

6.241: Dynamic Systems—Spring 2011

HOMEWORK 5 SOLUTIONS

---

**Exercise 7.2** a) Suppose  $c = 2$ . Then the impulse response of the system is

$$h(t) = 2(e^{-t} - e^{-2t}) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

One may assume that  $u(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  this will just alter the lower limit of integration in the convolution formula, but will not affect the state space description, note also that the system is causal.

$$y(t) = \int_0^t 2(e^{-(t-\tau)} - e^{-2(t-\tau)})u(\tau)d\tau \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence by use of Leibniz differentiation rule,

$$\dot{y}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-(t-\tau)} - e^{-2(t-\tau)})u(\tau)d\tau = 2 \int_0^t (2e^{-2(t-\tau)} - e^{-(t-\tau)})u(\tau)d\tau,$$

and

$$\ddot{y}(t) = 2 \int_0^t (e^{-(t-\tau)} - 4e^{-2(t-\tau)})u(\tau)d\tau + 2u(t).$$

Now, let  $x_1(t) = y(t)$  and  $x_2(t) = \dot{y}(t)$ , then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} u(t).$$

Since  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  can be written as  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ , there variables satisfy the continuous time state property and are this valid state variables.

b) The transfer function of the system is

$$H(s) = \frac{2(s+2) - c(s+1)}{s^2 + 3s + 2}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > -1.$$

When  $c = 2$  there are no  $s$  terms in the numerator, which implies that the output  $y(t)$  only depends on  $u(t)$  but not on  $\dot{u}(t)$ . Our selection of state variables is valid only for  $c = 2$ . If  $c \neq 2$ , the reachability canonical form may guide us to the selection of state variables

**Exercise 7.3** In this problem, we have

$$\dot{y} = -a_0(t)y(t) + b_0(t)u(t) + b_1(t)\dot{u}(t).$$

That is,

$$y = \int -a_0(t)y(t) + b_0(t)u(t) + \int b_1(t)\dot{u}(t).$$

Notice that in the TI case, the coefficients  $a_0$ ,  $b_0$ , and  $b_1$  were constants, so we were able to integrate the term  $\int b_1 \dot{u}(t)$ . In this case, we can still get rid of the  $\dot{u}(t)$ , by integration by parts. We have

$$\int b_1(t) \dot{u}(t) dt = b_1(t)u(t) - \int u(t) \dot{b}_1(t) dt.$$

So, our equation becomes

$$y = b_1(t)u(t) + \int -a_0(t)y(t) + (b_0(t) - \dot{b}_1(t))u(t).$$

Now, let

$$\dot{x} = -a_0(t)y(t) + (b_0(t) - \dot{b}_1(t))u(t),$$

we have that

$$y = x + b_1(t)u(t),$$

and substituting  $y$  in the equation for  $\dot{x}$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -a_0(t)x(t) + (b_0(t) - \dot{b}_1(t) - a_0(t)b_1(t))u(t) \\ y &= x + b_1(t)u(t) \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 10.1** a)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

b) Let  $J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_q \end{bmatrix}$  be the Jordan form decomposition of  $A$ ,  $A = MJM^{-1}$ .

Note that  $J^k = 0 \Leftrightarrow J_i^k = 0, 1 \leq i \leq q$ .

Also note that  $A^k = MJ^kM^{-1}$  and hence  $A^k = 0 \Leftrightarrow J^k = 0$ .

Thus, it suffices to show that  $J_i^k = 0$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  for some finite positive power  $k$  iff all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 0.

First, we prove sufficiency: If all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 0, then the corresponding Jordan blocks have zero diagonal elements and are such that  $J_i^{n_i} = 0$  for every  $i$ , where  $n_i$  is the size of  $J_i$ . Let  $k_o = \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} n_i$ .  $k_o$  is finite and we have  $J_i^{k_o} = 0, 1 \leq i \leq q$ .

Next, we proof necessity. Suppose there exists at least one eigenvalue of  $A$ , say  $\lambda_{i_o}$ , that is non-zero. Note that the diagonal elements of the  $k^{th}$  power of the corresponding Jordan block(s) are  $\lambda_{i_o}^k$ , for any positive power  $k$ . Hence, there exists at least one  $i$  such that  $J_i^k \neq 0$ , for any positive power  $k$ . If  $A$  has size  $n$ , then the size of each of the Jordan blocks in its Jordan form decomposition is at most  $n$ . Hence  $k_o \leq n$  and  $A^n = 0$ .

c) The smallest value is  $k_o$  defined in part (b). Here,  $k_o = n_q$ .

d) Let  $J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_q \end{bmatrix}$  be the Jordan form decomposition of  $A$ . We have that:

$$\mathcal{R}(A^{k+1}) = \mathcal{R}(A^k) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(J^{k+1}) = \mathcal{R}(J^k)$$

Thus it suffices to look for the smallest value of  $k$  for which  $\mathcal{R}(J^{k+1}) = \mathcal{R}(J^k)$ . Note that a Jordan block associated with a non-zero eigenvalue has full column rank, and retains full column rank

when raised to any positive power  $k$ . On the other hand, a nilpotent Jordan block of size  $n_i$  has column rank  $n_i - 1$ , and is such that  $\text{rank} J_i^k = \max\{0, n_i - k\}$ . Let  $N = \{i | J_i \text{ is nilpotent}\}$ . Define  $k_{\min} = \max_{i \in N} n_i$ .  $k_{\min}$  is the smallest value of  $k$  for which  $\mathcal{R}(J^{k+1}) = \mathcal{R}(J^k)$ .

**Exercise 11.1.**

Since the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is a determinant of a matrix  $zI - A$ ,

$$\det(zI - A) = \det((zI - A)^T) = \det(zI - A^T),$$

first we show that

$$\det(zI - A_1) = \det(zI - A_2) = q(z)$$

for given  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . For

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -q_{n-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -q_{n-2} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -q_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -q_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -q_0 & -q_1 & -q_2 & \cdots & -q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$zI - A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} z + q_{n-1} & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_{n-2} & z & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \\ q_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & z \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad zI - A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \\ q_0 & q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & z + q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that  $\det(A) = a_{i1}A_{i1} + a_{i2}A_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}A_{in}$  for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is a cofactor matrix corresponding  $a_{ij}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(zI - A_1) &= (z + q_{n-1}) \begin{vmatrix} z & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z \end{vmatrix} - q_{n-2} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ q_{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ z & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & z & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z \end{vmatrix} - \cdots \pm q_0 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ z & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & z & -1 \end{vmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last  $\pm$  depends on whether  $n$  is an even or odd number. Similarly if we take the determinant of  $zI - A_2$  using cofactors on the last row of  $zI - A_2$  it is clear that we have

$$\det(zI - A_1) = \det(zI - A_2) = q(z).$$

Also it is true that

$$\det(zI - A) = \det((zI - A)^T) = \det(zI - A^T).$$

Hence

$$\det(zI - A_1) = \det(zI - A_1^T) = \det(zI - A_2) = \det(zI - A_2^T) = q(z).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q(z) &= (z + q_{n-1})z^{n-1} + q_{n-2}z^{n-2} + \cdots + q_1z + q_0 \\
\therefore q(z) &= z^n + q_{n-1}z^{n-1} + q_{n-2}z^{n-2} + \cdots + q_1z + q_0.
\end{aligned}$$

b) For  $A_2$ , we have

$$\lambda_i I - A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i & -1 \\ q_0 & q_1 & q_3 & \cdots & q_{n-2} & \lambda_i + q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose  $v_1$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ , then  $v_i \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda_i I - A_2)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i & -1 \\ q_0 & q_1 & q_3 & \cdots & q_{n-2} & \lambda_i + q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} v_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

It is clear from Eqn 1 that the only nonzero  $\underline{v}_i$  is

$$\underline{v}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_i \\ \lambda_i^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_i^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then Eqn 1 becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ q_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_i \\ \lambda_i^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_i q_1 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_i^{n-1} \\ (\lambda_i + q_{n-1})\lambda_i^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ q_0 + q_1\lambda_i + \cdots + \lambda_i^{n-1}q_{n-1} + \lambda_i^n \end{pmatrix} = \underline{0}$$

since  $\lambda_i$  is a root of  $q(\lambda)$ .

c) Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 5 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -3$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 2$ . Note that this  $A$  has the form of  $A_2$  thus the corresponding eigenvectors can be written as follows:

$$\underline{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using those three eigenvectors we can obtain the similarity transformation matrix,  $M$ , to make  $A$  diagonal:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ \underline{v}_1 & \underline{v}_2 & \underline{v}_3 \\ | & | & | \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus with

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$A = M\Lambda M^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
A^k &= M \Lambda^k M^{-1} = M \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3^k \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{4}{15} & \frac{1}{15} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{At} &= M \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2 t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\lambda_3 t} \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-3t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{4}{15} & \frac{1}{15} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 11.3** This equality can be shown in a number of ways. Here we will see two. One way is by diagonalization of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \omega \\ -\omega & \sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic equation is  $\chi(A) = (\lambda - \sigma)^2 + \omega^2$ , yielding eigenvalues  $\lambda = \sigma \pm j\omega$ . Using the associated eigenvectors, we can show that it has diagonalization

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \omega \\ -\omega & \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -j & j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma - j\omega & \\ & \sigma + j\omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -j\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp \left[ t \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \omega \\ -\omega & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -j & j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sigma} e^{-j\omega t} & \\ & e^{\sigma} e^{j\omega t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -j\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sigma} \frac{e^{j\omega t} + e^{-j\omega t}}{2} & e^{\sigma} \frac{e^{j\omega t} - e^{-j\omega t}}{j2} \\ e^{\sigma} \frac{-e^{j\omega t} + e^{-j\omega t}}{j2} & e^{\sigma} \frac{e^{j\omega t} + e^{-j\omega t}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sigma} \cos(\omega t) & e^{\sigma} \sin(\omega t) \\ -e^{\sigma} \sin(\omega t) & e^{\sigma} \cos(\omega t) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

An arguably simpler way to achieve this result is by applying the inverse Laplace transform identity  $e^{tA} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} [(sI - A)^{-1}]$ . We have

$$(sI - A) = \begin{pmatrix} s - \sigma & -\omega \\ \omega & s - \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$(sI - A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(s - \sigma)^2 + \omega^2} \begin{pmatrix} s - \sigma & \omega \\ -\omega & s - \sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform element-wise gives us the previous result.

**Exercise 11.4** This equality is shown through the definition of the matrix exponential. The derivation is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left[ t \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \begin{pmatrix} t^k A^k & \\ & t^k B^k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k A^k & \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k B^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{tA} & \\ & e^{tB} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 11.5** By direct substitution for the proposed solution, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tA} B e^{tA} x(t) &= e^{-tA} B e^{tA} e^{-tA} e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0) \\ &= e^{-tA} B e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Differentiating the proposed solution, we have:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -A e^{-tA} e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0) + e^{-tA} (A+B) e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0)$$

But since  $A e^{-tA} = e^{-tA} A$ , this is:

$$\begin{aligned} &= e^{-tA} (-A + A + B) e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0) \\ &= e^{-tA} B e^{(t-t_0)(A+B)} e^{t_0 A} x(t_0) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since (3) and (2) are equal, the proposed solution satisfies the system of ODEs and hence is a solution. Moreover, it can be shown that the solution is unique (though this is not the subject of this class).

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.241J / 16.338J Dynamic Systems and Control  
Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.