## LECTURE 18

## Last time:

- White Gaussian noise
- Bandlimited WGN
- Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) channel
- Capacity of AWGN channel
- Application: DS-CDMA systems
- Spreading
- Coding theorem


## Lecture outline

- Gaussian channels: parallel
- colored noise
- inter-symbol interference
- general case: multiple inputs and outputs

Reading: Sections 10.4-10.5.

## Parallel Gaussian channels

$Y^{j}=X^{j}+N^{j}$
where $\sigma^{2 j}$ is the variance for channel $j$ (superscript to show that it could be something else than several samples from a single channel)
the noises on the channels are mutually independent
the constraint on energy, however, is over all the channels

$$
E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(X^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \leq P
$$

## Parallel Gaussian channels

How do we allocate our resources across channels when we want to maximize the total mutual information:

We seek the maximum over all
$f_{X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}}\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{k}\right)$ s.t. $E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(X^{j}\right)^{2}\right] \leq P$
of $I\left(\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}\right) ;\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k}\right)\right)$
Intuitively, we know that channels with good SNR get more input energy, channels with bad SNR get less input energy

## Parallel Gaussian channels

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}\right) ;\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k}\right)\right) \\
= & h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k}\right)-h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k} \mid X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}\right) \\
= & h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k}\right)-h\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{k}\right) \\
= & h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} h\left(N^{j}\right) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{k} h\left(Y^{j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} h\left(N^{j}\right) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{P^{j}}{\sigma^{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E\left[\left(X^{i}\right)^{2}\right]=P^{i}$
hence $\sum_{j=1}^{k} P^{j} \leq P$
equality is achieved for the $X^{j}$ s independent and Gaussian (but not necessarily IID)

## Parallel Gaussian channels

Hence ( $X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}$ ) is 0-mean with
$\wedge_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}\right)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}P^{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & P^{2} & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & P^{k}\end{array}\right]$
the total energy constraint is a constraint we handle using Lagrange multipliers

The function we now consider is

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{P^{j}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{k} P^{j}
$$

after differentiating with respect to $P^{j}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P^{j}+\sigma^{j}}+\lambda=0$
so we want to choose the $P^{j}+N^{j}$ to be constant subject to the additional constrain that the $P^{j}$ s must be non-negative

Select a dummy variable $\nu$ then $\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\nu-$ $\left.\sigma^{j^{2}}\right)^{+}=P$

## Parallel Gaussian channels

Water-filling graphical interpretation

Revisit the issue of spreading in frequency

## Colored noise

$Y_{i}=X_{i}+N_{i}$
for $n$ time samples $\wedge_{\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)}$ is not a diagonal matrix: colored stationary GN

Energy constraint $\frac{1}{n} E\left[X_{i}^{2}\right] \leq P$
Example: we can make

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right) ;\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}\right)\right) \\
= & h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}\right)-h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n} \mid X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right) \\
= & h\left(Y^{1}, \ldots, Y^{n}\right)-h\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Need to maximize the first entropy
Using the fact that a Gaussian maximizes entropy for a given autocorrelation matrix, we obtain that the maximum is
$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left((2 \pi e)^{n}\left|\Lambda_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)}+\Lambda_{\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{n}\right)}\right|\right)$
note that the constraint on energy is a constraint on the trace of $\wedge_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)}$

Consider $\left|\Lambda_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)}+\Lambda_{\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{n}\right)}\right|$

## Colored noise

Consider the decomposition $Q \wedge Q^{T}=\wedge_{\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{n}\right)}$, where $Q Q^{T}=I$

Indeed, $\wedge_{\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{n}\right)}$ is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}+\wedge_{\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)}\right| \\
= & \left|\wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}+Q \wedge Q^{T}\right| \\
= & \left|Q \| Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)} Q+\wedge\right|\left|Q^{T}\right| \\
= & \left|Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)} Q+\wedge\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{trace}\left(Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)} Q\right) \\
= & \operatorname{trace}\left(Q Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{trace}\left(\wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so energy constraint on input becomes a constraint on new matrix $Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)} Q$

## Colored noise

We know that because conditioning reduces entropy, $h(W, V) \leq h(W)+h(V)$

In particular, if $W$ and $V$ are jointly Gaussian, then this means that
$\ln \left(\left|\Lambda_{W, V}\right|\right) \leq \ln \left(\sigma_{V}^{2}\right)+\ln \left(\sigma_{W}^{2}\right)$
hence $\left|\wedge_{W, V}\right| \leq \sigma_{V}^{2} \times \sigma_{W}^{2}$
the RHS is the product of the diagonal terms of $\wedge_{W, V}$

Hence, we can use information theory to show Hadamard's inequality, which states that the determinant of any positive definite matrix is upper bounded by the product of its diagonal elements

## Colored noise

Hence, $\left|Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)} Q+\wedge\right|$ is upper bounded by the product
$\prod_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}+\lambda_{i}\right)$
where the diagonal elements of $Q^{T} \wedge_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)} Q$ are the $\alpha_{i} \mathrm{~s}$
their sum is upper bounded by $n P$
To maximize the product, we would want to take the elements to be equal to some constant

At least, we want to make them as equal as possible
$\alpha_{i}=\left(\nu-\lambda_{i}\right)^{+}$
where $\sum \alpha_{i}=n P$
$\wedge_{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)}=Q \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) Q^{T}$

## ISI channels

$Y_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{T_{d}} \alpha_{k} X_{j-k}+N_{j}$
we may rewrite this as $\underline{Y}^{n}=A \underline{X}^{n}+\underline{N}^{n}$
with some correction factor at the beginning for $X$ s before time 1
$A^{-1} \underline{Y}^{n}=\underline{X}^{n}+A^{-1} \underline{N}^{n}$
consider mutual information between $\underline{X}^{n}$ and $A^{-1} \underline{Y}^{n}$ - same as between $\underline{X}^{n}$ and $\underline{Y}^{n}$
equivalent to a colored Gaussian noise channel
spectral domain water-filling

## General case

Single user in multipath

$$
\underline{Y}_{k}=\underline{f}^{k} \underline{S}_{k}+\underline{N}_{k}
$$

where $f^{k}$ is the complex matrix with entries
$f[j, i]=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}\sum_{\text {all paths } m} g^{m}[j, j-i] & \text { for } 0 \leq j-i \leq \Delta \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right\}$
For the multiple access model, each source has its own time-varying channel

$$
\underline{Y}_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \underline{f}_{i}^{k} \underline{S_{i k}}+\underline{N}_{k}
$$

the receiver and the sender have perfect knowledge of the channel for all times

In the case of a time-varying channel, this would require knowledge of the future behavior of the channel
the mutual information between input and output is

$$
I\left(\underline{Y}_{k} ; \underline{S}_{k}\right)=h\left(\underline{Y}_{k}\right)-h\left(\underline{N}_{k}\right)
$$

## General case

We may actually deal with complex random variables, in which case we have $2 k$ degrees of freedom

We shall use the random vectors $\underline{S}_{2 k}^{\prime}, \underline{Y}_{2 k}^{\prime}$ and $\underline{N}_{2 k}^{\prime}$, whose first $k$ components and last $k$ components are, respectively, the real and imaginary parts of the corresponding vectors $\underline{S}_{k}, \underline{Y}_{k}$ and $\underline{N}_{k}$

More generally, the channel may change the dimensionality of the problem, for instance because of time variations

$$
\underline{Y}^{\prime} 2 k=\underline{f}_{2 k}^{\prime 2 k} \underline{S}^{\prime} \underline{\prime^{\prime}} 2 k^{\prime}+\underline{N}^{\prime} 2 k
$$

Let us consider the $2 k^{\prime}$ by $2 k^{\prime}$ matrix $\underline{f^{\prime 2}} 2 k^{T} \underline{f^{\prime} 2 k} \underset{2 k^{\prime}}{ }$
Let $\lambda_{1} \ldots, \lambda_{2 k^{\prime}}$ be the eigenvalues of ${\underline{f^{\prime}}}_{2 k^{\prime}} \underline{f}^{T} \underline{f}_{2 k^{\prime}}$

These eigenvalues are real and non-negative

## General case

Using water-filling arguments similar to the ones for colored noise, we may establish that maximum mutual information per second is $\frac{1}{2 T} \sum_{i=1}^{2 k^{\prime}} \ln \left(1+\frac{u_{i} \lambda_{i}}{\frac{W N_{0}}{2}}\right)$
where $u_{i}$ is given by

$$
u_{i}=\left(\gamma-\frac{W N_{0}}{2 \lambda_{i}}\right)^{+}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2 k^{\prime}} u_{i}=T P W
$$

## General case

Let us consider the multiple access case
We place a constraint, $P$, on the sum of all the $K$ users' powers

The users may cooperate, and therefore act as an antenna array

Such a model is only reasonable if the users are co-located or linked to each other in some fashion

There are $M=2 K k^{\prime}$ input degrees of freedom and $2 k$ output degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[Y[1] \ldots Y[2 k]]} \\
={\widehat{f^{\prime}}}_{M}^{2 k}\left[\widehat{S}[1] \ldots \widehat{S}_{i}[M]\right]^{T}+[N[1] \ldots N[2 k]]
\end{gathered}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
{[\widehat{S}[1] \ldots \widehat{S}[M]]=\left[S_{1}[1] \ldots S_{1}\left[2 k^{\prime}\right], S_{2}[1] \ldots\right.} \\
\left.S_{2}\left[2 k^{\prime}\right], \ldots, S_{K}[1] \ldots S_{K}\left[2 k^{\prime}\right]\right] \\
{\underline{f^{\prime}}}_{M}^{2 k}=\left[\underline{f_{1}} 2 k^{\prime}, \underline{f_{2}} 2 k k^{2 k}, \ldots, \underline{f_{k}} 2 k\right. \\
2 k^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## General case

$\widehat{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}{ }_{M}{ }^{T}{\widehat{\widehat{f}^{\prime}}}_{M}^{2 k}$ has $M$ eigenvalues, all of which are real and non-negative and at most $2 k$ of which are non-zero

Let us assume that there are $\kappa$ positive eigenvalues, which we denote $\hat{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\lambda}_{\kappa}$

We have decomposed our multiple-access channels into $\kappa$ channels which may be interpreted as parallel independent channels

The input has $M-\kappa$ additional degrees of freedom, but those degrees of freedom do not reach the output

The maximization along the active $\kappa$ channels may now be performed using waterfilling techniques

Let $T$ be the duration of the transmission

## General case

We choose

$$
u_{i}=\left(\gamma-\frac{N_{0} W}{2 \widehat{\lambda}_{i}}\right)^{+}
$$

for $\hat{\lambda}_{i} \neq 0$, where $\gamma$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{i \text { such that } \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \neq 0}\left(\gamma-\frac{N_{0} W}{2 \widehat{\lambda}_{i}}\right)^{+}=T P W
$$

and $u_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2 k} u_{i}=T P W
$$

We have reduced several channels, each with its own user, to a single channel with a composite user

The sum of all the mutual informations averaged over time is upper bounded by

$$
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i \text { such that } \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\left(\gamma-\frac{N_{0} W}{2 \widehat{\lambda}_{i}}\right)^{+} \widehat{\lambda}_{i}}{\frac{N_{0} W}{2}}\right)
$$
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