### 6.087 Lecture 13 – January 28, 2010

### Review

- Multithreaded Programming
  - Race Conditions
  - Semaphores
  - Thread Safety, Deadlock, and Starvation
- Sockets and Asynchronous I/O
  - Sockets
  - Asynchronous I/O



### **Review: Multithreaded programming**

- Thread: abstraction of parallel processing with shared memory
- Program organized to execute multiple threads in parallel
- Threads *spawned* by main thread, communicate via shared resources and *joining*
- pthread library implements multithreading

```
    int pthread_create(pthread_t * thread, const pthread_attr_t * attr,
void *(*start_routine)(void *), void * arg);
```

- void pthread\_exit(void \*value\_ptr);
- int pthread\_join(pthread\_t thread, void \*\*value\_ptr);
- pthread\_t pthread\_self(void);

- Access to shared resources need to be controlled to ensure deterministic operation
- Synchronization objects: mutexes, semaphores, read/write locks, barriers
- Mutex: simple single lock/unlock mechanism
  - int pthread\_mutex\_init(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex, const pthread\_mutexattr\_t \* attr);
  - int pthread\_mutex\_destroy(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);
  - int pthread\_mutex\_lock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);
  - int pthread\_mutex\_trylock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);
  - int pthread\_mutex\_unlock(pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);

- Lock/unlock (with mutex) based on run-time condition variable
- · Allows thread to wait for condition to be true
- Other thread signals waiting thread(s), unblocking them
  - int pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond, const pthread\_condattr\_t \*attr);
  - int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);
  - int pthread\_cond\_wait(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond, pthread\_mutex\_t \*mutex);
  - int pthread\_cond\_broadcast(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);
  - int pthread\_cond\_signal(pthread\_cond\_t \*cond);

### 6.087 Lecture 13 – January 28, 2010

### Review

- Multithreaded Programming
  - Race Conditions
  - Semaphores
  - Thread Safety, Deadlock, and Starvation
- Sockets and Asynchronous I/O
  - Sockets
  - Asynchronous I/O



### Multithreaded programming

- OS implements scheduler determines which threads execute when
- Scheduling may execute threads in arbitrary order
- Without proper synchronization, code can execute non-deterministically
- Suppose we have two threads: 1 reads a variable, 2 modifies that variable
- Scheduler may execute 1, then 2, or 2 then 1
- Non-determinism creates a *race condition* where the behavior/result depends on the order of execution



### **Race conditions**

- Race conditions occur when multiple threads share a variable, without proper synchronization
- Synchronization uses special variables, like a mutex, to ensure order of execution is correct
- Example: thread  $T_1$  needs to do something before thread  $T_2$ 
  - condition variable forces thread  $T_2$  to wait for thread  $T_1$
  - producer-consumer model program
- Example: two threads both need to access a variable and modify it based on its value
  - surround access and modification with a mutex
  - mutex groups operations together to make them *atomic* treated as one unit

### **Race conditions in assembly**

#### Consider the following program race.c:

```
unsigned int cnt = 0;
void *count(void *arg) { /* thread body */
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 100000000; i++)
        cnt++;
    return NULL;
}
int main(void) {
    pthread_t tids[4];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    pthread_create(&tids[i], NULL, count, NULL);
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    pthread_join(tids[i], NULL);
    printf("cnt=%u\n", cnt);
    return 0;
}
```

#### What is the value of cnt?

[Bryant and O'Halloran. Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective.

Prentice Hall, 2003.]

© Prentice Hall. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/fairuse.



Ideally, should increment cnt  $4 \times 10000000$  times, so cnt = 40000000. However, running our code gives:

```
athena% ./race.o

cnt=137131900

athena% ./race.o

cnt=163688698

athena% ./race.o

cnt=163409296

athena% ./race.o

cnt=170865738

athena% ./race.o

cnt=169695163
```

### So, what happened?

Athena is MIT's UNIX-based computing environment. OCW does not provide access to it.



- C not designed for multithreading
- No notion of atomic operations in C
- Increment cnt++; maps to three assembly operations:
  - 1. load cnt into a register
  - 2. increment value in register
  - 3. save new register value as new cnt
- So what happens if thread interrupted in the middle?
- Race condition!

#### Let's fix our code:

```
pthread mutex t mutex:
unsigned int cnt = 0;
void *count(void *arg) { /* thread body */
  int i:
  for (i = 0; i < 10000000; i++) {
    pthread mutex lock(&mutex);
    cnt++;
    pthread mutex unlock(&mutex);
  return NULL:
int main(void) {
  pthread t tids [4];
  int i:
  pthread mutex init(&mutex, NULL);
  for (i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    pthread_create(&tids[i], NULL, count, NULL);
  for (i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    pthread join(tids[i], NULL);
  pthread mutex destroy(&mutex);
  printf("cnt=%u\n".cnt):
  return 0:
```



- · Note that new code functions correctly, but is much slower
- C statements not atomic threads may be interrupted at assembly level, in the middle of a C statement
- Atomic operations like mutex locking must be specified as atomic using special assembly instructions
- Ensure that all statements accessing/modifying shared variables are synchronized

- Semaphore special nonnegative integer variable s, initially 1, which implements two atomic operations:
  - P(s) wait until s > 0, decrement s and return
  - V(s) increment s by 1, unblocking a waiting thread
- Mutex locking calls  ${\tt P}\,({\tt s})\,$  and unlocking calls  ${\tt V}\,({\tt s})\,$
- Implemented in <semaphore.h>, part of library rt, not pthread

### Using semaphores

#### • Initialize semaphore to value:

int sem\_init(sem\_t \*sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);

• Destroy semaphore:

int sem\_destroy(sem\_t \*sem);

• Wait to lock, blocking:

int sem\_wait(sem\_t \*sem);

• Try to lock, returning immediately (0 if now locked, -1 otherwise):

int sem\_trywait(sem\_t \*sem);

• Increment semaphore, unblocking a waiting thread:

int sem\_post(sem\_t \*sem);

- Use a semaphore to track available slots in shared buffer
- · Use a semaphore to track items in shared buffer
- Use a semaphore/mutex to make buffer operations synchronous

### Producer and consumer revisited

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <pthread.h>
#include <semaphore.h>
sem t mutex, slots, items;
#define SLOTS 2
#define ITEMS 10
void* produce(void* arg)
  int i:
  for (i = 0; i < ITEMS; i++)
    sem wait(&slots);
    sem wait(&mutex):
    printf("produced(%ld):%d\n".
           pthread self(), i+1);
    sem post(&mutex);
    sem post(&items):
  return NULL;
void * consume(void * arg)
  int i:
```

```
for (i = 0; i < ITEMS; i++) {
    sem wait(&items);
    sem wait(&mutex):
    printf("consumed(%ld):%d\n".
           pthread self(), i+1);
    sem post(&mutex):
    sem post(& slots):
 return NULL;
int main()
 pthread t tcons. tpro:
 sem init(&mutex, 0, 1);
 sem init(&slots, 0, SLOTS);
 sem init(&items, 0, 0);
 pthread create(&tcons.NULL.consume.NULL);
 pthread create(&tpro,NULL,produce,NULL);
 pthread join(tcons,NULL);
 pthread ioin(tpro.NULL):
 sem destroy(&mutex);
 sem destroy(&slots);
 sem_destrov(&items):
 return 0;
```

[Bryant and O'Halloran. Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective.

For more information, see http://ocw.mit.edu/fairuse.

- Synchronization objects help solve race conditions
- Improper use can cause other problems
- Some common issues:
  - · thread safety and reentrant functions
  - deadlock
  - starvation

- Function is *thread safe* if it always behaves correctly when called from multiple concurrent threads
- Unsafe functions fal in several categories:
  - · accesses/modifies unsynchronized shared variables
  - functions that maintain state using static variables like rand(), strtok()
  - functions that return pointers to static memory like gethostbyname()
  - functions that call unsafe functions may be unsafe

### **Reentrant functions**

- Reentrant function does not reference any shared data when used by multiple threads
- All reentrant functions are thread-safe (are all thread-safe functions reentrant?)
- Reentrant versions of many unsafe C standard library functions exist:

Unsafe function	Reentrant version
rand()	rand_r()
strtok()	<pre>strtok_r()</pre>
asctime()	asctime_r()
ctime()	ctime_r()
gethostbyaddr()	gethostbyaddr_r()
gethostbyname()	gethostbyname_r()
inet_ntoa()	(none)
localtime()	localtime_r()

To make your code thread-safe:

- Use synchronization objects around shared variables
- Use reentrant functions
- Use synchronization around functions returning pointers to shared memory (*lock-and-copy*):
  - 1. lock mutex for function
  - 2. call unsafe function
  - dynamically allocate memory for result; (deep) copy result into new memory
  - 4. unlock mutex

- Deadlock happens when every thread is waiting on another thread to unblock
- Usually caused by improper ordering of synchronization objects
- Tricky bug to locate and reproduce, since schedule-dependent
- Can visualize using a progress graph traces progress of threads in terms of synchronization objects

### Deadlock

Figure removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see http://csapp.cs.cmu.edu/public/1e/public/figures.html, Figure 13.39, Progress graph for a program that can deadlock.

- Defeating deadlock extremely difficult in general
- When using only mutexes, can use the "mutex lock ordering rule" to avoid deadlock scenarios:
   A program is deadlock-free if, for each pair of mutexes (s, t) in the program, each thread that uses both s and t simultaneously locks them in the same order.

[Bryant and O'Halloran. *Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective* Prentice Hall, 2003.]



- Starvation similar to deadlock
- Scheduler never allocates resources (e.g. CPU time) for a thread to complete its task
- Happens during priority inversion
  - example: highest priority thread  $T_1$  waiting for low priority thread  $T_2$  to finish using a resource, while thread  $T_3$ , which has higher priority than  $T_2$ , is allowed to run indefinitely
  - thread  $T_1$  is considered to be in starvation

### 6.087 Lecture 13 – January 28, 2010

### • Review

- Multithreaded Programming
  - Race Conditions
  - Semaphores
  - Thread Safety, Deadlock, and Starvation
- Sockets and Asynchronous I/O
  - Sockets
  - Asynchronous I/O



- Socket abstraction to enable communication across a network in a manner similar to file I/O
- Uses header <sys/socket.h> (extension of C standard library)
- Network I/O, due to latency, usually implemented asynchronously, using multithreading
- Sockets use client/server model of establishing connections



# Creating a socket

Create a socket, getting the file descriptor for that socket:

int socket(int domain, int type, int protocol);

- domain use constant AF\_INET, so we're using the internet; might also use AF\_INET6 for IPv6 addresses
- type use constant SOCK\_STREAM for connection-based protocols like TCP/IP; use SOCK\_DGRAM for connectionless datagram protocols like UDP (we'll concentrate on the former)
- protocol specify 0 to use default protocol for the socket type (e.g. TCP)
- returns nonnegative integer for file descriptor, or -1 if couldn't create socket
- Don't forget to close the file descriptor when you're done!



• Using created socket, we connect to server using:

int connect(int fd, struct sockaddr \*addr, int addr\_len);

- fd-the socket's file descriptor
- addr the address and port of the server to connect to; for internet addresses, cast data of type struct sockaddr\_in, which has the following members:
  - sin\_family address family; always AF\_INET
  - sin\_port port in network byte order (use htons() to
     convert to network byte order)
  - sin\_addr.s\_addr IP address in network byte order (use
    htonl() to convert to network byte order)
- addr\_len size of sockaddr\_in structure
- returns 0 if successful

• Using created socket, we bind to the port using:

int bind(int fd, struct sockaddr \*addr, int addr\_len);

- fd, addr, addr\_len same as for connect()
- note that address should be IP address of desired interface (e.g. eth0) on local machine
- ensure that port for server is not taken (or you may get "address already in use" errors)
- return 0 if socket successfully bound to port

• Using the bound socket, start listening:

int listen (int fd, int backlog);

- fd-bound socket file descriptor
- backlog length of queue for pending TCP/IP connections; normally set to a large number, like 1024
- returns 0 if successful

# Accepting a client's connection

• Wait for a client's connection request (may already be queued):

int accept(int fd, struct sockaddr \*addr, int \*addr\_len);

- fd socket's file descriptor
- addr pointer to structure to be filled with client address info (can be NULL)
- addr\_len pointer to int that specifies length of structure pointed to by addr; on output, specifies the length of the stored address (stored address may be truncated if bigger than supplied structure)
- returns (nonnegative) file descriptor for connected client socket if successful

# Reading and writing with sockets

• Send data using the following functions:

```
int write(int fd, const void *buf, size_t len);
```

int send(int fd, const void \*buf, size\_t len, int flags);

• Receive data using the following functions:

```
int read(int fd, void *buf, size_t len);
```

int recv(int fd, void \*buf, size\_t len, int flags);

- fd socket's file descriptor
- buf buffer of data to read or write
- len length of buffer in bytes
- flags special flags; we'll just use 0
- all these return the number of bytes read/written (if successful)

- Up to now, all I/O has been synchronous functions do not return until operation has been performed
- Multithreading allows us to read/write a file or socket without blocking our main program code (just put I/O functions in a separate thread)
- Multiplexed I/O use select () or poll() with multiple file descriptors

## $\ensuremath{\text{I/O}}\xspace$ multiplexing with <code>select()</code>

 To check if multiple files/sockets have data to read/write/etc: (include <sys/select.h>)

int select(int nfds, fd\_set \*readfds, fd\_set \*writefds, fd\_set \*errorfds, struct timeval \*timeout);

- nfds specifies the total range of file descriptors to be tested (0 up to nfds-1)
- readfds, writefds, errorfds if not NULL, pointer to set of file descriptors to be tested for being ready to read, write, or having an error; on output, set will contain a list of only those file descriptors that are ready
- timeout if no file descriptors are ready immediately, maximum time to wait for a file descriptor to be ready
- returns the total number of set file descriptor bits in all the sets
- Note that select () is a blocking function

- fd\_set a mask for file descriptors; bits are set ("1") if in the set, or unset ("0") otherwise
- Use the following functions to set up the structure:
  - FD\_ZERO(&fdset) initialize the set to have bits unset for all file descriptors
  - ${\tt FD\_SET(fd,\,\&fdset)}$  set the bit for file descriptor  ${\tt fd}$  in the set
  - ${\tt FD\_CLR(fd, \&fdset)}$  clear the bit for file descriptor  ${\tt fd}$  in the set
  - FD\_ISSET(fd, &fdset) returns nonzero if bit for file descriptor  ${\tt fd}$  is set in the set

# I/O multiplexing using poll()

• Similar to select (), but specifies file descriptors differently: (include <poll.h>)

int poll(struct pollfd fds [], nfds\_t nfds, int timeout);

- fds an array of pollfd structures, whose members fd, events, and revents, are the file descriptor, events to check (OR-ed combination of flags like POLLIN, POLLOUT, POLLERR, POLLHUP), and result of polling with that file descriptor for those events, respectively
- nfds number of structures in the array
- timeout number of milliseconds to wait; use 0 to return immediately, or -1 to block indefinitely

• Multithreaded programming

- race conditions
- semaphores
- thread safety
- deadlock and starvation
- Sockets, asynchronous I/O
  - client/server socket functions
  - select() and poll()

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

#### 6.087 Practical Programming in C January (IAP) 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.