciously or otherwise) thoughts and feelings, and doing things together. If and when addition- al offspring arrive, problems come to include sibling rivalries and the differ- ential treatment of relatively preferred and rejected children.	Amid continuing normative and mem- bership performance crises (e.g. trust/mistrust; power/control) an unmistakably increasing sense of belonging, interdependency, involve- ment, mutuality and goal orientation begins to emerge. (In the context of past 9.70 classes, this has tended to emerge first and for most individu- als/peers at the level of the study group. At the level of the class-as-a- whole, intimacy and task orientation are more difficult to achieve; they not only usually take much longer to develop but also are somewhat less strong. Activities become increasingly emotion-laden (meaningful) and the focus of the struggle shifts. Instead of being directed against the process and the leadership, it begins to be increas- ingly aimed at the attainment of more substantive goals: e.g. a degree of mastery of the subject matter. There is increasing evidence of individual and collective competence; a growing abil- ity to make plans and to carry them out (albeit not without considerable intellectual and emotional turmoil). In
	6-12 YEARS:	LATENCY STAGE:	YOUNG CHILD:		continuing pursuit of an open and negotiable learning process, the sys-
Then the whining schoolboy, with his satchel And shining morning face, Creeping like a snail Unwillingly to school.	fine muscle control; physical growth rate beginning to slow	extension of object relations beyond fami- ly; sense of personal/social compe- tence engenders and reinforces sense of "self-esteem"; ego identity; frequency and intensity of inci- dents in which family norms are being test- ed (and possibly found wanting) through encounters with social influences arising from community and peer groups; devaluation of parental omnipotence	SPHERE OF SIGNIFI- CANT SOCIAL RELA- TIONS: neighborhood and school MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to make things; to compete and coop- erate with peers MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL CRISIS: industry vs infe- riority FAVORABLE OUTCOME: self-esteem, compe- tence and skill UNFAVORABLE OUTCOME: low self- esteem		tem as a whole should be steered through a supportive and flexible series of tasks and activities while con- stantly discussing and attempting to clarify the thoughts and feelings (both negative and positive) that are being engendered. Power and responsibility for directing the group formation process must continue to be shared in the face of fluctuating individual and collective performance.

esteem

page 5 of 6

### **MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT**

### **MODELS OF FAMILY AND GROUP DEVELOPMENT**

### THER GROUPS

AGE V: DIFFERENTIATION/

evidence of competency grows (in rms both of intimacy and task oriention), the cohesiveness of the system ecomes increasingly apparent as does be somewhat paradoxical fact that roup unity depends on the readiness, rillingness and ability of the member-nip to recognize that their own (for-herly highly problematic) diversity as coursect their own groups and source of their own great and nique strength. Freer expression of noughts and feelings in a social con-ext that demands mutual respect and upport and honest/forthright con-ructive criticism as well as positive eedback leads to a lessened preoccu-ation with power problems ation with power problems. eadership comes to be seen less and ss as the power/responsibility of entifiable "leaders" and serves oves around in ways that are respon-ve both to collective needs and peronal imperatives. The group itself omes to be viewed by its members as more or less distinct compositely nified system with a definite identity. Giving to" the group (and its mem-ers) comes to be regarded as a value complementary to "getting from" em. (Compare with Stage II) nem. (Compare with Stage II) aditions begin to develop; repetitive asks become increasingly regarded as nerely "going through the routine", poperation/collaboration increases at nall group level; more slowly at arge group level; complaints of dissat-faction and "boredom" occasionally surface; but \_ if previous crices have surface; but – if previous crises have een properly negotiated – there is a ossibility for intimacy-building and bal oriented activities to become creasingly effective and sustained.

	NIUL	DELS OF INDIVID	UAL DEVELOPIN		MODELS OF FAMILY AND	) GI
	SHAKESPEARE As You Like It	PHYSICAL / BIOLOGICAL	FREUD (psychosexual)	ERIKSON (psychosocial)	FAMILY	OT
		12-18 YEARS:	PUBERTY:	ADOLESCENT:	STAGE V:	STA INT
	And then the lover, Sighing like a furnace, With a woeful ballad Made to his mistresses eyebrow.	puberty; major growth spurt occurs earlier; gradual/sudden appearance of second- ary sexual characteris- tics; increasing differ- entiation/integration of personal mental and behavioral characteris- tics (attitudes, beliefs and values, actions, practices) characterize "adolescence"	return or reactivation of phallic phase cou- pled with sexual matu- ration; depending on prior experience acquired in earlier stages, narcissistic object love (see Shakespeare's descrip- tion) may or may not become focus	SPHERE OF SIGNIFICANT SOCIAL RELATIONS: peer groups; same and opposite sexes MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to be/become oneself; to accept one- self; to share oneself with others MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL CRISIS: identity vs role confusion	The partial exit of the youngest child from the family and his/her entry into the larger world continues the pattern of partial separations; Children in school bring family beliefs and values and practices into confrontation (and sometimes conflict) with those of the surrounding community with discrep- ancies provoking and increase in ten- sions within the family.	As e term tatic becc the grou willi ship mer a so unic thou text
				FAVORABLE OUTCOME: development of situa- tionally appropriate identity; transition to adulthood; explora- tion, integration or re- pudiation of family cul- ture; sense of devotion and fidelity; satisfacto- ry career choice UNFAVORABLE OUTCOME: inauthen- ticity; delinquency; neurosis; psychosis		supp struct feec pati- Leac less ider incre mov sive sona com a m unif "Giv bers
		18-30 YEARS:	GENITAL STAGE:	YOUNG ADULT:		com
	Then a soldier, Full of strange oaths	peak of physical devel- opment and sexual activity	(early adulthood): depending on prior experience acquired in earlier stages, narcis-	SPHERE OF SIGNIFICANT SOCIAL RELATIONS: adult community apart from family of origin		Trad task mer coop
	and bearded like the pard. Jealous in honor, sudden and quick in quarrel, Seeking the bubble		sistic object love (or its equivalent) may or may not be increasing- ly supplanted by cou- plings involving more mutual and reciprocal	MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to redefine one- self in relation to sig- nificant other(s) (dis- tance regulation)		sma larg isfac resu beer poss
	Seeking the bubble reputation Even in the cannon's mouth.		relations; conflicts between dependence upon and independ- ence from family of origin	MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL CRISIS: intimacy vs. social isolation		goa incre
				FAVORABLE OUTCOME: solidarity with others; love; affiliation		
				UNFAVORABLE OUTCOME: isolation, loneliness; alienation; anomia		

anomie

### **MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT**

FRFUD

(psychosexual)

marital commitment:

social/sexual division of

labor, sharing of child

care and other domes-

MIDDLE ADULTHOOD:

establishment of

involving the

household; issues

tic responsibilities

PHYSICAL /

BIOLOGICAL

30-40 YEARS:

40-50 YEARS:

50-60 YEARS:

### **MODELS OF FAMILY AND GROUP DEVELOPMENT**

page 6 of 6

FAMILY
--------

### **STAGE VI:**

As children reach adolescence, acquire more autonomy and come increasing-ly under the influence of peer group norms; family ties are increasingly tested. Amid conflicts between them and their children, parents revisit or relive their own adolescent conflicts with their parents. This stage or phase begins roughly at the point at which the first child exits the family to estab-lish an independent household. As children individuate and become more independent of and separate from their parents, the latter begin to anticipate the exit from their living unit of the last child.

### **STAGE VII:**

In the (once again dyadic) context of the "empty nest," relations between the parents/spouses are further tested. This phase may be marked by increased or renewed closeness between the parents or it may precipitate what has been called "the twentyyear fracture." The nature and seriousness of family problems at this stage depends in part on the manner in which earlier stages turned out (how well or poorly crises were negotiated). It may also be determined by the emergence of conflict with new sets of "in-laws" acquired through children's marriages, by parental illnesses, retirements and grandparenthoods, etc.

### **STAGE VIII:**

The aging parents. Developments in later years - up to and including the death of one spouse and then the other - not unsurprisingly tend to be shaped by earlier ones.

# STAGE VI: EVALUATION/ TERMINATION:

OTHER GROUPS

Elizabeth Kübler Ross derived from her work with terminally ill patients a conceptual framework within which dying is understandable as a process involving a more or less clearly recognizable (if not entirely invariant) sequence of phases or stages (denial and repression, bargaining, anger, depression, and acceptance).

In its final phase, the life cycle of a human social group may be experienced in a similar way by at least some of its members. To be more precise, members tend to separate from groups in ways that are both dispositionally and situationally influenced. Thus, all other things constant, our approaches to leavetaking tend to be fairly consistent for each of us from one situation to the next. For most people, endings are usually marked by a mixture of feelings, including sad-ness and happiness, resistance and relief. (It deserves note that, for some people, in at least some situations, acquired tendencies toward the denial and repression of feeling may blunt awareness and expression of both negative and positive attitudes toward both the group process and its termination.)

Insofar as possible, time should be taken at the end of a group learning experience to review and evaluate the experience as a whole within the context of a coherent conceptual framework. This will hopefully prove conducive to the development of a valid and reliable basis for "grading" the guality of the performance of the system as a whole and of its constituent subsystems (including study groups and individuals). Evidence of group development (or lack thereof) may be derived from a review and/or re-enactment of attitudes and behavior from the point of entry onward (e.g. compare/contrast journal entries, minutes, etc.) from early and later stages.

... The sixth age shifts into the lean and slippered pantaloon, With spectacles on nose and pouch on side. His youthful hose, well'saved, a world too wide For his shrunk shank, and his big manly voice, Turning again toward childish treble, pipes And whistles in his sound.

SHAKESPEARE

...And then the justice,

In fair round belly

with good capon'

With eves severe

Full of wise saws

and beard of formal

and modern instances.

And so he plays his

lined.

cut,

part.

As You Like It

... Last scene of all, That ends this strange eventful history, Is second childishness, and mere oblivion. Sans teeth, sans eyes, sans everything.

Freud's psychosexual

#### 60-80 YEARS:

development theory hasn't much to say about the lives of sen-

## iors.

LATER ADULTHOOD: OLDSTER: SPHERE OF SIGNIFI-

CANT SOCIAL RELA-TIONS: humankind; ourkind

FRIKSON

(psychosocial)

MATURE ADULT:

SPHERE OF SIGNIFI-

CANT SOCIAL RELA-

comunity and the new

MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL

MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL

CRISIS: generativity vs

FAVORABLE OUTCOME:

ductivity and personal

OUTCOME: stagnation

both vocational pro-

TASK: to provide for

and to nurture:

self-absorption;

UNFAVORABLE

TIONS: the larger

family;

caring;

MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL TASK: to be by virtue of having been; to deal with the prospect of not being

MAIN DEVELOPMENTAL CRISIS: integrity vs despair

FAVORABLE OUTCOME: wisdom; compassion; acceptance

### **UNFAVORABLE**

OUTCOME: loss of interest; denial; rejection; withdrawal from world (grumpy old men; hermits, curmudaeons)

9.68 Affect: Neurobiological, Psychological and Sociocultural Counterparts of "Feelings" Spring 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.