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Today we will see a few more examples and applications of Groebner bases, and we will develop the zero-dimensional case.

## 1 Zero-dimensional ideals

In practice, we are often interested in polynomial systems that have only a finite number of solutions (the "zero-dimensional" case), and as we will see, many interesting things happen in this case.

Definition 1. An ideal $I$ is zero-dimensional if the associated variety $V(I)$ is a finite set.
Given a system of polynomial equations, how to decide if it has a finite number of solutions (i.e., if the corresponding ideal is zero-dimensional)? We can state a simple criterion for this in terms of a Groebner basis.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a Groebner basis of the ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. The ideal $I$ is zero-dimensional if and only if for each $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$, there exists an element in the Groebner basis whose initial term is a pure power of $x_{i}$.

Among other important consequences, when $I$ is a zero-dimensional ideal the quotient ring $\mathbb{C}[x] / I$ is a finite dimensional vector space, with its dimension being equal to the number of standard monomials.

Furthermore, we can use Groebner bases to reduce the effective calculation of the solutions of a zerodimensional polynomial system to an eigenvalue problem, generalizing the "companion matrix" notion from the univariate case. We sketch this below.

Recall that in this case, the quotient $\mathbb{C}[x] / I$ is a finite dimensional vector space. The main idea is to consider the homomorphisms given by the $n$ linear maps $M_{x_{i}}: \mathbb{C}[x] / I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] / I, f \mapsto \widehat{\left(x_{i} f\right)}$ (that is, multiplication by the coordinate variables, followed by normal form). Choosing as a basis the set of standard monomials, we can effectively compute a matrix representation of these linear maps. This defines $n$ matrices $M_{x_{i}}$, that commute with each other (why?).

Assume for simplicity that all the roots have single multiplicity. Then, all the $M_{x_{i}}$ can be simultaneously diagonalized by a single matrix $V$, and the $k$ th diagonal entry of $V M_{x_{i}} V^{-1}$ contains the $i$ th coordinate of the $k$ th solution, for $1 \leq k \leq \#\{V(I)\}$.
(In general, we can block-diagonalize this commutative algebra, splitting into its semisimple and nilpotent components. The nilpotent part is trivial if and only if the ideal is radical.)

To understand these ideas a bit better, let's recall the univariate case.
Example 3. Consider the ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$ of polynomials in a single variable $x$, and an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$. Since every ideal in this ring is principal, $I$ can be generated by a single polynomial $p(x)=p_{n} x^{n}+\cdots+p_{1} x+p_{0}$. Then, we can write $I=\langle p(x)\rangle$, and $\{p(x)\}$ is a Groebner basis for the ideal (why?). The quotient $\mathbb{C}[x] / I$ is an n-dimensional vector, with a suitable basis given by the standard monomials $\left\{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right\}$.

Consider as before the linear map $M_{x}: \mathbb{C}[x] / I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] / I$. The matrix representation of this linear map in the given basis is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -p_{0} / p_{n} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -p_{1} / p_{n} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & -p_{2} / p_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -p_{n-1} / p_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is the standard companion matrix $\mathcal{C}_{p}$ associated with $p(x)$. Its eigenvalues are exactly the roots of $p(x)$.

We present next a multivariate example.
Example 4. Consider the ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ given by

$$
I=\langle x y-z, y z-x, z x-y\rangle .
$$

Choosing a term ordering (e.g., lexicographic, where $x \prec y \prec z$ ), we obtain the Groebner basis

$$
G=\left\{x^{3}-x, y x^{2}-y, y^{2}-x^{2}, z-y x\right\} .
$$

We can directly see from this that I is zero-dimensional (why?). A basis for the quotient space is given by $\left\{1, x, x^{2}, y, y x\right\}$. Consider the maps $M_{x}, M_{y}$, and $M_{z}$, we have that their corresponding matrix representations are given by

$$
M_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad M_{y}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad M_{z}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

It can be verified that these three matrices commute. A simultaneous diagonalizing transformation is given by the matrix:

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad V^{-1}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The corresponding transformed matrices are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V M_{x} V^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,1,-1,-1) \\
& V M_{y} V^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,-1,1,-1), \\
& V M_{z} V^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,-1,-1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

from where the coordinates of the five roots can be read.
In the general (radical) case, the matrix $V$ is a generalized Vandermonde matrix, with rows indexed by roots (points in the variety) and columns indexed by the standard monomials. The $V_{i j}$ entry contains the $j$-th monomial evaluated at the $i$ th root. Since $V V^{-1}=I$, we can also interpret the $j$ th column of $V^{-1}$ as giving the coefficients of a Lagrange interpolating polynomial $p_{j}(x)$, that vanishes at all the points in the variety, except at $r_{j}$, where it takes the value 1 (i.e., $p_{j}\left(r_{k}\right)=\delta_{j k}$ ).

Generalize Hermite form, etc

## 2 Hilbert series

Consider an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ and the corresponding quotient ring $\mathbb{C}[x] / I$. We have seen that, once a particular Groebner basis is chosen, we could associate to every element of $\mathbb{C}[x] / I$ a unique representative, namely a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of standard monomials, obtained as the remainder after division with the corresponding Groebner basis. We are interested in studying, for every integer $k$, the dimension of


Figure 1: A six-node graph.
the vector space of remainders of degree less than or equal to $k$. Expressed in a simpler way, we want to know how many standard monomials of degree $k$ there are, for any given $k$.

Rather than studying this for different values of $k$ separately, it is convenient to collect (or bundle) all these numbers together in a single object (this general technique is usually called "generating function"). The Hilbert series of $I$, denoted $H_{I}(t)$, is then defined as the generating function of the dimension of the space of residues of degree $k$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{I}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C}[x] / I \cap P_{n, k}\right) \cdot t^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n, k}$ denotes the set of homogeneous polynomials is $n$ variables of degree $k$.
Notice that, if the ideal is zero-dimensional, the corresponding Hilbert series is actually a finite sum, and thus a polynomial. The number of solutions is then equal to $H_{I}(1)$.

Example 5. For the ideal I in Example 4, the corresponding Hilbert function is $H_{I}(t)=1+2 t+2 t^{2}$.
In general, the Hilbert series does depend on the specific Groebner basis chosen, not only on the ideal $I$. However, almost all of the relevant algebraic and geometric properties (e.g., its degree, if it is a polynomial) are actually invariants associated only with the ideal.

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 Graph ideals

Consider a graph $G=(V, E)$, and define the associated edge ideal $I_{G}=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j}:(i, j) \in E\right\rangle$. Notice that $I_{G}$ is a monomial ideal. For instance, for the graph in Figure 1, the corresponding ideal is given by:

$$
I_{G}:=\left\langle x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{4} x_{5}, x_{5} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{5}, x_{3} x_{5}\right\rangle .
$$

One of the motivations for studying this kind of ideals is that many graph-theoretic properties (e.g., bipartiteness, acyclicity, connectedness, etc) can be understood in terms of purely algebraic properties of the corresponding ideal. This enables the extension and generalization of these notions to much more abstract settings (e.g., simplicial complexes, resolutions, etc).

For our purposes here, rather than studying $I_{G}$ directly, we will instead study the ideal obtained when restricting to zero-one solutions 1 . For this, consider the ideal $I_{b}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}:=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}-x_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Clearly, this is a zero-dimensional radical ideal, with the corresponding variety having $2^{n}$ distinct points, namely $\{0,1\}^{n}$. Its corresponding Hilbert series is $H_{I_{b}}(t)=(1+t)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} t^{k}$.

Since we want to study the intersection of the corresponding varieties, we must consider the sum of the ideals, i.e., the ideal $I:=I_{G}+I_{b}$. It can be shown that the given set of generators (i.e., the ones corresponding to the edges, and the quadratic relations in (2)) are always a Groebner basis of the corresponding ideal. What are the standard monomials? How can they be interpreted in terms of the graph?

The Hilbert function of the ideal $I$ can be obtained from the Groebner basis. In this case, the corresponding Hilbert function is given by

$$
H_{I}(t)=1+6 t+7 t^{2}+t^{3}
$$

and we can read from the coefficient of $t^{k}$ the number of stable sets of size $k$. In particular, the degree of the Hilbert function (which is actually a polynomial, since the ideal is zero-dimensional) indicates the size of the maximum stable set, which is equal to three in this example (for the subset $\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{6}\right\}$ ).

### 3.2 Integer programming

Another interesting application of Groebner bases deals with integer programming. For more details, see the papers CT91, ST97, TW97.

Consider the integer programming problem

$$
\min c^{T} \mathbf{x} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad\left\{\begin{align*}
A \mathbf{x} & =b  \tag{3}\\
\mathbf{x} & \geq 0 \\
\mathbf{x} & \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$, and $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For simplicity, we assume that $A, c \geq 0$, and that we know a feasible solution $\mathbf{x}_{0}$. These assumptions can be removed.

The main idea to solve (3) will be to interpret the nonnegative integer decision variables $\mathbf{x}$ as the exponents of a monomial.

## Complete

Example 6. Consider the problem data given by

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 5 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 7 & 3
\end{array}\right], \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{c}
750 \\
980
\end{array}\right], \quad c^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

An initial feasible solution is given by $\mathbf{x}_{0}=[0,30,80,120]^{T}$. We will work on the ring $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right]$. Thus, we need to compute a Groebner basis $G$ of the binomial ideal

$$
\left\langle z_{1}^{4} z_{2}-w_{1}, z_{1}^{5} z_{2}^{2}-w_{2}, z_{1}^{6} z_{2}^{7}-w_{3}, z_{1} z_{2}^{3}-w_{4}\right\rangle
$$

for a term ordering that combines elimination of the $z_{i}$ with the weight vector $c$. To obtain the solution, we compute the normal form of the monomial given by the initial feasible point, i.e., $w_{2}^{30} w_{3}^{80} w_{4}^{120}$. This reduction process yields the result $w_{2} w_{3}^{106} w_{4}^{74}$, and thus the optimal solution is $[0,8,106,74]$. The corresponding costs of the initial and optimal solutions are $c^{T} \mathbf{x}_{0}=780$ and $c^{T} \mathbf{x}_{\text {opt }}=630$.

We should remark that there are more efficients ways of implementing this than the one described. Also, although this basic method cannot currently compete with specialized techniques used in integer programming, there are some particular cases where it is very efficient, mostly related with the solution of parametric problems.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are more efficient ways of doing this, that would not require adding generators. We adopt this approach to keep the discussion relatively straightforward.

