O/S overview

Overview

- 6.828 goals:
 - Understand operating systems in detail by designing and implementing a small O/S
 - Hands-on experience with building systems ("Applying 6.033")
- What do applications want from an O/S?
 - Abstract the hardware for convenience and portability
 - Multiplex the hardware among multiple applications
 - Isolate applications to contain bugs
 - Allow sharing among applications
- What is an OS?
 - e.g. OSX, Windows, Linux
 - the small view: a h/w management library
 - the big view: physical machine -> abstract one w/ better properties
- Organization: layered picture
 - h/w: CPU, mem, disk
 - kernel: [various services]
 - user: applications, e.g. vi and gcc
 - we care a lot about the interfaces and internel kernel structure
- what services does an O/S kernel typically provide?
 - processes
 - memory
 - file contents
 - directories and file names
 - security
 - many others: users, IPC, network, time, terminals
- What does an O/S abstraction look like?
 - Applications only see them via system calls
 - Examples, from UNIX / Linux:
 - fd = open("out", 1);
 - write(fd, "hello\n", 6);
 - pid = fork();
- Why is O/S design/implementation hard/interesting?
 - the environment is unforgiving: weird h/w, no debugger
 - it must be efficient (thus low-level?)
 - ...but abstract/portable (thus high-level?)
 - powerful (thus many features?)
 - ...but simple (thus a few composable building blocks?)
 - features interact: fd = open(); ...; fork();
 - behaviors interact: CPU priority vs memory allocator.
 - open problems: security, multi-core
- You'll be glad you learned about operating systems if you...
 - want to work on the above problems
 - care about what's going on under the hood
 - have to build high-performance systems
 - need to diagnose bugs or security problems

Class structure

L1: O/S overview

- http://pdos.lcs.mit.edu/6.828
- Lectures
 - basic O/S ideas
 - extended inspection of xv6, a traditional O/S
 - several more recent topics
 - in-class programming
- Lab: JOS, a small O/S for x86 in an exokernel style
 - you build it, 5 labs, final project of your choice
 - kernel interface: expose hardware, but protect -- no abstractions!
 - unprivileged library: fork, exec, pipe, ...
 - applications: file system, shell, ...
 - development environment: gcc, qemu
 - lab 1 is out
- Code review
- One quiz: in class
- No final, but project and project conferences

Case study: the shell (simplified)

- 6.828 is largely about design and implementation of system call interface. let's start by looking at how programs use that interface. example: the Unix shell.
- the shell is the Unix command UI
- the shell is also a programming/scripting language
- typically handles login session, runs other processes
- look at some simple examples of shell operations, how they use different O/S abstractions, and how those abstractions fit together. See The UNIX Time-Sharing System' by D. M. Ritchie and K. Thompson if you are unfamiliar with the shell.
- Basic structure: see sh.c
- Basic organization: parsing and executing commands (e.g., ls, ls | wc, ls > out) Shell implemented using system calls (e.g., read, write, fork, exec, wait) conventions: -1 return value signals
- error, error code stored in errno, perror prints out a descriptive error message based on errno.
- Many systems calls are encapsulated in libc calls (e.g., fgets vs read)

what does fork() do? copies user memory copies process kernel state (e.g. user id) child gets a different PID child state contains parent PID

- returns twice, with different values what does wait() do? waits for any child to exit
- what if child exits before parent calls wait?
- What does parscmd() do? Example:

\$ ls

- The parse functions form a simple recursive-descent parser for the sh scripting language
- Do exercise 1 of Shell Exercises for Lecture 1 You need a variant of exec() (type "man 3 exec"): replaces memory of current process with instrs/data from file i.e. runs a file created by compiler/linker
- still the same process, keeps most state (e.g. user id)
- the fork/exec split looks wasteful, but it turns out to be useful. how does "ls" know which directory to look at? cwd in kernel-maintained process state, copied during fork

- how does it know what to do with its output?
- I/O: process has file descriptors, numbered starting from 0. index into table in process's kernel state
- system calls: open, read, write, close
- numbering conventions:
 - file descriptor 0 for input (e.g., keyboard). fgets(stdin) invokes:

```
read (0, buf, bufsize)
```

• file descriptor 1 for output (e.g., terminal). fprintf(stdout) invokes:

write (1, "hello\n", strlen("hello\n"))

- file descriptor 2 for error (e.g., terminal)
- so ls writes output to file descriptor 1
- on fork, child inherits open file descriptors from parent (show in process diagram).
- on exec, process retains file descriptors.
- This shell command sends ls's output to the file out:

\$ ls > out

- Q: how could our simple shell implement output redirection?
- A: Do exercise 2.
- Good illustration of why it's nice to have separate fork and exec.
- Many commands use 0/1 by default, so they work with redirection. For example, see xv6 cat.c.
- system call interface simple, just ints and char buffers. why not have open() return a pointer reference to a kernel file object?
- Linux has a nice representation of a process and its FDs, under /proc/PID/
 - maps: VA range, perms (p=private, s=shared), offset, dev, inode, pathname
 - fd: symlinks to files pointed to by each fd. (what's missing in this representation?)

• try exec 3>/tmp/xx ; ls -l /proc/\$\$/fd

• One often wants to run a series of programs on some data:

```
$ sort < in > out
$ uniq out > out2
$ wc out2
$ rm out out2
```

the shell supports this more concisely with "piping" of "filters":

\$ sort < in | uniq | wc</pre>

• A pipe is a one-way communication channel. Here is a simple example:

```
int fds[2];
char buf[512];
int n;
pipe(fds);
write(fds[1], "hello", 5);
n = read(fds[0], buf, sizeof(buf));
// buf[] now contains 'h', 'e', 'l', 'l', 'o'
```

• file descriptors are inherited across fork(), so this also works:

```
int fds[2];
char buf[512];
int n, pid;
pid = fork();
if(pid > 0){
  write(fds[1], "hello", 5);
} else {
  n = read(fds[0], buf, sizeof(buf));
  exit(0);
}
```

- How does the shell implement pipelines (i.e., cmd 1 | cmd 2 |..)? We want to arrange that the output of cmd 1 is the input of cmd 2. The way to achieve this goal is to manipulate stdout and stdin.
- The shell creates processes for each command in the pipeline, hooks up their stdin and stdout, and waits for the last process of the pipeline to exit.
- Do exercise 3.
- Who waits for whom? (draw a tree of processes)
- Why close read-end and write-end? ensure that every process starts with 3 file descriptors, and that reading from the pipe returns end of file after the first command exits.
- You can run the shell, redirect its stdin/stdout, etc.
- I'll run this shell script with sh < script:

```
echo one
echo two
```

• Q: what will this shell command do?

\$ sh < script > out

- the script itself didn't redirect the echo output, but it did inherit a fd 1 that was redirected to out.
- Q: I'll run the following directly; is it the same as above?

echo one > out echo two > out

- Notes about the file descriptor design:
 - nice interaction with fork
 - FDs help make programs more general purpose: don't need special cases for files vs console vs pipe
 - shell pipelines only work for programs w/ common formats (lines of text)
- How do you create a background job?

\$ sleep 2 &

- Q: How does the shell implement "&"?
- Q: What if a background process exits while sh waits for a foreground process?
- Do challenge exercises

6.828 Operating System Engineering Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.