

### 14.123 Microeconomics III—Problem Set 3

Muhamet Yildiz

**Instructions.** You are encouraged to work in groups, but everybody must write their own solution to the problem that is for grade. Good Luck!

- (For Grade) There are finitely many states  $s \in S$ . The set of outcomes is  $[0, \infty)$ , the amount of consumption. Consider an expected utility maximizer with utility function  $u(c) = \sqrt{c}$ . Suppose that for each state  $s \in S$ , there is an asset  $A_s$  that pays 1 unit of consumption if the state is  $s$  and 0 otherwise (these are called Arrow-Debreu securities). Suppose also that we know the preference of the decision maker among these assets and the constant consumption levels; e.g., we know how he compares an asset  $A_s$  to consuming  $c$  at every state.
  - Derive the decision maker's preference relation among all acts from the above information.
  - Assume that the decision maker has a fixed amount of money  $M$ , which he cannot consume unless he invests in the Arrow-Debreu securities above, assuming that these securities are perfectly divisible, and the price of a unit of  $A_s$  is some  $p_s > 0$ . Derive the demand of the decision maker for these securities as a function of the price vector  $p = (p_s)_{s \in S}$ .
- Ann is an expected utility maximizer, but she does not know her preferences, which she can learn by costly contemplation. To model this situation, take  $S = [0, 1]$ , and let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a finite set of consequences with at least two elements. Assume that Ann's von Neumann utility function is

$$u(z) = z \quad \forall z \in Z,$$

and her belief on  $S$  is represented by uniform distribution. For any  $n$  and some fixed  $c > 0$ , by spending  $cn$  utils, Ann can obtain a partition

$$P_n = \{[0, 1/2^n], (1/2^n, 2/2^n), \dots, (k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n), \dots, [(2^n - 1)/2^n, 1]\}$$

and observe the cell  $I_n(s) \in P_n$  in which the true state  $s$  lies. After the observation, she assigns uniform distribution on  $I_n(s)$  and can choose an act  $f : S \rightarrow Z$  under the new belief. Her eventual payoff is  $u(f(s)) - cn$ . Now imagine that, given any two acts  $f$  and  $g$ , Ann first chooses  $n$  and, after observing the cell in which  $s$  lies, she chooses one of the acts  $f$  and  $g$ . She does so in order to maximize her expected payoff minus the cost  $cn$ , knowing all along that she will choose one of the acts  $f$  and  $g$  optimally based on her observation. Write  $f \succeq_s g$  if Ann may end up choosing  $f$  when the true state happens to be  $s$ . Check which of the postulates P1-P5 of Savage is satisfied by  $\succeq_s$  for any fixed  $s$ .

- Under the assumptions P1-P5, prove or disprove the following statements.

(a) For any partition  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $S$ , and for any acts  $f, g \in F$ ,

$$[f \succeq g \text{ given } A_k \text{ for all } A_k] \Rightarrow f \succeq g.$$

(b) If  $A_1 \dot{\succeq} B_1$ ,  $A_2 \dot{\succeq} B_2$ , and  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $A_1 \cup A_2 \dot{\succeq} B_1 \cup B_2$ .

(c) For any given event  $D$ , define " $\dot{\succeq}$  given  $D$ " by  $A \dot{\succeq} B$  given  $D$  iff  $A \cap D \dot{\succeq} B \cap D$ .  
The relation  $\dot{\succeq}$  given  $D$  is a qualitative probability.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

14.123 Microeconomic Theory III  
Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.