## LECTURE 20

## Last time:

- Gaussian channels with feedback
- Upper bound to benefit of capacity


## Lecture outline

- Multiple access channels
- Coding theorem
- Capacity region for Gaussian channels

Reading: Section 14.1-14.3.

## Multiple access channels

Several users share the same medium

What is the right metric? Joint information?

User 1 has rate $R_{1}$ and user 2 has rate $R_{2}$

How do we relate them to mutual information?

Model: $Y_{i}=X_{1 i}+X_{2 i}+N_{i}$

Liao and Ahlswede (independently, 1972)
$R_{1} \leq I\left(X_{1} ; Y \mid X_{2}\right)$
$R_{2} \leq I\left(X_{2} ; Y \mid X_{1}\right)$
$R_{1}+R_{2} \leq I\left(\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) ; Y\right)$

## Coding theorem

$m_{i}$ : message sent by user $i$
$\widehat{m}_{i}$ : decoded message for user $i$
$P e_{1}\left(P e_{2}\right)$ : probability that the decoded codeword for user 1 (2) is different from that sent by user 1 (2) while the decoded codeword for user 2 (1) is the same as the one sent by user 2 (1) (such errors will be denoted as errors of type 1 (2))
$P e_{1,2}$ : probability that the decoded codewords for both users 1 and 2 are different from those sent by those users (such an error will be denoted as error of type 3)

We begin by bounding the probability of error with an exponential argument and then we explore the behavior of that argument

## Coding theorem

We first consider errors of type 1 - results for errors of type 2 can be derived analogously

We denote $P e_{1, m_{1}, m_{2}}$ the probability that an error of type 1 occurs conditioned on messages $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ being sent

Using the overbear to denote expectation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{P e_{1, m_{1}, m_{2}}} \\
=\int_{\underline{y}} \int_{\underline{x}_{1}} \int_{\underline{x}_{2}} f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right) f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right) f_{\underline{Y} \mid \underline{X}_{1}, \underline{X}_{2}}\left(y \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
P\left(\left\{\left(\left(\widehat{m}_{1} \neq m_{1}\right) \cap\left(\widehat{m}_{2}=m_{2} \mid \underline{y}, \underline{x}_{1}, \underline{x}_{2}\right)\right\}\right) d \underline{x}_{2} d \underline{x}_{1} d \underline{y} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the union bound, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\left\{\left(\widehat{m}_{1} \neq m_{1}\right) \cap\left(\widehat{m}_{2}=m_{2} \mid \underline{y}, \underline{x}_{1}, \underline{x}_{2}\right)\right\}\right) \leq \\
& \left\{\sum_{m \neq m_{1}} P\left(\left\{\left(\widehat{m}_{1}=m\right) \cap\left(\widehat{m}_{2}=m_{2} \mid \underline{y}, \underline{x}_{1}, \underline{x}_{2}\right)\right\}\right)\right\}^{\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\forall 0 \leq \rho \leq 1
$$

## Coding theorem

Using arguments similar to those for the single user strong coding theorem, we can establish
$\forall \rho \in[0,1], f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)$ and $f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)$ probability density functions for $\underline{X_{1}}$ and $\underline{X_{2}}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{P e_{1, m_{1}, m_{2}}} \leq \\
& \exp \left(-N\left(-\rho R_{1}+E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)=-\frac{1}{N} \\
& \operatorname{In}\left\{\int_{\underline{y}} \int_{\underline{x}_{2}} f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left\{\int_{\underline{x}} f_{\underline{X}_{1}}(\underline{x}) f_{\underline{Y} \mid \underline{X}, \underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{y} \mid \underline{x}, \underline{x}_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} d \underline{x}\right\}^{1+\rho} d \underline{x}_{2} d \underline{y}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Coding theorem

It now suffices to determine the behavior of the exponent to determine whether the upper bound to error probability becomes vanishingly small

The following lemma parallels the one for the one-user case

If $I\left(\underline{X}_{1} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X}_{2}\right)>0$, then for all $1 \geq \rho \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(\underline{X}_{1} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X}_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\partial N E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{X_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)}{\partial \rho}>0  \tag{1}\\
E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} N E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)}{\partial \rho^{2}} \leq 0 \\
\left.\frac{\partial E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\rho=0}=\frac{I\left(\underline{X}_{1} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X}_{2}\right)}{N} . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Coding theorem

Let $\mathrm{q}^{1, N}, \mathrm{q}^{2, N}$ be a pair of probability density functions for the codewords of length $N$ of users 1 and 2

In order for $E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, \mathbf{q}^{1, N}, \mathbf{q}^{2, N}\right)-\rho R_{1}$ to be strictly positive for some $\rho$ in $[0,1]$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\left.\left\{\frac{\partial E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, \mathbf{q}^{1, N}, \mathbf{q}^{2, N}\right)}{\partial \rho}-R_{1}\right\}\right|_{\rho=0}>0
$$

We have that:

For all $f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)$ probability density functions for $\underline{X_{1}}, \underline{X_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{I\left(\underline{X}_{1} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X_{2}}\right)}{N}>R_{1} \geq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \exists \rho \in[0,1] \text { s.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)-R_{1} \rho>0$
We can establish analogous results for errors of type 2 and 3

## Coding theorem

Let us define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\min }= & \min \left[\max _{\rho}\left(E_{0}^{1}\left(\rho, q^{1, N}, q^{2, N}\right)-R_{1} \rho\right),\right. \\
& \max _{\rho}\left(E_{0}^{2}\left(\rho, f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)\right)-R_{2} \rho\right), \\
& \left.\max _{\rho}\left(E_{0}^{3}\left(\rho, q^{1, N}, q^{2, N}\right)-\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right) \rho\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{0}^{2}$ and $E_{0}^{3}$ is defined analogously to $E_{0}^{1}$. We may state the following theorem:

For all $f_{\underline{X}_{1}}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right), f_{\underline{X}_{2}}\left(\underline{x}_{2}\right)$ probability density functions for $\underline{X}_{1}, \underline{X_{1}}$, for any messages $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ of users 1 and 2 , we have

$$
P e_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \leq 3 e^{-N E_{\min }}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{I\left(\underline{\left.X_{1} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X_{2}}\right)}\right.}{N}>R_{1} \geq 0 \text { and } \\
& \frac{I\left(\underline{\left.X_{2} ; \underline{Y} \mid \underline{X_{1}}\right)}\right.}{N}>R_{2} \geq 0 \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{I\left(\left(\underline{X_{1}}, \underline{X_{2}}\right) ; \underline{Y}\right)}{N}>R_{1}+R_{2} \geq 0 \Rightarrow E_{\min }>0
$$

## Capacity region

Cover-Wyner region for two users

## Capacity region

Consider AWGN Multiple-access channel, user $i$ has energy $\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}$

Pentagon: dominant face corresponds to
$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)$
Interference cancellation at the corners:
$\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}+\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right), \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)$
$\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right), \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)$
without interference cancellation:
$\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}+\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right), \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)$
Recall DS-CDMA example

## Capacity region

FDMA: $\left(\frac{W_{1}}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{W_{1} \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right), \frac{W_{2}}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{W_{2} \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)$
for equal energies, equal $W$ s desirable

TDMA: let $\alpha$ be the fraction of time that user 1 transmits
$\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\alpha \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right), \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{(1-\alpha) \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)$
for equal energies, $\alpha=0.5$ desirable

How do we achieve points on the dominant face, that yields maximum sum rate?

First way: time share between the corners

Other way: rate splitting

## Capacity region

Make one user (say user 1) into two virtual users (virtual user 1 and virtual user 3) and split energy between these two virtual users

Virtual user 1 rate:
$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\alpha \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}\right)$
User 2 rate:
$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}\right)$
Virtual user 3 rate:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)
$$

## Capacity region

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\alpha \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}\right) \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}\right) \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}+\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Capacity region

If we have

$$
R_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}\right)
$$

then $R_{1}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)-R_{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\alpha \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}+(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}+\sigma_{X_{2}}^{2}}\right) \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma_{X_{1}}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One variable provides all the necessary degrees of freedom

## Capacity region

In general, for $\mu$ users, the capacity region is
$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} R_{i} \leq I\left(\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{S}} ; Y \mid\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \notin \mathcal{S}}\right), \forall \mathcal{S} \subset\{1, \ldots, \mu\}$

We have $2 \mu-1$ pseudo-users are sufficient to achieve any point on the multiple-access dominant face
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