Last time:

DEFINITION !

J Matrices

starting with $[\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathbf{J}_{j}] = i\hbar \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{J}_{k}$ $\mathbf{J}^{2} |jm\rangle = \hbar^{2} j(j+1) |jm\rangle$ $\mathbf{J}_{z} |jm\rangle = \hbar m |jm\rangle$ $\mathbf{J}_{\pm} = \mathbf{J}_{x} \pm i \mathbf{J}_{y}$ $\mathbf{J}_{\pm} |jm\rangle = \hbar [j(j+1) - m(m\pm 1)]^{1/2} |jm\pm 1\rangle$

nonzero matrix elements and "Condon Shortley" phase choice

$$\langle \mathbf{j'm'}|\mathbf{J}^{2}|\mathbf{jm}\rangle = \hbar^{2}\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{j}+1)\delta_{\mathbf{j'j}}\delta_{\mathbf{m'm}}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{j'm'}|\mathbf{J}_{z}|\mathbf{jm}\rangle = \hbar\mathbf{m}\delta_{\mathbf{j'j}}\delta_{\mathbf{m'm}}$$
$$\langle \mathbf{j'm'}|\mathbf{J}_{\pm}|\mathbf{jm}\rangle = \hbar[\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{j}+1) - \mathbf{mm'}]^{1/2}\delta_{\mathbf{j'j}}\delta_{\mathbf{m'm\pm 1}}$$

 $\left(\boldsymbol{J}^2, \boldsymbol{J}_z, \boldsymbol{J}_x, \boldsymbol{J}_y, \boldsymbol{J}_+, \boldsymbol{J}_-\right)$ all stay within j

all matrix elements of $\mathbf{J}^2, \mathbf{J}_z, \mathbf{J}_x, \mathbf{J}_{\pm}$ are real and positive (only those of \mathbf{J}_y are imaginary)

TODAY: 1. What do the matrices look like for J = 0, 1/2, 1?

- 2. many operators are expressed as an angular momentum times a constant Zeeman example density matrix
- 3. other operators involve things like \vec{q} or products of two angular momenta

Stark effect

Wigner-Eckart Theorem

- * classify operators by commutation rule
- * matrix elements in convenient basis sets
- * transform between inconvenient and convenient basis sets.

$\left[\mathbf{p}_{x},\mathbf{p}_{y}\right]=0$

A student in 1999 suggested that he could find f(x,y) such that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \qquad \qquad \text{Thus} \left[\mathbf{p}_x, \mathbf{p}_y \right] \neq 0!$$

This is possible, but f(x,y) would have to have a form that excludes it as an acceptable $\psi(x,y)$. Typically, the f(x,y) will have to be discontinuous or have discontinuous first derivatives. For all well behaved V(x,y), $\psi(x,y)$ will have continuous first derivatives. The f(x,y) used to prove a commutation rule must be acceptable as a quantum mechanical wavefunction, $\psi(x,y)$. This is a good thing because (see Angular Momentum Handout)

$$e^{-ia\mathbf{p}_x/\hbar}|x_1\rangle = |x_1+a\rangle$$

 $e^{-ia\mathbf{p}_x/\hbar}$ generates a linear translation of +a in x direction.

linear translations commute (but rotations do not)

This is the basis for (or a consequence of) $[\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j] = 0$ $[\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_j] = i\hbar \sum_k \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{J}_k$

Nonlecture

Ε
$e^{-i\mathbf{H}t/\hbar}$
D

e.g. basis set $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$

excite: $\mathbf{E}|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ The "excitation matrix" \mathbf{E} creates equal amplitudes in two excited eigenstates: $2 \xrightarrow{1}$ $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{E}|0\rangle\langle 0|\mathbf{E}^{\dagger}$ evolve: If we are in the eigenbasis of \mathbf{H} $\mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{H}t/\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae^{-i\mathbf{E}_{a}t/\hbar} \\ be^{-i\mathbf{E}_{b}t/\hbar} \\ ce^{-i\mathbf{E}_{c}t/\hbar} \end{pmatrix}$ (translation in time) but otherwise, need $[\mathbf{T}e^{-i\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}t/\hbar}\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}] = \mathbf{U}(t,0)$ $\mathbf{\rho}(t) = \mathbf{T}e^{-i\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}t/\hbar}\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\mathbf{E}|0\rangle\langle 0|\mathbf{E}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{e}^{+i\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}t/\hbar}\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}$ $= \mathbf{U}(t,0)\mathbf{\rho}(0)\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}(t,0)$

detect:

the "detection matrix"

$$\langle \mathbf{D} \rangle_t = \operatorname{Trace}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{D})$$

Building Blocks

D

Many QM operators have the form $f(\mathbf{J})$ $\mathbf{H}^{\text{Zeeman}} = -\gamma \vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{J} \quad (\vec{\mathbf{B}} \text{ is magnetic field})$ e.g. Zeeman effect Others have the form $f(\vec{q})$ $\mathbf{H}^{\text{Stark}} = \mathbf{e}\vec{\mathbf{E}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{q}}$ ($\vec{\mathbf{E}}$ is electric field) e.g. Stark effect Others have the form of $f(\mathbf{J}_1, \mathbf{J}_2)$ e.g. spin - orbit $\mathbf{H}^{SO} = a\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$

We are going to want to be able to write matrix representations of these operators.

Let us begin by writing matrices for \mathbf{J}^2 , \mathbf{J}_z , \mathbf{J}_x , \mathbf{J}_y , \mathbf{J}_+ , \mathbf{J}_- .

only basis state is $|jm\rangle = |00\rangle$ h = 0 1×1 matrix $\mathbf{J}^2|00\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right)$ same for all components j = 1/2 $\left|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ 2×2 matrices $\mathbf{J}^{2^{(1/2)}} = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J}^2 \Big| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \Big\rangle = \hbar^2 \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \Big) \Big| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \Big\rangle$ $\mathbf{J}^{(1/2)}_z = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{e.g.} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{J}^{(1/2)}_+ \Big| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \Big\rangle = 0$ $\mathbf{J}_{+}^{(1/2)} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J}_{+}^{(1/2)} \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle = \hbar \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$ evised November 15, 2001

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{-}^{(1/2)} &= \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{J}_{x}^{(1/2)} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J}_{+} + \mathbf{J}_{-}) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{J}_{y}^{(1/2)} &= \frac{1}{2i} (\mathbf{J}_{+} - \mathbf{J}_{-}) = -\frac{i}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{verify that } \mathbf{J}^{2} &= \mathbf{J}_{x}^{2} + \mathbf{J}_{y}^{2} + \mathbf{J}_{z}^{2} \\ \mathbf{J}_{x}^{2} &= \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{J}_{y}^{2} &= \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

An amazing amount of insight gained from this complete set of 2×2 matrices

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{x}^{(1/2)} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{y}^{(1/2)} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{z}^{(1/2)}$$

3 matrices with eigenvalues ± 1

СЛ	DL, pages 417-454
1.	Pauli Matrices
2.	Diagonalization of 2×2
3.	Geometric interpretation of 2×2
	$\pmb{\rho}~$ in terms of fictitious spin 1/2
4.	spin 1/2 p
5.	magnetic resonance

What is $[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_x, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_y] = ?$



This provides a basis for taking apart the dynamics of an arbitrary $2 \times 2 \rho$ into dynamics of *x*, *y*, *z* fictitious spin-1/2 components. Beat the S = 1/2 Zeeman problem to death and use it as basis for understanding dynamics of any 2×2 space.

24 - 6

J = 1 A set of 3×3 matrices

$$\mathbf{J}^{2^{(1)}} = 2\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)}_z = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)}_+ = 2^{1/2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)}_- = 2^{1/2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)}_x = 2^{-1/2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)}_y = 2^{-1/2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\mathbf{J}_{+}^{(1)} |11\rangle = \mathbf{0}$

For 2×2 problem (e.g. J = 1/2), needed 4 independent 2×2 matrices (because there are 4 elements in a 2×2 matrix) to represent arbitrary 2×2 matrix.

for 3×3 problem, need 9 independent 3×3 matrices

(x,y,z, x^2 , y^2 , z^2 , xy,xz,yz) (because there are 9 elements in a 3 \times 3 matrix) actually scalar (I), vector, tensor s+p+d

[for 2×2 it was s + p = 4].

Can you write out each of the $J^{(3/2)}$ matrices (16 4 \leftrightarrow 4 matrices)?

 9.3×3 basis matrices is not nearly so nice as the 4 basis matrices for 2×2 problem. But this turns out to be what is needed to "understand" and picture spin = 1 systems.

similarly for j = 3/2, 2, etc.

There are 2 lovely consequences of being able to take an arbitrary matrix and rewrite it as sum of **J** matrices.

1. If **M** is the matrix of an operator – a term in the Hamiltonian – then it is clear that this operator may be re-expressed as a sum of operators, each of which behaves exactly like a (combination of) component(s) of **J** – evaluated in the $|jm\rangle$ basis set.

$$\mathbf{M}^{(j)} = a_0 \mathbf{I} + \sum_i a_{li} \mathbf{J}_i \mathbf{J}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} c_{3ijk} \mathbf{J}_i \mathbf{J}_j \mathbf{J}_k$$

basis for classification of operators into $T_m^{(k)}$

and Wigner- Eckart Theorem for evaluation of matrix elements.

2. especially for 2 level systems, if $M = \rho$ and \vec{a} is defined from M as on page 24-6, then we have a vector picture to understand preparation, evolution, detection



 $\pi/2$ pulse

evolution of vector, fictitious B-fields



revised November 15, 2001

Now let's do some J = 1 examples

Zeeman effect for an $\ell = 1$, (*p* orbital) state



$$= -\hbar \gamma B_{z}$$



Looked at 2 cases:

1. pure state
$$|11\rangle$$
, B || z $E = -\gamma B_z \hbar$
2. mixed state $2^{-1/2} (|11\rangle + \langle 10|)$, B || z $E = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma B_z \hbar$

always mixed state gives time independent $\langle E\rangle$ NMR: oscillating $B_x, B_y, cw \ B_z$

Stark Effect: Electric field

classical $E \propto \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot (\vec{q}_{e^-} - \vec{q}_{p^+}) \approx \varepsilon_z z$

so we will need matrix elements of x, y, z in $|jm\rangle$ basis set. How?

Based on $[z, L_j] = -i\hbar \sum_k \varepsilon_{zjk} q_k$ vector operator definition — later

Other angular momenta

- 1. ℓ electron orbital ang. mom.
- 2. s electron spin
- 3. I nuclear spin

These separate angular momenta interact with each other spin-orbit: $\zeta(\mathbf{r})\boldsymbol{\lambda}\cdot\mathbf{s}$

Zeeman: $-\gamma B_z (\mathbf{L}_z + g_s \mathbf{S}_z + g_l \mathbf{I}_z)$ hyperfine: a I • S

coupled and uncoupled basis sets: $|\ell m_{\ell}\rangle|sm_{s}\rangle \leftrightarrow |j\ell sm_{i}\rangle$

24 - 12

<u>case (3)</u>

same
$$\Psi(0) = 2^{-1/2} (|11\rangle + |10\rangle)$$

but **H** is for $\vec{B} \parallel x$

$$\mathbf{H} = -\gamma B_x \hbar 2^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Something subtle is intentionally wrong here. Can you find it?

