MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Department of Chemistry

5.61 Quantum Mechanics Fall 2013 Problem Set #7

Reading Assignment: McQuarrie 9.1-9.5, 10.1-10.5, Matlab and Linear Algebra Handouts (● = Easier ■= More Challenging ◆=Most Challenging; **≤**=Computer)

Image: A constraint of the course, we will find a very useful connection between quantum mechanical eigenvalue problems and matrix eigenvalue problems. To prepare for that, we want to practice using a computer to solve a not-so-simple matrix eigenvalue problem. Use your favorite software package to compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrix:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \beta & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha \end{array}\right)$$

Be careful to make sure your eigenvectors are orthonormal. Compare the results to the eigenvectors you get for the matrix:

(ά	β	0	0	0	
	β	α	0	0	0	
	0	0	α	β	β	
	0	0	β	α	β	
	0	0	β	β	α	

What differences do you see in the eigenvectors? [Note: if you use Matlab, it will prove useful to write each of these matrices as α times the identity matrix plus β times another matrix. Call this other matrix **M**. You will then need to convince yourself that the eigenvectors of the full matrix are the same as the eigenvectors of **M**.]

2. ♦ As you have been taught in your earlier chemistry classes, the s and p orbitals are not the most appropriate way to think about atomic orbitals in, say, methane. Instead, it is useful to consider sp³ hybrid orbitals:

$$\psi_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2s(\mathbf{r}) + 2p_{x}(\mathbf{r}) + 2p_{y}(\mathbf{r}) + 2p_{z}(\mathbf{r}) \Big]$$

$$\psi_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2s(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{x}(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{y}(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{z}(\mathbf{r}) \Big]$$

$$\psi_{3}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2s(\mathbf{r}) + 2p_{x}(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{y}(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{z}(\mathbf{r}) \Big]$$

$$\psi_{4}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[2s(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{x}(\mathbf{r}) + 2p_{y}(\mathbf{r}) - 2p_{z}(\mathbf{r}) \Big]$$

- a. Just as $\{2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z\}$ are orthonormal, the sp³ hybrid orbitals above are also orthonormal. Go one step toward proving this by showing that ψ_1 is normalized and that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are orthogonal to each other. Note that it is possible to do this without computing any integrals.
- b. Show that for a hydrogen atom the sp³ hybrid orbitals are degenerate with eigenvalue $E_{sp3} = -3.4$ eV. Note that it is possible to do this without computing any integrals.
- c. The salient difference between the sp³ orbitals and the s and p orbitals is that each of the sp³ orbitals points in a particular direction, whereas the hydrogenic orbitals are directionless. For ψ_1 compute the average value of z and show that $\langle \hat{z} \rangle < 0$, so that ψ_1 "points" in the -z direction. Hint: You will want to use reflection symmetry to prove that all but two of the 4x4=16 integrals are zero.
- 3. Suppose you have a potential for which the selection rules dictate that there are only three allowed transitions at frequencies ω_A , ω_B and ω_C respectively. The spectrum for one electron in this potential looks like this:



- a. Now, suppose you have two *non-interacting* electrons in this potential. What will the allowed transitions be? What will the frequencies of these transitions be?
- b. Based on your answers to part a., draw the spectrum for two electrons in this potential.
- c. Now suppose the electrons interact weakly. Sketch how the electron-electron repulsion will change your spectrum. Justify your sketch noting what changes you expect to see in the selection rules and the transition energies.
- 4. For two electrons, the total *z* component of the spin angular momentum for the system is

$$\hat{S}_{z,total} = \hat{S}_{z1} + \hat{S}_{z2}$$

while the total spin operator is given by:

$$\hat{S}_{total}^2 = \hat{S}_{x,total}^2 + \hat{S}_{y,total}^2 + \hat{S}_{z,total}^2 = \left(\hat{S}_{x1} + \hat{S}_{x2}\right)^2 + \left(\hat{S}_{y1} + \hat{S}_{y2}\right)^2 + \left(\hat{S}_{z1} + \hat{S}_{z2}\right)^2$$

a. Show that both

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1s\alpha(1) & 2s\beta(1) \\ 1s\alpha(2) & 2s\beta(2) \end{vmatrix} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1s\alpha(1)2s\beta(2) - 2s\beta(1)1s\alpha(2))$$

and

$$\Psi_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1s\beta(1) & 2s\alpha(1) \\ 1s\beta(2) & 2s\alpha(2) \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1s\beta(1)2s\alpha(2) - 2s\alpha(1)1s\beta(2))$$

are antisymmetric. Show also that $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ are eigenfunctions of $\hat{S}_{z,total}$. What are the eigenvalues in each case?

- b. Show that, while $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ cannot be written in the form $\Psi_{space}\Psi_{spin}$ yet the combinations $\Psi_{\alpha\beta} \pm \Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ can both be cast in the form $\Psi_{space}\Psi_{spin}$.
- c. Verify that the total spin operator can be re-written in terms of raising and lowering operators:

$$\hat{S}_{total}^2 = \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}_2^2 + 2\hat{S}_{1z}\hat{S}_{2z} + \left(\hat{S}_{1+}\hat{S}_{2-} + \hat{S}_{1-}\hat{S}_{2+}\right)$$

- d. Show that neither $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ nor $\Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ is an eigenfunction of \hat{S}_{total}^2 . That is to say, show that neither of these wavefunctions is a total spin eigenstate.
- e. Finally, show that the combinations $\Psi_{\alpha\beta} \pm \Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ are eigenfunctions of both $\hat{S}_{z,total}$ and \hat{S}_{total}^2 .
- f. Note that $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\Psi_{\alpha\beta} \pm \Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ are all degenerate states within the noninteracting electron picture. Comment on why your work above shows that $\Psi_{\alpha\beta} \pm \Psi_{\beta\alpha}$ are more realistic eigenstates of the Hamiltonian.
- 5. •/• Consider a universe where the electron has spin 5/2 instead of spin 1/2.
 - a. Draw the periodic table (up to Halfnium) in this alternate universe.
 - b. What elements would be "noble gases"? Which would be alkali earth elements? What elements would be in the same period as carbon?
 - c. What would the bond order of He_2 be in this universe? What about O_2 ?
 - d. What would the equivalent of the octet rule be in this alternate universe? [Note: you could spend a *lot* of time answering this last question. It is intended to be fun. When it stops being fun, your answer is long enough.]
- 6. The following concern the independent particle model. You may find the following set of Coulomb and exchange integrals useful (energies in eV) :

 $J_{1s1s} = 17.0 Z \qquad J_{1s2s} = 4.8 Z \qquad K_{1s2s} = 0.9 Z \qquad J_{2s2s} = 3.5 Z$ $J_{1s2p} = 6.6 Z \qquad K_{1s2p} = 0.5 Z \qquad J_{2s2p} = 4.4 Z \qquad K_{2s2p} = 0.8 Z$ $J_{2p_{i}2p_{i}} = 3.9 Z \qquad J_{2p_{i}2p_{k}} = 3.5 Z \qquad K_{2p_{i}2p_{k}} = 0.2 Z \qquad (i \neq k)$

a. Using the independent particle model discussed in class, what is the energy difference between the $1s^22p_x^2$ configuration and the $1s^22s^2$ configuration? How do you justify your result?

- b. What is the energy difference between the ground state of Lithium and the spin polarized $1s^22s^2p_z^{\uparrow}$ state? Is this energy about the size you expected?
- c. Use the IPM to compute the ionization potential of B: IP=E(B+)-E(B). Compare your boron result with the ionization potential of Lithium within the IPM. Does this agree with periodic trends?
- d. Finally, compare the ionization potentials computed in part c. with the experimental results [IP(Li)=5.4 eV, IP(B)=8.3 eV] and the answer you would have gotten if you had assumed the electrons do not interact.
- e. Within the IPM, what is the energy difference between a closed shell $1s^22s^22p_x^2$ configuration and a high spin $1s^22s^22p_x^2p_y^2p_z^2$ configuration for carbon? Does this agree with your intuition?

5.61 Physical Chemistry Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.