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## Review - Last Lecture

- Introduction to Discrete Choice Analysis
- A simple example - route choice
- The Random Utility Model
- Systematic utility
- Random components
- Derivation of the Probit and Logit models
- Binary Probit
- Binary Logit
- Multinomial Logit


## Outline - This Lecture

- Model specification and estimation
- Aggregation and forecasting
- Independence from Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA) property Motivation for Nested Logit
- Nested Logit - specification and an example
- Appendix:
- Nested Logit model specification
- Advanced Choice Models


## Specification of Systematic Components

- Types of Variables
- Attributes of alternatives: $Z_{i n}$, e.g., travel time, travel cost
- Characteristics of decision-makers: $S_{n}$, e.g., age, gender, income, occupation
- Therefore: $X_{i n}=h\left(Z_{i n}, S_{n}\right)$
- Examples:
- $X_{\text {in } 1}=Z_{\text {in } 1}=$ travel cost
- $X_{\text {in2 }}=\log \left(Z_{\text {in2 }}\right)=\log$ (travel time)
- $X_{i n 3}=Z_{i n 1} / S_{n 1}=$ travel cost / income
- Functional Form: Linear in the Parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i n}=\beta_{1} X_{i n 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i n 2}+\ldots+\beta_{k} X_{i n k} \\
& V_{j n}=\beta_{1} X_{j n 1}+\beta_{2} X_{j n 2}+\ldots+\beta_{k} X_{j n k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data Collection

- Data collection for each individual in the sample:
- Choice set: available alternatives
- Socio-economic characteristics
- Attributes of available alternatives
- Actual choice

| $n$ | Income | Auto Time | Transit Time | Choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 35 | 15.4 | 58.2 | Auto |
| 2 | 45 | 14.2 | 31.0 | Transit |
| 3 | 37 | 19.6 | 43.6 | Auto |
| 4 | 42 | 50.8 | 59.9 | Auto |
| 5 | 32 | 55.5 | 33.8 | Transit |
| 6 | 15 | N/A | 48.4 | Transit |

## Model Specification Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\text {auto }}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} T T_{\text {auto }}+\beta_{2} \operatorname{In}(\text { Income }) \\
& V_{\text {transit }}=\quad \beta_{1} T T_{\text {transit }}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\beta_{0}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto | 1 | $T T_{\text {auto }}$ | $\operatorname{In}($ Income $)$ |
| Transit | 0 | $T T_{\text {transit }}$ | 0 |

## Probabilities of Observed Choices

- Individual 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\text {auto }}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} 15.4+\beta_{2} \ln (35) \\
& V_{\text {transit }}=\beta_{1} 58.2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P(\text { Auto })=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+15.4 \beta_{1}+\ln (35) \beta_{2}}}{e^{\beta_{0}+15.4 \beta_{1}+\ln (35) \beta_{2}}+e^{58.2 \beta_{1}}}
$$

- Individual 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\text {auto }}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} 14.2+\beta_{2} \ln (45) \\
& V_{\text {transit }}=\beta_{1} 31.0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P(\text { Transit })=\frac{e^{31.0 \beta_{1}}}{e^{\beta_{0}+14.2 \beta_{1}+\ln (45) \beta_{2}}+e^{31.0 \beta_{1}}}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Find the values of $\beta$ that are most likely to result in the choices observed in the sample:
$-\max L^{*}(\beta)=P_{1}$ (Auto) $P_{2}\left(\right.$ Transit) $\ldots P_{6}$ (Transit)
- If $y_{i n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, \text { if person } n \text { chose alternative } i \\ 0, \text { if person } n \text { chose alternative } j\end{array}\right.$
- Then we maximize, over choices of $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \ldots, \beta_{k}\right\}$, the following expression:

$$
L^{*}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \quad \beta_{k}\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{N} P_{n}(i)^{y_{i n}} P_{n}(j)^{y_{j n}}
$$

- $\beta^{*} \quad=\arg \max _{\beta} L^{*}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{k}\right)$
$=\arg \max _{\beta} \log L^{*}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{k}\right)$


## Sources of Data on User Behavior

- Revealed Preferences Data
- Travel Diaries
- Field Tests
- Stated Preferences Data
- Surveys
- Simulators


## Stated Preferences / Conjoint Experiments

- Used for product design and pricing
- For products with significantly different attributes
- When attributes are strongly correlated in real markets
- Where market tests are expensive or infeasible
- Uses data from survey "trade-off" experiments in which attributes of the product are systematically varied
- Applied in transportation studies since the early 1980 s


## Aggregation and Forecasting

- Objective is to make aggregate predictions from
- A disaggregate model, $P\left(i \mid X_{n}\right)$
- Which is based on individual attributes and characteristics, $X_{n}$
- Having only limited information about the explanatory variables


## The Aggregate Forecasting Problem

- The fraction of population $T$ choosing alt. $i$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(i) & =\int_{X} P(i \mid X) p(X) d X, p(X) \text { is the density function of } X \\
& =\frac{1}{N_{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{T}} P\left(i \mid X_{n}\right), N_{T} \text { is the \# in the population of interest }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Not feasible to calculate because:
- We never know each individual's complete vector of relevant attributes
- $\quad p(X)$ is generally unknown
- The problem is to reduce the required data


## Sample Enumeration

- Use a sample to represent the entire population
- For a random sample:
$\hat{W}(i)=\frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{n}} \hat{P}\left(i \mid x_{n}\right)$ where $N_{s}$ is the \# of obs. in sample
- For a weighted sample:

$$
\hat{W}(i)=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{s}} \frac{w_{n}}{\sum_{n} w_{n}} \hat{P}\left(i \mid x_{n}\right), \text { where } \frac{1}{w_{n}} \text { is } x_{n} \text { 's selection prob. }
$$

- No aggregations bias, but there is sampling error


## Disaggregate Prediction



## Generating Disaggregate Populations



## Review

- Empirical issues
- Model specification and estimation
- Aggregate forecasting
- Next...More theoretical issues
- Independence from Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA) property Motivation for Nested Logit
- Nested Logit - specification and an example


## Summary of Basic Discrete Choice Models

- Binary Probit:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{n}\left(i \mid C_{n}\right)=\Phi\left(V_{n}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{V_{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}} d \varepsilon
$$

- Binary Logit:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{n}\left(i \mid C_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-V_{n}}}=\frac{e^{V_{i n}}}{e^{V_{i n}}+e^{V_{j n}}}
$$

- Multinomial Logit:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{n}\left(i \mid C_{n}\right)=\frac{e^{V_{i n}}}{\sum_{j \in C_{n}} e^{V_{j n}}}
$$

## Independence from Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA)

- Property of the Multinomial Logit Model
$-\varepsilon_{j n}$ independent identically distributed (i.i.d.)
$-\varepsilon_{j n} \sim \operatorname{ExtremeValue}(0, \mu) \quad \forall j$
$-\mathrm{P}_{n}\left(i \mid C_{n}\right)=\frac{e^{\mu V_{i n}}}{\sum_{j \in C_{n}} e^{\mu V_{j n}}}$
so $\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(i \mid C_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(j \mid C_{1}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(i \mid C_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(j \mid C_{2}\right)} \quad \forall i, j, C_{1}, C_{2}$
such that $i, j \in C_{1}, i, j \in C_{2}, C_{1} \subseteq C_{n}$ and $C_{2} \subseteq C_{n}$


## Examples of IIA

- Route choice with an overlapping segment


$$
P(1 \mid\{1,2 a, 2 b\})=P(2 a \mid\{1,2 a, 2 b\})=P(2 b \mid\{1,2 a, 2 b\})=\frac{e^{\mu T}}{\sum_{j \in\{1,2 a, 2 b\}} e^{\mu T}}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

## Red Bus / Blue Bus Paradox

- Consider that initially auto and bus have the same utility
- $C_{n}=\{$ auto, bus $\}$ and $V_{\text {auto }}=V_{\text {bus }}=V$
- $P$ (auto) $=P$ (bus) $=1 / 2$
- Suppose that a new bus service is introduced that is identical to the existing bus service, except the buses are painted differently (red vs. blue)
$-C_{n}=\{$ auto, red bus, blue bus $\} ; V_{\text {red bus }}=V_{\text {blue bus }}=V$
- Logit now predicts
$P($ auto $)=P($ red bus $)=P($ blue bus $)=1 / 3$
- We'd expect
$P($ auto $)=1 / 2, P($ red bus $)=P($ blue bus $)=1 / 4$


## IIA and Aggregation

- Divide the population into two equally-sized groups: those who prefer autos, and those who prefer transit
- Mode shares before introducing blue bus:

| Population | Auto Share | Red Bus Share |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto people | $90 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{auto}) / \mathrm{P}($ red bus $)=9$ |
| Transit people | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{auto}) / \mathrm{P}($ red bus $)=1 / 9$ |
| Total | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

- Auto and red bus share ratios remain constant for each group after introducing blue bus:

| Population | Auto Share | Red Bus Share | Blue Bus Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto people | $81.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Transit people | $5.2 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 5 \%}$ |

## Motivation for Nested Logit

- Overcome the IIA Problem of Multinomial Logit when
- Alternatives are correlated (e.g., red bus and blue bus)
- Multidimensional choices are considered (e.g., departure time and route)


## Tree Representation of Nested Logit

- Example: Mode Choice (Correlated Alternatives)



## Tree Representation of Nested Logit

- Example: Route and Departure Time Choice (Multidimensional Choice)



## Nested Model Estimation

- Logit at each node
- Utilities at lower level enter at the node as the inclusive value

- The inclusive value is often referred to as logsum


## Nested Model - Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Non- } \\
\mathrm{P}(i \mid N M)=\frac{e^{\mu_{N M} V_{i}}}{e^{\mu_{N M} V_{\text {Walk }}}+e^{\mu_{N M} V_{\text {Bike }}}} \quad i=\text { Walk, Bike } \\
I_{N M}=\frac{1}{\mu_{N M}} \ln \left(e^{\mu_{N M} V_{\text {Walk }}}+e^{\mu_{N M} V_{\text {Bike }}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Nested Model - Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}(i \mid M)=\frac{e^{\mu_{M} V_{i}}}{e^{\mu_{M} V_{C a r}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{\text {Taxi }}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{B u s}}} \quad i=\text { Car }, \text { Taxi, Bus } \\
I_{M}=\frac{1}{\mu_{M}} \ln \left(e^{\mu_{M} V_{C a r}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{\text {Taxi }}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{B u s}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Nested Model - Example



## Nested Model - Example

- Calculation of choice probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\text { Bus })=P(B u s \mid M) \cdot P(M) \\
& =\left[\frac{e^{\mu_{M} V_{B u s}}}{e^{\mu_{M} V_{C a r}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{\text {Taxi }}}+e^{\mu_{M} V_{B u s}}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{e^{\mu U_{M}}}{e^{\mu \omega_{N M}}+e^{\mu \mu_{M}}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extensions to Discrete Choice Modeling

- Multinomial Probit (MNP)
- Sampling and Estimation Methods
- Combined Data Sets
- Taste Heterogeneity
- Cross Nested Logit and GEV Models
- Mixed Logit and Probit (Hybrid Models)
- Latent Variables (e.g., Attitudes and Perceptions)
- Choice Set Generation


## Summary

- Introduction to Discrete Choice Analysis
- A simple example
- The Random Utility Model
- Specification and Estimation of Discrete Choice Models
- Forecasting with Discrete Choice Models
- IIA Property - Motivation for Nested Logit Models
- Nested Logit


## Additional Readings

- Ben-Akiva, M. and Bierlaire, M. (2003), 'Discrete Choice Models With Applications to Departure Time and Route Choice,' The Handbook of Transportation Science, 2nd ed., (eds.) R.W. Hall, Kluwer, pp. 7-38.
- Ben-Akiva, M. and Lerman, S. (1985), Discrete Choice Analysis, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Train, K. (2003), Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
- And/Or take 1.202 next semester!


## Appendix

Nested Logit model specification Cross-Nested Logit<br>Logit Mixtures (Continuous/Discrete)<br>Revealed + Stated Preferences

## Nested Logit Model Specification

- Partition $C_{n}$ into $M$ non-overlapping nests:

$$
C_{m n} \cap C_{m^{\prime} n}=\varnothing \forall m \neq m^{\prime}
$$

- Deterministic utility term for nest $C_{m n}$ :

$$
V_{C_{m n}}=\tilde{V}_{C_{m n}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{m}} \ln \sum_{j \in C_{m n}} e^{\mu_{m} \tilde{V}_{j n}}
$$

- Model: $P\left(i \mid C_{n}\right)=P\left(C_{m n} \mid C_{n}\right) P\left(i \mid C_{m n}\right), i \in C_{m n} \subseteq C_{n}$ where

$$
P\left(C_{m n} \mid C_{n}\right)=\frac{e^{\mu V_{C_{m n}}}}{\sum_{l} e^{\mu V_{c_{l n}}}} \quad \text { and } \quad P\left(i \mid C_{m n}\right)=\frac{e^{\mu_{m} \tilde{\zeta}_{i n}}}{\sum_{j \in C_{m n}} e^{\mu_{m} \tilde{j}_{j n}}}
$$

## Continuous Logit Mixture

Example:

- Combining Probit and Logit
- Error decomposed into two parts
- Probit-type portion for flexibility
- i.i.d. Extreme Value for tractability
- An intuitive, practical, and powerful method
- Correlations across alternatives
- Taste heterogeneity
- Correlations across space and time
- Requires simulation-based estimation


## Cont. Logit Mixture: Error Component

## Illustration

- Utility:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{\text {auto }}=\beta X_{\text {auto }}+\xi_{\text {auto }}+v_{\text {auto }} \\
& U_{\text {bus }}=\beta X_{\text {bus }}+\xi_{\text {bus }}+v_{\text {bus }} \\
& U_{\text {subway }}=\beta X_{\text {subway }}+\xi_{\text {subway }}+v_{\text {subway }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ع i.i.d. Extreme Value } \\
& \text { e.g. } \xi \sim N(0, \Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Probability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(\text { auto } \mid \mathrm{X}, \xi)=\frac{e^{\beta X_{\text {auto }}+\xi_{\text {auto }}}}{e^{\beta X_{\text {auto }}+\xi_{\text {auto }}}+e^{\beta X_{\text {bus }}+\xi_{\text {bus }}}+e^{\beta X_{\text {subway }}+\xi_{\text {sulbayy }}}} \\
& \xi \text { unknown } \rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{P}(\text { auto } \mid \mathrm{X})=\int_{\xi} \Lambda(\text { auto } \mid X, \xi) f(\xi) d \xi
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Continuous Logit Mixture

## Random Taste Variation

- Logit: $\beta$ is a constant vector
- Can segment, e.g. $\beta_{\text {low inc }}, \beta_{\text {med inc }}, \beta_{\text {high inc }}$
- Logit Mixture: $\beta$ can be randomly distributed
- Can be a function of personal characteristics
- Distribution can be Normal, Lognormal, Triangular, etc


## Discrete Logit Mixture

## Latent Classes

Main Postulate:

- Unobserved heterogeneity is "generated" by discrete or categorical constructs such as
$>$ Different decision protocols adopted
$>$ Choice sets considered may vary
$>$ Segments of the population with varying tastes
- Above constructs characterized as latent classes


## Latent Class Choice Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(i)= \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{S} \Lambda(i \mid s) Q(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Class-specific
Choice Model
Class
Membership
Model
(probability of (probability of choosing $i \quad$ belonging to
conditional on class $s$ )
belonging to
class $s$ )

## Summary of Discrete Choice Models

|  | Logit | NL/CNL | Probit | Logit Mixture |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Handles unobserved taste <br> heterogeneity | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Flexible substitution pattern | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Handles panel data | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Requires error terms normally <br> distributed | No | No | Yes | No |
| Closed-form choice probabilities <br> available | Yes | Yes | No | No (cont.) |
| Numerical approximation and/or <br> simulation needed | No | No | Yes | Yes (cont.) |

## 6. Revealed and Stated Preferences

- Revealed Preferences Data
- Travel Diaries
- Field Tests
- Stated Preferences Data
- Surveys
- Simulators


## Stated Preferences / Conjoint Experiments

- Used for product design and pricing
- For products with significantly different attributes
- When attributes are strongly correlated in real markets
- Where market tests are expensive or infeasible
- Uses data from survey "trade-off" experiments in which attributes of the product are systematically varied
- Applied in transportation studies since the early 1980s
- Can be combined with Revealed Preferences Data
- Benefit from strengths
- Correct for weaknesses
- Improve efficiency


## Framework for Combining Data
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