## Complexity.

What is a rand. alg? What is an alg?

- Turing Machines. RAM with large ints. log-cost RAM as TM.
- language as decision problem (vs optimization problems) "graphs with small min-cut." algos accept/reject
- complexity class as set of languages
- *P*. polynomial time in input size
- NP as P with good advice string. witnesses
- polytime reductions. hardness, completeness.

Randomized algorithms have advice string, but it is **random** 

- measure probs over space of advice strings
- equivalence to fliping unbiased random bits

ZPP (zero error probabilistic polytime)

- Polynomial expected time
- A(x) accepts iff  $x \in L$ .
- Las Vegas algorithms

RP (randomized polytime) (MC with one-sided error).

- polytime (always)
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow$  rejects (always).
- $x \in L \Rightarrow$  accepts with probability > 1/2.
- Monte Carlo algorithm
- one sided error
- precise numbers unimportant: amplification.
- min-cut example
- coRP.
- What if **NOT** worst case polytime? stop when passes time bound and accept.
- $ZPP = RP \cap coRP$

*PP* (probabilistic polytime) (two-sided MC)

- Worst case polytime (can force)
- $x \in L \Rightarrow \text{accepts prob} > 1/2$
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \text{accepts prob} < 1/2$
- weakness:  $NP \subseteq PP$

BPP (bounded probabilistic polytime)

- worst case polytime (can force)
- $x \in L \Rightarrow \text{accepts prob} > 3/4$
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \text{accepts prob} < 1/4$
- precise numbers unimportant.

Clearly  $P \subseteq RP \subseteq NP$ . Open questions:

- RP = coRP? (equiv RP = ZPP)
- $BPP \subseteq NP?$

## Tree evaluation.

Moving LOE through a (linear) recurrence.

- define. algo cost is number of leaves.  $n = 2^h$
- NOR model

deterministic model: must examine all leaves. time  $2^h = 4^{h/2} = n$ 

- by induction: on any tree of height h, as questions are asked, can answer such that root is not determined until all leaves checked.
- Note: bad instance being constructed on the fly as algorithm runs.
- But, since algorithm deterministic, bad instance can be built in advance by simulating algorithm.

nondeterministic/checking

- W(0) = L(0) = 1
- winning position can guess move. W(h) = L(h-1)
- losing must check both. L(h) = 2W(h-1)
- follows  $W(h) = 2 * W(h-2) = 2^{h/2} = n^{1/2}$

randomized-guess which leaf wins.

- W(0) = 1
- W(T) is a random variable
  - If T is winning time it takes to verify T is a win. Undefined if T is losing.
  - Ditto L(T).
  - Expectation is over random choices of algorithm; NOT over trees.
  - Different trees have different expectations
- $W(h) = \max$  over all height-h winning trees of E[W(T)]
- L(h) = same for losing trees.
- Consider any losing height-h tree
  - both children are winning
  - must eval both.
  - each takes at most W(h-1) in expectation
  - Thus (by linearity of expectation) we take at most 2W(h-1)
  - Deduce  $L(h) \leq 2W(h-1)$ .
- Consider any winning height-h tree
  - Possibly both children are losing. If so, we stop after evaling the first child we pick. Total time L(h-1).
  - If exactly one child losing, two cases:
    - \* if first choice is winning, eval it and stop: time at most L(h-1).
    - \* if first choice is losing, eval both children: L(h-1) + W(h-1).
    - \* Conjecture:  $W(h-1) \leq L(h-1)$
    - \* Then time  $\leq 2L(h-1)$ .
  - Each case 1/2 the time. Thus, expected time  $\leq (3/2)L(h-1)$ .
  - Deduce  $W(h) \le (3/2)L(h-1) \le (3/2)2W(h-2) = 3W(h-2)$
  - So  $W(h) \le 3^{h/2} = n^{\log_4 3} = n^{0.793}$
  - Go back and confirm assumption that  $W(h) \leq L(h)$ .