ESD.10 Introduction to Technology and Policy

Assignment #1: Equity and Efficiency Issues

Due: Monday, Sep 11th, at the start of class

Problem:

In this assignment you will apply the concepts of equity and efficiency (as discussed in the Stone reading). The September 11th Victim's Compensation Fund will serve as the case study to explore Stone's different concepts of membership, which is necessary before making decisions on the distribution of resources.

- 1. Create 8 different potential policy options for how to distribute the compensation for victims of the September 11th attacks. These 8 options should be based on Stone's 8 different concepts of equality as laid out in the table on page 44 of her book. Fill in the attached matrix with a summary of your 8 policy options.
- 2. Is there an equity-efficiency trade-off in this policy area? Make the case that there is a trade-off, and then make the case that there is not a trade-off. Summarize both the "Yes" and "No" cases in a table on a single page.

Assignment deliverables:

Question 1: 1 page with a completed equity matrix (see next page)

Question 2: 1 page laying out the opposing cases for the equity-efficiency trade-off

Equity Matrix for Question #1 – September 11th Victim's Compensation Fund

Your name:

Dimension	Issue	Your Policy Option		
Recipients	Membership	Any victim of the Sept. 11 attacks (or their		
		surviving spouse) is given an equal share of		
		the VCF.		
	Rank-based distribution	Distribute the VCF in amounts proportional to		
		the type of injury suffered from the attacks.		
		Surviving spouses are given the highest		
		amount, followed by quadriplegics, then		
		paraplegics, then amputees, and so on.		
	Group-based distribution	Divide the VCF into two equal amounts, one		
		for surviving female victims and one for		
		surviving male victims. Because men are more		
		likely to be breadwinners and thus to have		
		been injured in the workplace attacks, there are		
		fewer members of the female group and they		
		are thus given larger individual amounts of the		
		VCFcompensating for their historical		
		earnings disadvantage.		
Items	Boundaries of the item	Distribute the VCF so as to ensure that every		
		victim receives an equal total compensation		
		from all sources including insurance, charities,		
		gifts, etc.		
	Value of the item	Use a sliding scale to distribute larger amounts		
		of compensation to poorer victims, who will		
.		value the benefits much more than the affluent.		
Process	Competition	Invite all victims and surviving spouses to the		
		field at Yankee Stadium. Drop the total		
		amount of the VCF in \$100 bills from a		
		helicopter and allow everyone the chance to		
	T	collect as much as possible.		
	Lottery	Give every victim and surviving spouse one		
		ticket for a random lottery that determines who		
	Voting	receives the entire amount of the VCF.		
	Voting	Treat every victim and surviving spouse as a		
		candidate for compensation. Allow the		
		candidates to campaign in the public arena and		
		state their case for compensation. Hold a		
		nation-wide vote and then award the VCF in		
		amounts proportional to each candidate's		
		(victim) share of the vote.		

Question #2: Is there an Equity-Efficiency Tradeoff with respect to the Sept. 11 Victim's Compensation Fund (VCF)?

Yes	No
By limiting the liability of air carriers for injuries to third parties caused during the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, the VCF reduces incentives for air carriers and other companies to innovate and adopt counterterrorism technologies and protocols.	If lawsuits were allowed to proceed unfettered against air carriers for injuries caused on Sept. 11, the resulting awards might be so large as to cripple the air transport sector. Liability limits actually provide the necessary financial security for air carriers to maintain operations and improve counter-terrorism efforts.
The VCF transfers payments from taxpayers to terrorism victims, not from the parties that caused injuries (terrorists, negligent security screeners, defective radio manufacturers, etc.) to the victims. This exchange is more efficient than determining fault through lawsuits but is not as fair.	The taxpaying public has never questioned the fairness of the VCF on these grounds. Society accepts the premise that a publicly-funded VCF demonstrates an "unprecedented expression of compassion," a worthwhile and desirable characteristic.
The bureaucracy required to administrate the VCF cost the government \$87 million, including a \$77 million payment to PriceWaterhouseCoopers. It is wasteful to divert funds to a third party administrator that could be used for victims' payments.	Administration is a productive activity in itself. The \$87 million fee represents valuable input for accounting firms and creates jobs, pays salaries, etc.
The terms of the VCF stipulates that victims cannot work if they file claims, reducing productivity. Claims filing is also prohibited for undocumented aliens, unmarried life partners, and victims who did not seek medical help before Sept. 12, reducing equity.	The VCF increases productivity and equity by providing a streamlined process for Sept. 11 victims to quickly receive much needed financial assistance and put their lives back together in the wake of tragedy.
The VCF sidesteps the civil justice system in the name of efficiency but thus denies citizens their rights to a major pillar of American democracy.	The means of the VCF might vary from civil litigation but the ends are the same: financial benefits are awarded in just amounts to victims. The VCF only speeds up the process.
Distributing money discourages survivors to work, lowering economic efficiency.	Distributing money helps survivors gain financial security, necessary for them to be productive members of an efficient economy.