Dynamic Processor Allocation for Adaptively Parallel Jobs

# What is the problem?





[sidsen@ygg ~]\$./nfib --nproc 32

[bradley@ygg ~]\$./nfib --nproc 16



Allocate the processors fairly and efficiently



## Why so Dynamic Scheduling?

- Considers all the jobs in the system.
- Programmer doesn't have to specify the number of processors.

[kunal@ygg ~]\$./strassen --nproc 4

Parallelism can change during execution.



## Allocation vs. Scheduling



# Terminology

#### The parallelism of a job is dynamic

- adaptively parallel jobs—jobs for which the number of processors that can be used without waste varies during execution.
- At any given time, each job *j* has a
  - □ desire—the maximum number of efficiently usable processors, or the parallelism of the job  $(d_i)$ .
  - □ *allocation*—the number of processors allotted to the job  $(a_j)$ .

# Terminology

- We want to allocate processors to jobs in a way that is
  - fair—whenever a job receives fewer processors than it desires, all other jobs receive at most one more processor than this job received.

•  $a_j < d_j \Rightarrow (a_j + 1)$  is a max

efficient—no job receives more processors than it desires, and we use as many processors as possible.

- $\forall j a_j \leq d_j$
- $\exists j a_j < d_j \Rightarrow$  there are no free processors

## **Overall Goal**

Design and implement a *fair* and *efficient* dynamic processor *allocation* system for *adaptively parallel jobs*.

#### **Example: Fair and Efficient Allocation**



# Assumptions

- All jobs are Cilk jobs.
- Jobs can enter and leave the system at will.
- All jobs are mutually trusting, in that they will
  - □ stay within the bounds of their allocations.
  - □ communicate their desires honestly.
- Each job has at least one processor.
- Jobs have some amount of time to reach their allocations.



# **High-Level Sequence of Events**



# Main Algorithms

- (1, 2) Dynamically estimate the current desire of a job.
  - Steal rate (Bin Song)
  - ✓ Number of threads in ready deque
- (3) Dynamically determine the allotment for each job such that the resulting allocation is fair and efficient.
  - SRLBA algorithm (Bin Song)
  - Global allocation algorithm
- (4, 5) Converge to the granted allocation by increasing/decreasing number of processors in use.
  - ✓ While work-stealing?
  - Periodically by a background thread?



# **Desire Estimation**

 (1) Estimate processor desire d<sub>j</sub>: add up the number of threads in the ready deques of each processor and divide by a constant.



k > 3

(2) Report the desire to the processor allocation system.



# Adjusting the Allocation

- (4) Get the allocation  $a_{new}$ .
- **(**5) Adjust the allocation.
  - □ If  $a_{new} < a_{old}$ , remove  $(a_{old} a_{new})$  processors
  - □ If  $a_{new} > a_{old}$ , add  $(a_{new} a_{old})$ processors



# Implementation Details

- Adding up the number of threads in the ready deques
  - While work-stealing

- Too late!
- Periodically by a background thread
- Removing processors
  - While work-stealing
  - Periodically by a background thread
- Adding processors
  - While work-stealing
  - Periodically by a background thread
- Bad idea

Complicated





#### Job 2 *decreases* desire.



#### Job 1 *decreases* desire.



#### Job 2 *Increases* desire.



#### Job 1 *Increases* desire.



# **Implementation Details**

min_depr_alloc:4 max_alloc:5				
Job Id:1 Desire:6	Job Id:2 Desire:2	2 2	Job Id:3 Desire:7	
Alloc:4	Alloc:2		Alloc:5	

- When desire of job j decreases: if (new\_desire<alloc)</p>
  - □ take processors from *j* and give to jobs having *min\_depr\_alloc*.

mda=4

ma=**§** 

#### Job 1 decreases desire.



# Implementation

min_depr_ max_alle	alloc:4 oc:5		
Job Id:1 Desire:6	Job Id:2 Desire:2	Job Id:3 Desire:7	
Alloc:4	Alloc:2	Alloc:5	

When desire of job j decreases: if (new\_desire<alloc)</p>

- take processors from *j* and give to jobs having *min\_depr\_alloc*.
- When desire of job j increases: if (alloc<mda)</p>
  - take processors from jobs having max\_alloc and give them to j until j reaches min\_depr\_alloc or new\_desire.

mda=4



# Experiments

#### Correctness: Does it work?

Effectiveness: Are there cases where it is better than the static allocation?

Responsiveness: How long does it take the jobs to reach their allocation?

# Conclusions

- The desire estimation and processor allocation algorithms are simple and easy to implement.
- We'll see how well they do in practice once we've performed the experiments.
- There are many ways of improving the algorithms and in many cases it is not clear what we should do.

# Job Tasks (Extensions)

- Incorporate heuristics on stealrate (Bin Song's idea).
- Remove processors in the background thread, not while work stealing.
  - Need a mechanism for putting processors with pending work to sleep
  - When adding processors, wake up processors with pending work first



# Processor Allocation System (Extensions)

#### Use a sorted data structure for job entries.

- Sort by desires
- Sort by allocations
- □ Group jobs:
  - Desires satisfied  $(a_i = d_i)$
  - Minimum deprived allocation (a<sub>j</sub> = min\_depr\_alloc)
  - Maximum allocation (a<sub>j</sub> = max\_alloc)
- Need fast inserts/deletes and fast sequential walk.



# Processor Allocation System (Extensions)

- Rethink definitions of fairness and efficiency.
  Incorporate histories of processor usage for each job
  Implement a mechanism for assigning different priorities to users or jobs
- Move the processor allocation system into the kernel.
  - Jobs still report desires since they know best
  - □ How to group the jobs?
    - Make classes of jobs (Cilk, Emacs, etc.)
    - Group by user (sidsen, kunal, etc.)

