Nozick on Redistribution and Just Holdings

Question 1: Explain Nozick's argument argument against patterned principles of justice.

What does he mean by a "patterned" principle?

Describe Nozick's two arguments:
The Wilt Chamberlain example:

The argument that redistribution violates your right of self-ownership (that is, it makes you other people's property):

Question 2: Explain Nozick's positive view of just holdings.

Justice in original acquisition:

How can something be acquired justly?

What must we consider when originally acquiring something?

Justice in transfer:

How can holdings be transferred justly from one person to another?

Justice in rectification:

How should we correct for unjust acquisitions or transfers in the past? (Nozick's answer here is less clear than the others, so don't worry as much about this one.)

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

24.04J / 17.01J Justice Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.