6.772/SMA5111 - Compound Semiconductors
Lecture 19 - Laser Diodes, 1 - Outline

• Final Waveguide and LED comments (continued from Lect. 18) Haus resonant corners - experimental data **New LED foils for Lect. 18**  Stimulated emission and optical gain Absorption, spontaneous emission, stimulated emission **Threshold for optical gain** • Laser diode basics (as far as we get; to be cont. in Lect. 20) Lasing and condition at threshold Threshold current density **Differential quantum efficiency Cavity design (in-plane geometries) Vertical structure: Ihomojunction** double heterojunction quantum well **Lateral definition:**  $\Box$ **stripe contact**  $\Box$ **buried heterostructure** shallow rib End-mirror design: cleaved facet etched facet distributed feedback, Bragg reflector

**C. G. Fonstad, 4/03** 

#### Achieving compact rectangular waveguide layouts

• Resonator made using new 90° corners - example fabricated using polysilicon on silicon dioxide



#### **Q** of resonance indicates 0.3 dB loss per corner

[Unpublished data reported in PhD thesis of Desmond Lim Siong, EECS, MIT, June 2000; figure taken from LEOS Tutorial "High Density Optical Integration," by Hermann A. Haus, presented at LEOS, Glasgow, 2002.

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

Lecture 19 - Slide 2

Images courtesy of Desmond Lim Siong. Used with permission.

#### **Light emitting diodes: radiative efficiency, cont**

# Calculation of lifetimes for Si and GaAs using some representative parameter values:

<b>Quantity</b>	GaAs	<u>Si</u>
B	7.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.8 x 10 <sup>-15</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
$\tau_r [= 1/Bp_o]$	<b>1.4 x 10<sup>-8</sup> s</b>	<b>5.6</b> x 10 <sup>-3</sup> s
$\tau_{\rm nr} \left[ = 1/r_{\rm nr} v_{\rm th} N_{\rm nr} \right]$	<b>1.0 x 10-7 s</b>	<b>1.0 x 10-7 s</b>
$\eta_{\rm r}  [= 1/(1 + \tau_{\rm r}/\tau_{\rm nr})]$	0.88	<b>1.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup></b>

# Evaluating $\eta_i$ for several different diodes:

- 1. Long-base homojunction
- 2. Long-base heterojunction
- **3. Double heterojunction**

$$\eta_i = \frac{A}{i_D} \left[ J_e(0^+) - J_e(w_p) \right]$$

1. Long-base, n<sup>+</sup>-p homojunction

$$i_{D} = qAn_{i}^{2} \left[ \frac{D_{e}}{N_{Ap}w_{p}^{*}} + \frac{D_{h}}{N_{Dn}w_{n}^{*}} \right] \left( e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1 \right)$$
  
If  $w_{p} \gg L_{e}$ , then  $AJ_{e}\left(0^{+}\right) \approx qAn_{i}^{2} \left[ \frac{D_{e}}{N_{Ap}L_{e}} \right] \left( e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1 \right)$   
and  $AJ_{e}\left(w_{p}\right) \approx 0$   $\Box$ 

**Using these results we find:** 

$$\eta_i = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_e}$$
, where we introduce  $\delta_e = \frac{D_h}{D_e} \cdot \frac{L_e}{w_n^*} \cdot \frac{N_{Ap}}{N_{Dn}}$ 

Note: we want  $\delta_e$  small, to make  $\eta_i$  near one.

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

### 2. Long-base N-p heterojunction

Continue with assumption that w<sub>p</sub> >> L<sub>e</sub>□ Assume no conduction band spike (i.e., spike graded out) □ In this case: □

$$i_{D} = qA \left[ \frac{D_{e}n_{iNBG}^{2}}{N_{Ap}L_{e}} + \frac{D_{h}n_{iWBG}^{2}}{N_{Dn}w_{n}^{*}} \right] \left( e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1 \right)$$
  
and

$$AJ_{e}(0^{+}) \approx qA \left[ \frac{D_{e}n_{iNBG}^{2}}{N_{Ap}L_{e}} \right] \left( e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1 \right), AJ_{e}(w_{p}) \approx 0$$

Thus in this device:

$$\delta_{e} = \frac{D_{h}}{D_{e}} \cdot \frac{L_{e}}{w_{n}^{*}} \cdot \frac{N_{Ap}}{N_{Dn}} \cdot \frac{n_{iWBG}^{2}}{n_{iNBG}^{2\square}} = \frac{D_{h}}{D_{e}} \cdot \frac{L_{e}}{w_{n}^{*}} \cdot \frac{N_{Ap}}{N_{Dn}} \cdot e^{-\Delta E_{g}/kT}$$

Note: If there is a spike  $\Delta E_g$  is replaced by  $\Delta E_v$ .

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

# 3. Double heterojunction, N-p-P

In the single heterojunction device the current  $\Box$ efficiency is already essentially 100%. Adding a second heterojunction makes  $J_e(w_p) = 0$  even if the narrow bandgap p-region is narrow, and makes it  $\Box$ possible to reach high level injection uniformly throughout the p-region.

With a heterojunction on the p-side of the device the electrons injected into this side will be blocked at the p-P heterojunction, and will "pile-up" in the narrow bandgap p-region.

 $\wedge 1/2$ 

We can write:

$$i_{D} = qA \frac{\int_{0}^{\pi_{p}} n'(x) dx}{\tau_{e}} \approx qA \frac{n'(0)w_{p}}{\tau_{e}}$$
$$= qAw_{p} \frac{n_{iWBG}^{2}}{N_{Ap}\tau_{e}} \left(e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1\right)$$

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

**3.** Double heterojunction, N-p-P, cont.

One interesting consequence of using a second heterojunction can be seen by comparing the diode currents in cases 2 and 3:

$$\frac{i_{D,DH}}{i_{D,SH}} = \frac{qAw_{p} \frac{n_{iWBG}^{2}}{N_{Ap} \tau_{e}} (e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1)}{qA \frac{D_{e} n_{iWBG}^{2}}{N_{Ap} L_{e}} (e^{qv_{AB}/kT} - 1)} = \frac{w_{p} L_{e}}{D_{e} \tau_{e}} = \frac{w_{p}}{L_{e}}$$

We see from this result that the current will be smaller for a given applied bias in the DH diode.

Another interesting and useful result we can obtain from the double heterojuction analysis, is an expression for the excess population in the pregion in terms of the diode current:

$$n' \approx p' \approx \frac{\tau_e \Box}{qAw_p} i_D$$

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

# 3. Double heterojunction, N-p-P, cont.

The next step we should take is to recognize that  $\tau_e$ becomes a function of p' at high injection levels, so we should write:  $i_D$ 

$$p' \approx \frac{\iota_D}{qV_p \left[A + B(p_o + p')\right]}$$

(We have introduced  $V_p$ , the volume of the p-region(=  $w_pA$ ), to avoid confusing the A in the lifetime with the area A.)

Solving for p' we have:

$$p' = \sqrt{\frac{i_D}{qV_pB} + \left(\frac{A + Bp_o}{2B}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{A + Bp_o}{2B}\right)}$$

(You might want to check that this result is consistent with our LLI result that p' is linearly proportional to  $i_D$  at LLI. It is.) Finally, we could use this result to quantify the increase in  $\eta_{rad}$  at HLI: 1

$$\eta_{rad} = \frac{1}{1 + A / [B(p_o + p')]}$$

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

# Light emitting diodes: fighting total internal reflection Transferred substrate technology

LED heterostructure etched free of its GaAs substrate, and a GaP. Comparisons of emission and structures of conventional and transferred substrate LEDs.

(Images deleted) See Kish et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 64 (1994) 2839-2841.

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

#### Materials for Red LEDs: GaAsP, AlInGaP, and GaP



**C. G. Fonstad**, 2/03

**Laser diodes: comparing LEDs and laser diodes** 

# Light emitting diodes vs. Laser diodes <u>LEDs</u> are based on *spontaneous* emission, and have

- **1.** A broad output beam that is hard to capture and focus  $\Box$
- 2. A relatively broad spectral profile
- 3. Low to moderate overall efficiency
- 4. Moderate to high speed ( $\approx 1/\tau_{min}$ )
- <u>Laser Diodes</u> are based on *stimulated* emission, and have the opposite characteristics
  - 1. Narrow, highly directed output
  - 2. Sharp, narrow emission spectrum
  - **3. High differential and overall efficiency**
  - 4. High to very high speed

#### S<u>timulated emission</u> occurs when a passing photon triggers the recombination of an electron and hole, with emission of a second photon with the same frequency (energy), momentum, and phase.

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

**Laser diodes:** achieving stimulated gain

To understand what is necessary to obtain <u>net optical</u> <u>gain</u>, rather than net absorption, we consider optical transitions between two levels in a solid ( $E_1$  and  $E_2$ ), and we look at three transitions occuring with the absorption or emission of photons:

> from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  due to absorption from  $E_2$  to  $E_1$  due to spontaneous emission from  $E_2$  to  $E_1$  due to stimulated emission

- We model the rate of each process using the Einstein A and B coefficients, and then find when the probability is higher that a photon passing will stimulate emission than be absorbed.
- In a semiconductor we consider one state,  $E_1$ , to be in the valence band, and the other,  $E_2$  to be in the conduction band.

#### Laser diodes: achieving stimulated gain, cont

#### **Absorption rate:**

$$R_{ab} = B_{12} \cdot f_1 \cdot N_{\nu}(E_1) \cdot (1 - f_2) \cdot N_c(E_2) \cdot \rho_p(E_2 - E_1)$$

where

- **B<sub>12</sub>: transition probability for absorption**
- N<sub>v</sub>: valence band density of states at E<sub>1</sub>
- N<sub>c</sub>: conduction band density of states at E<sub>2</sub>
- $\rho_p(E_2-E_1)$ : density of photons with correct energy
  - **f<sub>i</sub>:** Fermi function evaluated at **E**<sub>i</sub>

$$f_i = 1 / (e^{E_i - E_{fi}} + 1)$$

where

 $E_{fi}$ : quasi-Fermi level for level i  $\Box$ 

**Spontaneous emission rate:** 

$$R_{sp} = A_{21} \cdot f_2 \cdot N_c(E_2) \cdot (1 - f_1) \cdot N_v(E_1)$$

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

#### Laser diodes: achieving stimulated gain, cont

In the last equation we introduced:

A<sub>21</sub>: transition probability for spontaneous emission  $\Box$ 

**Stimulated emission rate:** 

$$R_{st} = B_{21} \cdot f_2 \cdot N_c(E_2) \cdot (1 - f_1) \cdot N_v(E_1) \cdot \rho_p(E_2 - E_1)$$

where

#### **B**<sub>21</sub>: transition probability for stimulated emission

Note, finally, that in these expressions the Fermi function is evaluated either in the conduction band (i = 2) or valence band (i = 1):

$$f_1 = 1/(e^{E_1 - E_{fv}} + 1), \quad f_2 = 1/(e^{E_2 - E_{fc}} + 1)$$

\*\*\*\*\*

The coefficients, A<sub>21</sub>, B<sub>12</sub>, and B<sub>21</sub>, are related, as we can see by looking at thermal equilibrium, where

$$R_{ab} = R_{sp} + R_{st}, \quad E_{fv} = E_{fc}, \quad \rho_p(E_i) = \frac{8\pi r_o^3}{h^3 c^3} E_i^2 \frac{1}{\left(e^{E_i/kT} - 1\right)}$$

Lecture 19 - Slide 14

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

Laser diodes: achieving stimulated gain, cont

**Proceeding in this we we find:** 

$$B_{12} = B_{21}$$
, and  $A_{21} = \frac{8\pi r_o^3 E_i^2}{h^3 c^3} B_{21}$ 

Now we are ready to find the condition for optical gain,  $\Box$ which we take as when the probability of stimulated  $\Box$ emission is greater than that for absorption. Looking  $\Box$ back at our equations, we find  $R_{st} > R_{ab}$  leads to:  $\Box$ 

\*\*\*\*\*

$$B_{21} \cdot f_2 N_c \cdot (1 - f_1) N_v \cdot \rho_p (E_2 - E_1) > B_{12} \cdot f_1 N_v \cdot (1 - f_2) N_c \cdot \rho_p (E_2 - E_1)$$

**Canceling equivalent terms yields:** 

$$f_2(1-f_1) > f_1(1-f_2)$$

and substituting the appropriate Fermi functions gives us:  $E_{fc} - E_{fv} > (E_2 - E_1) = hv \ge E_g$ 

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

#### **Laser diodes: achieving stimulated gain, cont.**

Our conclusion is that we will have net optical gain, i.e.,  $\Box$ more stimulated emission than absorption, when we  $\Box$ have the quasi-Fermi levels separated by more than  $\Box$ the band gap. This in turn requires high doping and  $\Box$ current levels. It is the equivalent of population  $\Box$ inversion in a semiconductor:  $E_{tc} - E_{tv} > E_{e}$ 

#### \*\*\*\*\*

Next we relate the absorption coefficient,  $\alpha$ , to  $R_{ab}$ ,  $R_{st}$ , and  $R_{sp}$ . A bit of thought shows us that we can say:  $R_{ab}(E) > \begin{bmatrix} R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \\ R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \end{bmatrix} \approx R_{st}(E) \rightarrow \alpha(E) > 0$  Net loss  $R_{ab}(E) < \begin{bmatrix} R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \\ R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \end{bmatrix} \approx R_{st}(E) \rightarrow \alpha(E) < 0$  Net gain  $R_{ab}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \\ R_{st}(E) + R_{sp}(E) \end{bmatrix} \approx R_{st}(E) \rightarrow \alpha(E) = 0 \rightarrow E = E_{fc} - E_{fv}$ 

Notes: Spontaneous emission is negligible because it is randomly  $\Box$  directed. It starts the lasing process, but it does not sustain it. The point at which  $\alpha = 0$  is called the transparency point.

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

**Laser diodes: optical gain coefficient, g(E)** 

**The negative of the absorption coefficient is defined as**  $\Box$ **the gain coefficient:**  $g(E) \equiv \alpha(E) \Box$ 

Writing the light intensity in terms of g(E) we have:  $\Box$  $L(E,x) = L_o(E)e^{-\alpha(E,x)} = L_o(E)e^{g(E,x)}$ 

\*\*\*\*\*

Stimulated recombination is proportional to the carrier populations, and in a semiconductor one carrier is usually in the minority and its population is the one that changes significantly with increasing current injection. If we assume p-type material, we have:

 $g > 0 \rightarrow n > n_{tr}$ 

To first order, the gain will be proportional to this  $\Box$ population, to the extent that it exceeds the  $\Box$ transparency level:  $a \approx G(n-n) \Box$ 

 $g \cong G(n - n_{tr}) \square$ 

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

We not look at a laser diode and calculating the threshold current for lasing, and the light-current relationship

Lasing will be sustained when the optical gain exceeds the optical losses for a round-trip in the cavity. The threshold current is the current level above which this occurs. Laser diodes: threshold current, cont.

Track the light intensity on a full circuit, beginning with  $I_{0\square}$  just inside the facet at  $x = 0^+$ , and directed to the right:  $\square$ 

At 
$$x = 0^+$$
, directed to the right,  $I(0^+) = I_o$   
At  $x = L^-$ , directed to the right,  $I(L^-) = I_o e^{(g-\alpha_L)L}$   
At  $x = L^-$ , directed to the left,  $I(L^-) = R_2 I_o e^{(g-\alpha_L)L}$   
At  $x = 0^+$ , directed to the left,  $I(0^+) = R_2 I_o e^{(g-\alpha_L)2L}$   
At  $x = 0^+$ , directed to the right,  $I(0^+) = R_1 R_2 I_o e^{(g-\alpha_L)2L}$ 

For sustained lasing we must have the intensity after a full circuit be equal to, or greater than, the initial intensity:

$$R_1 R_2 I_o e^{(g - \alpha_L) 2L} \ge I_o$$

This leads us to identify the threshold gain, g<sub>th</sub>:

$$g_{th} = \alpha_L + \frac{1}{2L} \ln \left( \frac{1}{R_1 R_2} \right)$$

We next relate g<sub>th</sub> to the diode current to get the threshold current.

**C. G. Fonstad**, 4/03

Laser diodes: threshold current, cont.

To relate this threshold gain to current we recall that the gain is proportional to the carrier population in excess of the transparency value, and that the population will in general be proportional to the current:

$$g \approx G(n - n_{tr}) = G' \Gamma(n - n_{tr})$$
$$n \approx K i_{D\Box}$$

where

- **G':** the portion of **G** due to material parameters alone
- **Γ:** the portion of G due to geometrical factors (i.e., the overlap of the optical mode and the active medium)
- K: a proportionality factor that depends on the device structure, which we will determine in specific situations later

## Writing g in terms of i<sub>D</sub>, and setting it equal to g<sub>th</sub>, yields:

$$g_{th} = G' \Gamma (KI_{th} - n_{tr}) = \alpha_L + \frac{1}{2L} \ln \left( \frac{1}{R_1 R_2} \right)$$

Lecture 19 - Slide 20

C. G. Fonstad, 4/03

**Laser diodes: threshold current, cont.** 

Which we can finally solve for the current to arrive at the expression for the threshold current:

$$I_{th} = \frac{1}{K} \left( \Box \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Box \\ \Box \\ G \\ \Box \end{array} \right]^{-1} + \frac{1}{2L} \Box \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Box \\ \Box \\ R_1 \\ R_2 \end{array} \right]^{-1} + n_{tr} \right]^{-1} = n_{tr}$$

This will take on more meaning as we look at specific laser diode geometries and quantify the various parameters.

\*\*\*\*\*

Note: Above threshold, all of the additional excitation fuels stimulated recombination and n' stays fixed at its threshold value. So to does  $E_{fn} - E_{fp}$ , which implies that the junction voltage is also pinned.