# LECTURE 23

# LECTURE OUTLINE

- Review of subgradient methods
- Application to differentiable problems Gradient projection
- Iteration complexity issues
- Complexity of gradient projection
- Projection method with extrapolation
- Optimal algorithms

• Reference: The on-line chapter of the textbook

All figures are courtesy of Athena Scientific, and are used with permission.

#### SUBGRADIENT METHOD

• **Problem:** Minimize convex function  $f : \Re^n \mapsto \Re$  over a closed convex set X.

• Subgradient method - constant step  $\alpha$ :

$$x_{k+1} = P_X \big( x_k - \alpha_k \tilde{\nabla} f(x_k) \big),$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_k)$  is a subgradient of f at  $x_k$ , and  $P_X(\cdot)$  is projection on X.

- Assume  $\|\tilde{\nabla}f(x_k)\| \leq c$  for all k.
- Key inequality: For all optimal  $x^*$

 $||x_{k+1} - x^*||^2 \le ||x_k - x^*||^2 - 2\alpha (f(x_k) - f^*) + \alpha^2 c^2$ 

• Convergence to a neighborhood result:

$$\lim \inf_{k \to \infty} f(x_k) \le f^* + \frac{\alpha c^2}{2}$$

• Iteration complexity result: For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\min_{0 \le k \le K} f(x_k) \le f^* + \frac{\alpha c^2 + \epsilon}{2},$$

where  $K = \left\lfloor \frac{\min_{x^* \in X^*} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{\alpha \epsilon} \right\rfloor$ .

• For  $\alpha = \epsilon/c^2$ , we need  $O(1/\epsilon^2)$  iterations to get within  $\epsilon$  of the optimal value  $f^*$ .

#### **GRADIENT PROJECTION METHOD**

- Let f be differentiable and assume  $\left\| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \right\| \le L \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- Gradient projection method:

$$x_{k+1} = P_X(x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k))$$

• Define the *linear approximation function at x* 

$$\ell(y;x) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)'(y-x), \qquad y \in \Re^n$$

• First key inequality: For all  $x, y \in X$ 

$$f(y) \le \ell(y; x) + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2$$

• Using the projection theorem to write

$$\left(x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k) - x_{k+1}\right)' (x_k - x_{k+1}) \le 0,$$

and then the 1st key inequality, we have

$$f(x_{k+1}) \le f(x_k) - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{L}{2}\right) \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

so there is cost reduction for  $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{2}{L}\right)$ 

#### **ITERATION COMPLEXITY**

• Connection with proximal algorithm

$$y = \arg\min_{z \in X} \left\{ \ell(z; x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|z - x\|^2 \right\} = P_X \left( x - \alpha \nabla f(x) \right)$$

• Second key inequality: For any  $x \in X$ , if  $y = P_X(x - \alpha \nabla f(x))$ , then for all  $z \in X$ , we have

$$\ell(y;x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|y - x\|^2 \le \ell(z;x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|z - x\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|z - y\|^2$$

• Complexity Estimate: Let the stepsize of the method be  $\alpha = 1/L$ . Then for all k

$$f(x_k) - f^* \le \frac{L \min_{x^* \in X^*} ||x_0 - x^*||^2}{2k}$$

• Thus, we need  $O(1/\epsilon)$  iterations to get within  $\epsilon$  of  $f^*$ . Better than nondifferentiable case.

• Practical implementation/same complexity: Start with some  $\alpha$  and reduce it by some factor as many times as necessary to get

$$f(x_{k+1}) \le \ell(x_{k+1}; x_k) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

#### SHARPNESS OF COMPLEXITY ESTIMATE



• Unconstrained minimization of

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{2}|x|^2 & \text{if } |x| \le \epsilon, \\ c\epsilon|x| - \frac{c\epsilon^2}{2} & \text{if } |x| > \epsilon \end{cases}$$

• With stepsize  $\alpha = 1/L = 1/c$  and any  $x_k > \epsilon$ ,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(x_k) = x_k - \frac{1}{c}c \epsilon = x_k - \epsilon$$

• The number of iterations to get within an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $x^* = 0$  is  $|x_0|/\epsilon$ .

• The number of iterations to get to within  $\epsilon$  of  $f^* = 0$  is proportional to  $1/\epsilon$  for large  $x_0$ .

### **EXTRAPOLATION VARIANTS**

• An old method for unconstrained optimization, known as the *heavy-ball* method or gradient method with *momentum*:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k) + \beta (x_k - x_{k-1}),$$

where  $x_{-1} = x_0$  and  $\beta$  is a scalar with  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

• A variant of this scheme for constrained problems separates the extrapolation and the gradient steps:

$$y_k = x_k + \beta(x_k - x_{k-1}),$$
 (extrapolation step),  
 $x_{k+1} = P_X(y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)),$  (grad. projection step).

• When applied to the preceding example, the method converges to the optimum, and reaches a neighborhood of the optimum more quickly

• However, the method still has an  $O(1/\epsilon)$  iteration complexity, since for  $x_0 >> 1$ , we have

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = \beta(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \epsilon$$

so  $x_{k+1} - x_k \approx \epsilon/(1-\beta)$ , and the number of iterations needed to obtain  $x_k < \epsilon$  is  $O((1-\beta)/\epsilon)$ .

#### **OPTIMAL COMPLEXITY ALGORITHM**

• Surprisingly with a proper more vigorous extrapolation  $\beta_k \to 1$  in the extrapolation scheme

 $y_k = x_k + \beta_k (x_k - x_{k-1}), \qquad \text{(extrapolation step)},$  $x_{k+1} = P_X (y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)), \qquad \text{(grad. projection step)},$ 

the method has iteration complexity  $O(1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$ .

• Choices that work

$$\beta_k = \frac{\theta_k (1 - \theta_{k-1})}{\theta_{k-1}}$$

where the sequence  $\{\theta_k\}$  satisfies  $\theta_0 = \theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ , and

$$\frac{1-\theta_{k+1}}{\theta_{k+1}^2} \le \frac{1}{\theta_k^2}, \qquad \theta_k \le \frac{2}{k+2}$$

• One possible choice is

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \frac{k-1}{k+2} & \text{if } k \ge 1, \end{cases} \qquad \theta_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = -1, \\ \frac{2}{k+2} & \text{if } k \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

• Highly unintuitive. Good performance reported.

## EXTENSION TO NONDIFFERENTIABLE CASE

• Consider the nondifferentiable problem of minimizing convex function  $f : \Re^n \mapsto \Re$  over a closed convex set X.

• Approach: "Smooth" f, i.e., approximate it with a differentiable function by using a proximal minimization scheme.

• Apply optimal complexity gradient projection method with extrapolation. Then an  $O(1/\epsilon)$  iteration complexity algorithm is obtained.

• Can be shown that this complexity bound is sharp.

• Improves on the subgradient complexity bound by a an  $\epsilon$  factor.

• Limited experience with such methods.

• Major disadvantage: Cannot take advantage of special structure, e.g., there are no incremental versions.

6.253 Convex Analysis and Optimization Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.