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## Representing Code as Data

## Recall the visitor pattern

- A visitor represents a function over a datatype
- e.g. new SizeVisitor() represents size : List $\rightarrow$ int
public class SizeVisitor<E> implements ListVisitor<E,Integer> \{ public Integer visit(Empty<E> I) \{ return 0; \} public Integer visit(Cons<E>I) \{ return I + I.rest().accept(this); \}
\}


## A visitor represents code as a first-class object, too

$>$ A visitor is an object that can be passed around, returned, and stored
$>$ But it's also a function that can be invoked

Today's lecture will see more examples of code as data

## Today's Problem: Music

Interesting music tends to have a lot of repetition
$>$ Let's look at rounds, canons, fugues
> A familiar simple round is "Row Row Row Your Boat": one voice starts, other voices enter after a delay
Row row row your boat, gently down the stream, merrily merrily ..
Row row row your boat, gently down the stream..
Bach was a master of this kind of music

- Recommended reading: Godel Escher Bach, by Douglas Hofstadter


## Recall our MIDI piano from early lectures

$>$ A song could be represented by Java code doing a sequence of calls on a state machine:
machine.play(E); machine.play(D); machine.play(C); ...
$>$ We want to capture the code that operates this kind of machine as firstclass data objects that we can manipulate, transform, and repeat easily

## Music Data Type

## Let's start by representing simple tunes

Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(ml:Music, m2:Music)
$>$ duration is measured in beats
> Pitch represents note frequency (e.g. C, D, E, F, G; essentially the keys on the piano keyboard)
> Instrument represents the instruments available on a MIDI synthesizer


## Design questions

> is this a tree or a list? what would it look like defined the other way?
> what is the "empty" Music object?

- it's usually good for a data type to be able to represent nothing
- avoid null
$>$ what are the rep invariants for Note, Rest, Concat?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A Few of Music's Operations } \\
& \text { notes: String } x \text { Instrument } \rightarrow \text { Music } \\
& \text { requires string is in a subset of abc music notation } \\
& \text { e.g. notes("E D C D | E E E2 |", PIANO) } \\
& \text { I beat note 2-beat note } \\
& \text { abc notation } \\
& \text { can also encode } \\
& \text { sharps \& flats, } \\
& \text { higher/lower octaves } \\
& \text { duration : Music } \rightarrow \text { double } \\
& \text { returns total duration of music in beats } \\
& \text { e.g. duration(Concat(ml, m2)) }=\text { duration }(\mathrm{ml})+\operatorname{duration}(\mathrm{m} 2) \\
& \text { transpose : Music } \mathrm{x} \text { int } \rightarrow \text { Music } \\
& \text { returns music with all notes shifted up or down in pitch by the given } \\
& \text { number of semitones (i.e., steps on a piano keyboard) } \\
& \text { play: Music } \rightarrow \text { void } \\
& \text { all these operations also } \\
& \text { effects plays the music } \\
& \text { have precondition that } \\
& \text { parameters are non-null }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Implementation Choices

## Creators can be constructors or factory methods

> Java constructors are limited: interfaces can't have them, and constructor can't choose which runtime type to return

- new $C()$ must always be an object of type $C$,
- so we can't have a constructor Music(String, Instrument), whether Music is an interface or an abstract class


## Observers \& producers can be methods or visitors

- Methods break up function into many files; visitor is all in one place
$>$ Adding a method requires changing source of classes (not always possible)
$>$ Visitor keeps dependencies out of data type itself (e.g. MIDI dependence)
- Method has direct access to private rep; visitor needs to use observers


## Producers can also be new subclasses of the datatype

$>$ e.g. Music $=$... + Transpose(m:Music, semitones:int)
$>$ Defers the actual evaluation of the function

- Enables more sharing between values
$>$ Adding a new subclass requires changing all visitors


## Duality Between Interpreter and Visitor

## Operation using interpreter pattern

> Adding new operation is hard (must add a method to every existing class)
> Adding new class is easy (changes only one place: the new class)

## Operation using visitor pattern

> Adding new operation is easy (changes only one place: the new visitor)
> Adding new class is hard (must add a method to every existing visitor)

## Simple Rounds

We need one more operation:
delay : Music $\times$ double $\rightarrow$ Music
delay ( m, dur) $=\operatorname{concat(rest(dur),~} \mathrm{m}$ )

## And now we can express Row Row Row Your Boat

rrryb $=$ notes("C C C3/4 D/4 E | E3/4 D/4 E3/4 F/4 G2 | ...", PIANO) together(rrryb, delay(rrryb, 4))

- Two voices playing together, with the second voice delayed by 4 beats
> This pattern is found in all rounds, not just Row Row Row Your Boat
> Abstract out the common pattern
round : Music x double x int $\rightarrow$ Music
round $(m$, dur, $n)=\sqrt{m}$ if $n==1$
together(m, round(delay(m, dur), dur, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{I})$ ) if $\mathrm{n}>$
$>$ The ability to capture a general pattern like round() is one of the advantages of music as a first-class object rather than merely a sequence of play() calls


## Multiple Voices

For a round, the parts need to be sung simultaneously
Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(ml:Music, m 2 :Music)
+ Together(ml:Music, m2:Music)
- Here's where our decision to make Concat() tree-like becomes very usefu
- Suppose we instead had:

Concat $=$ List<Note + Rest $>$
Together = List<Concat>

- What kinds of music would we be unable to express?


## Composite pattern

$>$ The composite pattern means that groups of objects (composites) can be treated the same way as single objects (primitives)


## Distinguishing Voices

We want each voice in the round to be distinguishable
> e.g. an octave higher, or lower, or using a different instrument
$>$ So these operations over Music also need to be first-class objects that can be passed to round()

- Fortunately operations implemented as visitors already are objects
canon() applies a visitor to the repeated melody
canon : Music x double $\times$ Visitor<Music> x int $\rightarrow$ Music
e.g. canon(rrryb, 4, new TransposeVisitor(OCTAVE), 4)
produces 4 voices, each one octave higher than the last


## canon() is a higher-order function

- A higher-order function takes a function as an argument or returns a function as its result


## Functional Objects

## Counterpoint

## Not all operations are visitors

$>$ Let's generalize the idea of a music transformer function
interface UnaryFunction<T,U> \{
$U$ apply ( T ); \}
$>$ An instance of UnaryFunction is a functional object, representing some
function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$
> For example:
this anonymous class is essentially a lambda expression producing a functional object
new UnaryFunction<Music,Music>() \{
producing a functional object
public Music apply(Music $m$ ) $\{$ return delay $(\mathrm{m}, 4) ;\}$ \}
$>$ In general, we might want a delayer() method that produces a delay transformer with an arbitrary delay (not just 4 beats):

$$
\text { delayer : int } \rightarrow \text { UnaryFunction<Music,Music> }
$$

| note that delayer is a higher- <br> order function too | Music $\rightarrow$ Music <br> Q Robert miller 20 tghat UnaryFunction represents |
| :--- | :---: |
| let's write it this way the |  |

## Repeating

A line of music can also be repeated by the same voice
repeat : Music $\times$ (Music $\rightarrow$ Music) $\times$ int $\rightarrow$ Music
e.g. repeat(rrryb, octaveHigher, 2 ) = concat(rryb, octaveHigher(rryb))
$>$ Note the similarity to counterpoint():
counterpoint: $m$ together $f(m)$ together ... together $f^{n-1}(m)$
repetition: $m$ concat $f(m)$ concat ... concat $f^{n^{-1}(m)}$
$\Rightarrow$ And in other domains as well:
sum: $x+f(x)+\ldots+f^{n-1}(m)$
product: $x \cdot f(x) \cdot \ldots \cdot f^{n-1}(m)$
> There's a general pattern here, too; let's capture it

## A canon is a special case of a more general pattern

$>$ Counterpoint is $n$ voices singing related music, not necessarily delayed counterpoint : Music $\times$ (Music $\rightarrow$ Music) $\times$ int $\rightarrow$ Music

- Expressed as counterpoint, a canon applies two functions to the music: delay and transform
$\operatorname{canon}(m$, delay, $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{n})=\operatorname{counterpoint}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{f} \circ$ delayer $($ delay $), \mathrm{n})$


## Another general pattern

function composition $\circ:(\mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}) \times(\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{V})$
public static <T,U,V> UnaryFunction<T,V> compose(final UnaryFunction<U,V>g, final UnaryFunction<T,U>f) \{
return new UnaryFunction<T,V>() \{
public V apply( T t$)\{$ return g.apply(f.apply( t$)$ ); \}
\};
\}

## Binary Functionals

## We need first-class representation for binary operations

## like together, concat, plus, times

interface BinaryFunction<T,U,V> \{
V apply ( $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{U} \mathrm{u}$ );
\}
$>$ An instance of BinaryFunction represents some $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$
together: Music x Music $\rightarrow$ Music
concat: Music $\times$ Music $\rightarrow$ Music

## Now we can capture the pattern

series : $\mathrm{T} \times(\mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}) \times(\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}) \times$ int $\rightarrow \mathrm{T}$
$\uparrow \uparrow$
counterpoint $(m, f, n)=\operatorname{series}(m$, together, $f, n)$
$\operatorname{repeat}(m, f, n)=\operatorname{series}(m$, concat, $f, n)$

## Repeating Forever

## Accompaniment

accompany: Music x Music $\rightarrow$ Music
repeats second piece until its length matches the first piece
play(forever(m)) plays $m$ repeatedly, forever
duration(forever(m)) $=+\infty$
double actually has a value for this: Double.POSITIVE_INFINITY

Music $=$ Note(duration:double, pitch:Pitch, instr:Instrument)

+ Rest(duration:double)
+ Concat(ml:Music, $\mathrm{m} 2:$ Music)
+ Together(ml:Music, m2:Music)
why can't we implement forever() using repeat(), or any of the existing Music subtypes?
> Here's the Row Row Row Your Boat round, forever:
canon (forever(rrryb), 4, octaveHigher, 4)


## Pachelbel's Canon

(well, the first part of it, anyway...)
pachelbelBass = notes("D,2 A,,2 | B,,2 ^F,, | ... |", CELLO)
pachelbelMelody = notes("^F'2 E'2 | D’2 ^C’2 | ... | ... $|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| "$, VIOLIN)
pachelbelCanon = canon(forever(pachelbelMelody),
16,
identity,
3)
pachelbel = concat(pachelbelBass, accompany(pachelbelCanon,
pachelbelBass))

## Embedded Languages

Useful languages have three critical elements

|  | Java | Formula language | Music language |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primitives | 3, false | Var, Bool | notes, rest |
| Means of <br> Combination | ,+ *, <br> $==, ~ \& \&$, <br> $I I, \ldots$ | and, or, not | together, <br> concat, <br> transpose, <br> delay, ... |
| Means of <br> Abstraction | variables, <br> methods, <br> classes | Java mechanisms | functional objects + <br> Java mechanisms |

$>6.01$ calls this PCAP (the primitive-combination-abstraction pattern)

## Summary

## Composite pattern

$>$ Composite data types allow a group of objects to be treated the same as a single object

## Functionals

$>$ UnaryFunction and BinaryFunction represent functions as Java objects
>So do Runnable and Visitor, in fact

## Higher-order functions

> Operations that take or return functional objects

## Building languages to solve problems

$>$ A language nas greater $r_{f}$ 'exibility than a mere program, because ${ }_{j}$ $t$ can solve
large classes of related problems instead of a single problem
$>$ Interpreter pattern, visitor pattern, and higher-order functions are useful for implementing powerful languages
> But in fact any well-designed abstract data type is like a new language

