## PUBLIC TRANSPORT MODAL CAPACITIES AND COSTS
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## Simple Capacity Analysis

Question: Given a pie-shaped sector corridor serving a CBD served by a single transit line, what will be the peak passenger flow at the CBD?

## Simple Capacity Analysis

## Given:



## Simple Capacity Analysis

Peak Passenger Flow $=L^{2} \theta\left(\frac{P_{c}}{2}-\frac{d P L}{3}\right) t c m p$
Maximum access distance to transit line $=L \theta / 2$
Examples:

| $P_{c}$ | $d P$ | $\theta$ | $L$ | $t$ | $c$ | $m$ | $p$ | Req. <br> Capacity | Max <br> Access |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,000 | 800 | $2 \Pi / 9$ | 10 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 10,000 | 3.5 |
| 20,000 | 1,600 | $2 \Pi / 9$ | 10 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.25 | 30,000 | 3.5 |

## Theoretical Capacities

Rail： 10 car trains， 200 pass／car，$\equiv \mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ pass／hr 2－minute headway

Bus： 70 pass／bus，
三 8，400 pass／hr 30－second headways

BRT： 200 pass／bus，
三 36，000 pass／hr 20 second headways

Light rail： 150 pass／car，
三 18，000 pass／hr 2－car trains，1－minute headway

## MBTA Rail Lines Peak Hour Volumes

| Red Line: | Braintree branch | $\mathbf{6 , 1 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Ashmont branch | $\mathbf{3 , 7 0 0}$ |
|  | Cambridge | $\mathbf{8 , 2 0 0}$ |
| Orange Line: | North | $\mathbf{8 , 1 0 0}$ |
|  | Southwest | $\mathbf{7 , 4 0 0}$ |
| Blue Line: |  | $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Green Line: | B | 2,000 |
|  | C | 1,900 |
|  | D | 2,200 |
|  | E | 900 |
|  | Central Subway | 6,500 |

## Worldwide Urban Rail Systems

A. Full Heavy Rail Standards

| Started system <br> operation | N. America | Europe | Rest of <br> World | Total <br> Starts | Cumulative <br> Starts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre 1901 | 2 | 4 | -- | 6 | 6 |
| $1901-1920$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 12 |
| $1921-1940$ | -- | 2 | 2 | 4 | 16 |
| $1941-1960$ | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 25 |
| $1961-1980$ | 5 | 16 | 10 | 31 | 56 |
| $1981-2000$ | 4 | 9 | 18 | 31 | 87 |
| Post-2000 or | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 96 |
| In Construction | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 101 |
| TOTALS | 17 | 44 | 40 | 101 |  |

B. Light Rail Systems: total in operation

|  | N. America | Europe | Rest of World | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Systems | 29 | 60 | 16 | 105 |

## Capital Costs

## In US:

- $\quad \$ 14.5$ billion in capital costs in 2007

By type:

- 27\% for vehicles
- 61\% for infrastructure and facilities
- 12\% other

By mode:

- 23\% for bus projects
- 32\% for heavy rail projects
- $17 \%$ for commuter rail projects
- $21 \%$ for light rail projects
- 7\% other


## Capital Costs by Type and by Mode

|  | Bus | Heavy <br> Rail | Commuter <br> Rail | Light Rail | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicles | $52 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Infrastructure, <br> facilities, and other | $48 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Total (\$ bill) | 3.3 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.1 |

- Infrastructure, facilities and systems capital costs dominate for rail modes
- Vehicular capital costs represent about half of all capital costs for non-rail modes


## Infrastructure Costs

Key factors:

- type of construction
-- at grade (least expensive)
-- elevated
-- subway: shallow tunnel, deep tunnel (most expensive)
- land acquisition and clearance (relocation)
- number, size, complexity, and length of stations
- systems complexity


## Typical Capital Costs

## Heavy Rail:

|  | System cost <br> (includes stations and vehicles) <br> (\$ billion)* | Cost/km <br> (\$ million) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tren Urbano: new system (2002) <br> Phase I: 17 km, 16 stations <br> $50 \%$ at grade, 40\% elevated, 10\% subway | 2.0 | 118 |
| MBTA Red Line <br> Alewife Station Extension (1984) <br> $5 \mathrm{~km}, 4$ stations: $100 \%$ subway | 0.6 | 120 |
| LA MTA: new system (late 1980s) <br> 7 km: subway | 1.2 | 180 |
| WMATA: new system (late 1970s-early 1990s) <br> Multiple phases 100 km, 70 stations <br> (partial system) <br> Mix of subway, elevated, and at grade | 6.4 | 60 |

* Costs are in current \$, not constant \$.

Kain (mid-1990s) estimate of average heavy rail capital costs: $\$ 80$ million/km

## Typical Capital Costs (cont'd)

## LRT:

|  | System cost <br> (includes stations and vehicles) <br> (\$ million)* | Cost/km <br> (\$ million) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LA MTA (late 1980s): 30 km , at grade | 690 | 23 |
| Buffalo (late 1980s): 10 km, subway | 529 | 53 |
| Santa Clara (late 1980s): 30 km , at grade | 498 | 16 |
| Portland: 22 km, at grade | 214 | 10 |

* Costs are in current \$, not constant \$.

Kain (mid-1990s) estimate of average LRT capital costs: $\$ 25$ million/km

## Typical Capital Costs (cont'd)

## Busways:

|  | System cost <br> (includes stations) <br> (\$ million) | Cost/km <br> (\$ million) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MBTA South Boston Transitway (2002): <br> 2 km, bus tunnel | $606^{*}$ | 303 |
| Bogotá Transmilenio (2001): <br> 36 km, at grade | 200 | 5 |
| Seattle (mid 1980s): <br> 2 km, bus tunnel | 319 | 160 |
| Pittsburgh (mid 1980s): <br> 10 km, at grade | 113 | 11 |
| Houston (early 1980s): <br> 35 km, at grade | 290 | 8 |

* also includes vehicle cost


## Vehicle Capital Costs

|  | Generic Cost | MBTA most recent order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rail Car (Heavy Rail or LRV) | \$1.5-2.5 mill | Breda <br> \$1.985 mill <br> 100 vehicles (LRT) |
| Standard 40' bus - CNG | \$0.3-0.35 mill | NABI <br> \$0.31, \$0.32 mill <br> 300 vehicles |
| Standard 40' trolley | \$1 mill | Neoplan \$0.943 mill 28 vehicles |
| Articulated 60' bus - CNG | \$0.5-0.7 mill | Neoplan \$0.614 mill 44 vehicles |
| Articulated dual-mode 60' bus | --- | Neoplan \$1.6 mill 32 vehicles |

## Typical Capital Costs on Per Passenger Mile Basis

Vehicle cost per passenger mile: $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 5 - 0 . 1 0}$ for all modes Infrastructure cost per passenger mile: \$0.01-1.00

## Operating Costs

## In US:

- $\quad \$ 33.9$ billion in operating costs in 2007

By type:

- $46 \%$ for vehicle operations
- $18 \%$ for vehicle maintenance
- $9 \%$ for non-vehicle maintenance
- 14\% for administration
- 13\% for purchased transportation

By mode:

- 51\% for buses
- $17 \%$ for heavy rail
- $12 \%$ for commuter rail
- 4\% for light rail
- $13 \%$ for paratransit
- 3\% for other modes


## Productivity

- \# of Employees per Revenue Vehicle (U.S., Industry-wide)

| Paratransit | Bus | Commuter Rail | Heavy Rail | Light Rail | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 2.3 |

- Bus/rail comparison for NYCT
(from Pushkarev and Zupan in 1970s) (employees/vehicle):

|  | Veh. <br> Ops. | Veh. <br> Maint. |  <br> Control | Fare Coll. | Way Maint. | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | -- | -- | 3.5 |
| Rail | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 4.4 |

- Metro productivity is 3-4 times average bus productivity measured in pass. miles/RVH
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