# Matrix Solution of Harmonic Oscillator 

Last time:

* $\mathbf{T}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{\phi} \mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{a}_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}}\end{array}\right)$
* eigenbasis $|\mathrm{i}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{i}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ni}}\end{array}\right)_{\phi} \quad \mathrm{i}-$ th column of $\mathbf{T}$
* matrix of function of matrix is given by $\mathbf{T f}\left(\mathbf{T}^{\dagger} \mathbf{x T}\right) \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}$
* Discrete Variable Representation: Matrix representation for any 1-D problem

TODAY: Derive all matrix elements of $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$ from [ $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$ ] commutation rule and definition of $\mathbf{H}$.

Example of how one can get matrix results entirely from commutation rule definitions (e.g. of an angular momentum: $\mathbf{J}^{2}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and Wigner-Eckart Theorem)

NO WAVEFUNCTIONS, NO INTEGRALS, ALL MAGIC!
Outline of steps:

1. Assumptions

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline * \mathbf{H}=\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{\mathrm{k} \mathbf{x}^{2}}{2} \\
* \text { eigen basis exists for } \mathbf{H} \\
*[\hat{\mathrm{x}}, \hat{\mathrm{p}}]=\mathbf{i} \hbar \\
* \hat{\mathrm{x}} \text { and } \hat{\mathrm{p}} \text { are Hermitian (real expectation values) } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

2. $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ in terms of $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$
3. $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ in terms of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}$
4. Block Diagonalize $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$
5. Lowest quantum number must exist (call it 0) $\rightarrow$ explicit values for $\left|\mathrm{x}_{01}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|\mathrm{p}_{01}\right|^{2}$
6. Recursion relationship for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$
7. Magnitudes and phases for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$
8. Possibility of noncommunicating blocks along diagonal of $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$ eliminated

See CTDL pages 488-500 for similar treatment.
IN MORE
You will never use this methodology - only the results! ELEGANT NOTATION

1. recall assumptions
2. $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ matrix elements derived from Comm. Rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{H}]=\left[\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k} \mathbf{x}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}(\mathbf{p}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]+[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] \mathbf{p}) } \\
& * *[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]=i \hbar \quad \rightarrow {[\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{H}]=\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} 2 i \hbar=\frac{i \hbar}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathbf{p} } \\
& \mathbf{p}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}}{i \hbar}\right)[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{H}]
\end{aligned}
$$

take matrix elements of both sides, insert completeness between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{H}$

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}}{i \hbar}\right) \sum_{\ell}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \mathrm{H}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \mathrm{x}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

similarly, starting from $[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}]=\left[\mathbf{p}, \frac{1}{2} k \mathbf{x}^{2}\right]=-i \hbar k \mathbf{x}$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\frac{i}{\mathrm{k} \hbar} \sum_{\ell}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \mathrm{H}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \mathrm{p}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

but we know that some basis set must exist where $\mathbf{H}$ is diagonal. Use it implicitly:
$\therefore$ replace $\mathrm{H}_{\ell \mathrm{m}}$ by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}} \delta_{\mathrm{m} \ell}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}}{i \mathrm{~h}}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}\right) \\
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}}{i \hbar}\right) \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\therefore \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}}=0$ (but, in addition, if $\mathbf{H}$ has a degenerate eigenvalue, then $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0$ if $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) similarly for

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\frac{i}{\hbar \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

$$
\therefore \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}}=0\left(\text { and } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0 \text { if } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

3. solve for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ in terms of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}$
multiply the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ equation by $\left.\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}\right\}$ multiply the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ equation by $\left.\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}\right\}$

The LHSs of both resulting equations are equal
equate RHS: $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{i} \hbar} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{i}{\hbar \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

* If $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (degeneracy) - then we already know that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0$
* If $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \neq \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{2}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~km}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}= & \frac{ \pm i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}}{} \\
& \text { THERE IS A PHASE } \\
& \text { AMBIGUITY HERE! }
\end{aligned}
$$

earlier we derived $p_{n m}=\frac{m}{i \hbar} x_{n m}\left(E_{m}-E_{n}\right)$
plug in new result for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{i \hbar}\left( \pm i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

## Either

(OK to divide * thru by $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ )

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}} \neq 0 \text { AND } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}= \pm \hbar(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{m})^{1 / 2} \equiv \pm \hbar \omega!!
$$

OR

$$
* \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0
$$

The only non-zero off-diagonal matrix elements of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ involve eigenfunctions of $\mathbf{H}$ that have energies differing by exactly $\hbar \omega$ !
A "selection rule"! The only nonzero matrix elements of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are those where indices differ by $\pm 1$.

## 4. $\underline{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$ are block diagonalized

In what sense? There is a set of eigenstates of $\mathbf{H}$ that have energies that fall onto the comb of evenly spaced $E_{n}^{(1)}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{n}(\hbar \omega)+\varepsilon_{1}
$$

could be another set

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{n}(\hbar \omega)+\varepsilon_{2} \quad \text { where } \varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \neq \uparrow^{\mathrm{n} \hbar \omega}
$$

but within each set, there must be a lowest energy level


Since $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ have nonzero elements only within communicating sets for $\mathbf{H}$, thus $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$ are block diagonalized into sets I, II, etc.


We will eventually show that all of these blocks along the diagonal are identical (and that each energy level is nondegenerate). If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$ are block diagonal, then $\mathbf{x}^{2}$, $\mathbf{p}^{2}$ are similarly block diagonal.
5. A lowest index must exist within each block. Call it 0 .

but there must be a lowest $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ because

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{V} \text { and } \mathrm{T} \geq 0, \mathrm{E} \geq \mathrm{V}_{\min }
$$

let $\mathrm{n}=0$ be lowest index

$$
\mathrm{p}_{0,-1}=\mathrm{x}_{0,-1}=0
$$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{01} \mathrm{p}_{10}-\mathrm{p}_{01} \mathrm{x}_{10}=i \hbar
$$

$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$ are Hermitian $\left(\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\right)$ thus $\mathrm{x}_{01} \mathrm{p}_{01}^{*}-\mathrm{p}_{01} \mathrm{x}_{01}^{*}=i \hbar$

$$
\text { previously } \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nm}}= \pm i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nm}}
$$

(note that the same symbol is used for mass and basis state index)
we must make phase choices so that $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are Hermitian
phase ambiguity: we can specify absolute phase of $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{p}$ BUT NOT BOTH because that would affect value of $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]$

## BY CONVENTION:

matrix elements of $\mathbf{x}$ are REAL
p are IMAGINARY
$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t r }} \boldsymbol{x}_{01}=+i(k m)^{-1 / 2} p_{01}$ and eliminate $p_{01}$ by plugging this into

$$
\mathrm{x}_{01} p_{01}^{*}-p_{01} x_{01}^{*}=i \hbar
$$

$$
\text { get } \begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{x}_{01}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \\
\left|\mathrm{p}_{01}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{+1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { If we had chosen } \mathrm{x}_{01}=-i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{01} \text { we would have } \\
\text { obtained }\left|\mathrm{x}_{01}\right|^{2}=-\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2} \text { which is impossible! }
\end{array}\right]
$$

two things that must be checked for self-consistency of seemingly arbitrary phase choices at every opportunity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * Hermiticity } \\
& \left.* \quad\right|^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Recursion Relation for $\left|x_{i i+1}\right|^{2}$
start again with general equation derived in \#3 above using the phase choice that worked in \#5 above
index going up


Hermiticity $\quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}}^{*}=i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}}^{*}$
c.c. of both sides
index going down


### 5.73 Lecture \#12

$$
\therefore \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}= \pm i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}
$$

now the arbitrary part of the phase ambiguity in the relationship between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ is eliminated

Apply this to the general term in $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] \Rightarrow$ lots of algebra

NONLECTURE : from four terms in $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]=\mathrm{i} \hbar$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}^{*} & =\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\left(-\frac{(\mathrm{km})^{1 / 2}}{i} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}^{*}\right) \\
& =\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}\left(+i(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}\right) \\
-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}+1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}}=-\left(\frac{(\mathrm{km})^{1 / 2}}{i}\right. & \left.\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}^{*}\right)=\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}\left(+i(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-1 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}^{*} & =\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\left(+\frac{(\mathrm{km})^{1 / 2}}{i} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}^{*}\right) \\
& =\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\right|^{2}\left(-i(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& -\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}-1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1 \mathrm{n}}=-\left(-\frac{(\mathrm{km})^{1 / 2}}{i} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}^{*}\right)=\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\right|^{2}\left(-i(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

combine 4 terms in $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]=\mathrm{i} \hbar$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore & i \hbar=2 i(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}\left[\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}-\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}=\frac{\hbar(\mathrm{km})^{-1 / 2}}{2}+\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \text { but }\left|\mathrm{x}_{01}\right|^{2}=\left|\mathrm{x}_{10}\right|^{2}=\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

each step up produces another additive term: $\frac{\hbar}{2}(k m)^{-1 / 2}$
thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}=(\mathrm{n}+1) \frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \\
& \left|\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}\right|^{2}=(\mathrm{n}+1) \frac{\hbar}{2}(\mathrm{~km})^{+1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

general result
7. Magnitudes and Phases for $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} \pm 11}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$
verify phase consistency and hermiticity for $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$
in \#3 we derived $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}= \pm i(\mathrm{~km})^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn} \pm 1}$
one self-
$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{x} \text { real } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { positive }\end{aligned}$$\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}=+(\mathrm{n}+1)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2}=+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{ln}} \\ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}=+(\mathrm{n})^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2}=+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}\end{array}\right.$
AND
p imaginary with sign flip for up vs. down

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}+1}=-i(\mathrm{n}+1)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\hbar(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{nn}-1}=+i(\mathrm{n})^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\hbar(\mathrm{~km})^{1 / 2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-1 \mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Note that nonzero matrix elements of $x$ and $p$ are always $\propto$ [larger quantum

 number] ${ }^{1 / 2}$This is the usual phase convention
Must be careful about phase choices because one never really looks at wavefunctions, operators, or integrals
8. Possible existence of noncommunicating blocks along diagonal of $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { you show that } H_{n m}=(n+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2}) \hbar\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^{1 / 2} \delta_{n m} \\
& \binom{\text { note that } \mathbf{x}^{2} \text { and } \mathbf{p}^{2} \text { have nonzero } \Delta \mathrm{n}= \pm 2 \text { elements but }}{\frac{1}{2} k \mathbf{x}^{2}+\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2 m} \text { has cancelling contributions in } \Delta \mathrm{n}= \pm 2 \text { locations }}
\end{aligned}
$$

This result implies

* all of the possibly independent blocks in $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{H}$ are identical
* $\varepsilon_{i}=(1 / 2) \hbar \omega$ for all $i$
* degeneracy of all $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ? all $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ must have same degeneracy, but can't prove that it is 1 .

