LECTURE 11

LECTURE OUTLINE

- Review of convex progr. duality/counterexamples
- Fenchel Duality
- Conic Duality

Reading: Sections 5.3.1-5.3.6

Line of analysis so far:

• Convex analysis (rel. int., dir. of recession, hyperplanes, conjugacy)

• MC/MC - Three general theorems: Strong duality, existence of dual optimal solutions, polyhedral refinements

- Nonlinear Farkas' Lemma
- Linear programming (duality, opt. conditions)
- Convex programming

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x)\\ \text{subject to} & x \in X, \ g(x) \leq 0, \ Ax = b, \end{array}$

where X is convex, $g(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_r(x))', f : X \mapsto \Re$ and $g_j : X \mapsto \Re, j = 1, \dots, r$, are convex. (Nonlin. Farkas' Lemma, duality, opt. conditions)

DUALITY AND OPTIMALITY COND.

• Pure equality constraints:

- (a) Assume that f^* : finite and there exists $\overline{x} \in ri(X)$ such that $A\overline{x} = b$. Then $f^* = q^*$ and there exists a dual optimal solution.
- (b) $f^* = q^*$, and (x^*, λ^*) are a primal and dual optimal solution pair if and only if x^* is feasible, and

$$x^* \in \arg\min_{x \in X} L(x,\lambda^*)$$

Note: No complementary slackness for equality constraints.

• Linear and nonlinear constraints:

- (a) Assume f^* : finite, that there exists $\overline{x} \in X$ such that $A\overline{x} = b$ and $g(\overline{x}) < 0$, and that there exists $\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{ri}(X)$ such that $A\tilde{x} = b$. Then $q^* = f^*$ and there exists a dual optimal solution.
- (b) $f^* = q^*$, and (x^*, μ^*, λ^*) are a primal and dual optimal solution pair if and only if x^* is feasible, $\mu^* \ge 0$, and

$$x^* \in \arg\min_{x \in X} L(x, \mu^*, \lambda^*), \ \mu_j^* g_j(x^*) = 0, \quad \forall j$$

COUNTEREXAMPLE I

• Strong Duality Counterexample: Consider

minimize $f(x) = e^{-\sqrt{x_1 x_2}}$ subject to $x_1 = 0$, $x \in X = \{x \mid x \ge 0\}$

Here $f^* = 1$ and f is convex (its Hessian is > 0 in the interior of X). The dual function is

$$q(\lambda) = \inf_{x \ge 0} \left\{ e^{-\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} + \lambda x_1 \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda \ge 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(when $\lambda \geq 0$, the expression in braces is nonnegative for $x \geq 0$ and can approach zero by taking $x_1 \to 0$ and $x_1 x_2 \to \infty$). Thus $q^* = 0$.

- The relative interior assumption is violated.
- As predicted by the corresponding MC/MC framework, the perturbation function

$$p(u) = \inf_{x_1 = u, x \ge 0} e^{-\sqrt{x_1 x_2}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } u = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } u < 0, \end{cases}$$

is not lower semicontinuous at u = 0.

COUNTEREXAMPLE VISUALIZATION



• Connection with counterexample for preservation of closedness under partial minimization.

COUNTEREXAMPLE II

• Existence of Solutions Counterexample: Let $X = \Re$, f(x) = x, $g(x) = x^2$. Then $x^* = 0$ is the only feasible/optimal solution, and we have

$$q(\mu) = \inf_{x \in \Re} \{ x + \mu x^2 \} = -\frac{1}{4\mu}, \qquad \forall \ \mu > 0,$$

and $q(\mu) = -\infty$ for $\mu \leq 0$, so that $q^* = f^* = 0$. However, there is no $\mu^* \geq 0$ such that $q(\mu^*) = q^* = 0$.

• The perturbation function is

$$p(u) = \inf_{x^2 \le u} x = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{u} & \text{if } u \ge 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases}$$



FENCHEL DUALITY FRAMEWORK

• Consider the problem

minimize $f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ subject to $x \in \Re^n$,

where $f_1 : \Re^n \mapsto (-\infty, \infty]$ and $f_2 : \Re^n \mapsto (-\infty, \infty]$ are closed proper convex functions.

• Convert to the equivalent problem

minimize $f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$ subject to $x_1 = x_2$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f_1)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(f_2)$

• The dual function is

$$q(\lambda) = \inf_{\substack{x_1 \in \text{dom}(f_1), \ x_2 \in \text{dom}(f_2)}} \left\{ f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2) + \lambda'(x_2 - x_1) \right\}$$
$$= \inf_{x_1 \in \Re^n} \left\{ f_1(x_1) - \lambda' x_1 \right\} + \inf_{x_2 \in \Re^n} \left\{ f_2(x_2) + \lambda' x_2 \right\}$$

• Dual problem: $\max_{\lambda} \{-f_1^{\star}(\lambda) - f_2^{\star}(-\lambda)\} = -\min_{\lambda} \{-q(\lambda)\}$ or

minimize
$$f_1^{\star}(\lambda) + f_2^{\star}(-\lambda)$$

subject to $\lambda \in \Re^n$,

where f_1^{\star} and f_2^{\star} are the conjugates.

FENCHEL DUALITY THEOREM

- Consider the Fenchel framework:
 - (a) If f^* is finite and $\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f_1)) \cap \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f_2)) \neq \emptyset$, then $f^* = q^*$ and there exists at least one dual optimal solution.
 - (b) There holds $f^* = q^*$, and (x^*, λ^*) is a primal and dual optimal solution pair if and only if

$$x^* \in \arg\min_{x \in \Re^n} \left\{ f_1(x) - x'\lambda^* \right\}, \ x^* \in \arg\min_{x \in \Re^n} \left\{ f_2(x) + x'\lambda^* \right\}$$

Proof: For strong duality use the equality constrained problem

minimize $f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$ subject to $x_1 = x_2$, $x_1 \in \text{dom}(f_1)$, $x_2 \in \text{dom}(f_2)$

and the fact

 $\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f_1) \times \operatorname{dom}(f_2)) = \operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f_1)) \times (\operatorname{dom}(f_2))$

to satisfy the relative interior condition.

For part (b), apply the optimality conditions (primal and dual feasibility, and Lagrangian optimality).

GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION



• When dom $(f_1) = \text{dom}(f_2) = \Re^n$, and f_1 and f_2 are differentiable, the optimality condition is equivalent to

$$\lambda^* = \nabla f_1(x^*) = -\nabla f_2(x^*)$$

• By reversing the roles of the (symmetric) primal and dual problems, we obtain alternative criteria for strong duality: if q^* is finite and $\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f_1^*)) \cap$ $\operatorname{ri}(-\operatorname{dom}(f_2^*)) \neq \emptyset$, then $f^* = q^*$ and there exists at least one primal optimal solution.

CONIC PROBLEMS

• A conic problem is to minimize a convex function $f : \Re^n \mapsto (-\infty, \infty]$ subject to a cone constraint.

- The most useful/popular special cases:
 - Linear-conic programming
 - Second order cone programming
 - Semidefinite programming

involve minimization of a linear function over the intersection of an affine set and a cone.

• Can be analyzed as a special case of Fenchel duality.

• There are many interesting applications of conic problems, including in discrete optimization.

CONIC DUALITY

• Consider minimizing f(x) over $x \in C$, where f: $\Re^n \mapsto (-\infty, \infty]$ is a closed proper convex function and C is a closed convex cone in \Re^n .

• We apply Fenchel duality with the definitions

$$f_1(x) = f(x),$$
 $f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C, \\ \infty & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$

The conjugates are

$$f_1^{\star}(\lambda) = \sup_{x \in \Re^n} \left\{ \lambda' x - f(x) \right\}, \ f_2^{\star}(\lambda) = \sup_{x \in C} \lambda' x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda \in C^*, \\ \infty & \text{if } \lambda \notin C^*, \end{cases}$$

where $C^* = \{\lambda \mid \lambda' x \leq 0, \forall x \in C\}.$

• The dual problem is

minimize
$$f^{\star}(\lambda)$$

subject to $\lambda \in \hat{C}$,

where f^{\star} is the conjugate of f and

$$\hat{C} = \{ \lambda \mid \lambda' x \ge 0, \, \forall \, x \in C \}.$$

 \hat{C} and $-\hat{C}$ are called the *dual* and *polar* cones.

CONIC DUALITY THEOREM

• Assume that the optimal value of the primal conic problem is finite, and that

$$\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f)) \cap \operatorname{ri}(C) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, there is no duality gap and the dual problem has an optimal solution.

• Using the symmetry of the primal and dual problems, we also obtain that there is no duality gap and the primal problem has an optimal solution if the optimal value of the dual conic problem is finite, and

$$\operatorname{ri}(\operatorname{dom}(f^{\star})) \cap \operatorname{ri}(\hat{C}) \neq \emptyset.$$

LINEAR CONIC PROGRAMMING

• Let f be linear over its domain, i.e.,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c'x & \text{if } x \in X, \\ \infty & \text{if } x \notin X, \end{cases}$$

where c is a vector, and X = b + S is an affine set.

• Primal problem is

minimize c'x

- subject to $x b \in S$, $x \in C$.
- We have

$$f^{\star}(\lambda) = \sup_{\substack{x-b \in S}} (\lambda - c)' x = \sup_{\substack{y \in S}} (\lambda - c)' (y + b)$$
$$= \begin{cases} (\lambda - c)' b & \text{if } \lambda - c \in S^{\perp}, \\ \infty & \text{if } \lambda - c \notin S. \end{cases}$$

• Dual problem is equivalent to

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & b'\lambda \\ \text{subject to} & \lambda-c\in S^{\perp}, \quad \lambda\in \hat{C}. \end{array}$

• If $X \cap \operatorname{ri}(C) = \emptyset$, there is no duality gap and there exists a dual optimal solution.

ANOTHER APPROACH TO DUALITY

• Consider the problem

minimize f(x)

subject to $x \in X$, $g_j(x) \le 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$

and perturbation fn $p(u) = \inf_{x \in X, g(x) \le u} f(x)$

• Recall the MC/MC framework with M = epi(p). Assuming that p is convex and $f^* < \infty$, by 1st MC/MC theorem, we have $f^* = q^*$ if and only if p is lower semicontinuous at 0.

• **Duality Theorem:** Assume that X, f, and g_j are closed convex, and the feasible set is nonempty and compact. Then $f^* = q^*$ and the set of optimal primal solutions is nonempty and compact.

Proof: Use partial minimization theory w/ the function

$$F(x, u) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in X, \ g(x) \le u, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

p is obtained by the partial minimization:

$$p(u) = \inf_{x \in \Re^n} F(x, u).$$

Under the given assumption, p is closed convex.

6.253 Convex Analysis and Optimization Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.