

THE DELTA-METHOD AND ASYMPTOTICS OF SOME ESTIMATORS

The delta-method gives a way that asymptotic normality can be preserved under nonlinear, but differentiable, transformations. The method is well known; one version of it is given in J. Rice, *Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis*, 2d. ed., 1995. A simple form of it using only a first derivative, for functions of one variable, will be given here. (A multidimensional version is used in Section 3.7 of *Mathematical Statistics*, 18.466 course notes by R. Dudley, on the MIT OCW website.)

Theorem. Let Y_n be a sequence of real-valued random variables such that for some μ and σ , $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu)$ converges in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Let f be a function from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} having a derivative $f'(\mu)$ at μ . Then $\sqrt{n}[f(Y_n) - f(\mu)]$ converges in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, f'(\mu)^2\sigma^2)$.

Remarks. In statistics, where μ is an unknown parameter, one will want f to be differentiable at all possible μ (and preferably, for f' to be continuous, although that is not needed in the proof).

Proof. We have $Y_n - \mu = O_p(1/\sqrt{n})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, $f(y) = f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(y - \mu) + o(|y - \mu|)$ as $y \rightarrow \mu$ by definition of derivative. Thus

$$f(Y_n) = f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(Y_n - \mu) + o_p(|Y_n - \mu|),$$

so

$$\sqrt{n}[f(Y_n) - f(\mu)] = f'(\mu)\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) + \sqrt{n}o_p(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

The last term is $o_p(1)$, so the conclusion follows. \square

Let's say a distribution function F has a *good median* if F has a continuous density $F' = f$ with $f(m) > 0$ at m , the median of F . More precisely, $f(m) > 0$ and f continuous at m imply that F is strictly increasing in a neighborhood of m , so m is the unique x with $F(x) = 1/2$ and so the unique median. Let's find the asymptotic distribution of the sample median. First let $n = 2k + 1$ odd, so the n th sample median $m_n = X_{(k+1)}$. If F is the $U[0, 1]$ distribution, let its order statistics be $U_{(1)} < \dots < U_{(n)}$. Recall that $U_{(j)}$ has a beta distribution $\beta_{j, n-j+1}$ for each j , so the sample median $U_{(k+1)}$ has a $\beta_{k+1, k+1}$ distribution. Its density is $x^k(1-x)^k/B(k+1, k+1)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and 0 elsewhere. The distribution has mean $1/2$ and variance $1/[4(2k+3)] = 1/[4(n+2)]$.

This beta distribution is asymptotically normal with its mean and variance as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or equivalently $k \rightarrow \infty$. This fact is a special case of facts known since about 1920, but lacking a handy reference, I'll indicate a proof. Let $y = x - (1/2)$, so $|y| \leq 1/2$ where the density is non-zero. On that interval,

$$x^k(1-x)^k = \left(\frac{1}{2} + y\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right)^k = \left(\frac{1}{4} - y^2\right)^k = 4^{-k}(1 - 4y^2)^k.$$

We have $(1 - 4y^2)^k \leq \exp(-4ky^2)$ for all y with $|y| \leq 1/2$, and for any constant c and $|y| \leq c/\sqrt{k}$, $k \log(1 - 4y^2) + 4ky^2 = O(k(4y^2)^2) = O(1/k) = O(1/n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$,

so for such y (depending on k), $(1 - 4y^2)^k$ is asymptotic to $\exp(-4ky^2)$. It follows that $\beta_{k+1,k+1}$ is asymptotically normal with mean $1/2$ and variance $1/(8k)$ which is asymptotic to $1/(4n)$. In other words $\sqrt{n}[U_{(k+1)} - \frac{1}{2}]$ converges in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, 1/4)$.

Now for any distribution function F with a good median m , and $n = 2k + 1$ odd, the sample median $m_n = X_{(k+1)}$ has the distribution of $F^{\leftarrow}(U_{(k+1)})$ because F^{\leftarrow} is monotonic (non-decreasing, and strictly increasing in a neighborhood of $\frac{1}{2}$). We have $F^{\leftarrow}(1/2) = m$. So by the delta-method theorem above, $\sqrt{n}(m_n - m)$, being equal in distribution to $\sqrt{n}(F^{\leftarrow}(U_{(k+1)}) - F^{\leftarrow}(1/2))$, converges in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, (F^{\leftarrow})'(1/2)^2/4) = N(0, 1/(4f(m)^2))$, as stated in Randles and Wolfe, p. 227, line 2, for symmetric distributions.

For $n = 2k$ even, $U_{(k)}$ and $U_{(k+1)}$ have $\beta_{k,k+1}$ and $\beta_{k+1,k}$ distributions respectively, and $|U_{(k+1)} - U_{(k)}| = O_p(1/n)$. For the sample median $m_{U,n} = [U_{(k)} + U_{(k+1)}]/2$, we then also have $|m_{U,n} - U_{(k)}| = O_p(1/n)$. By a small adaptation of the argument for the n odd case, we get that $\sqrt{n}(U_{(k)} - \frac{1}{2})$ converges in distribution to $N(0, 1/4)$ as $n = 2k \rightarrow \infty$, and so does $\sqrt{n}(m_{U,n} - \frac{1}{2})$. So, for a distribution F with a good median m and sample medians m_n , we get $\sqrt{n}(m_n - m)$ converging in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, 1/(4f(m)^2))$, just as when n is odd and as stated by Randles and Wolfe.

Next, let's consider the Hodges-Lehmann estimator. In this case, beside assuming F has a good median m , we'll assume the distribution is symmetric around m . (If a distribution is symmetric around a point θ , then θ must be the median.) In other words, there is a density f_0 with $f_0(-x) = f_0(x)$ for all x , $f_0(0) > 0$, f_0 is continuous at 0, and the density f is $f_m(x) \equiv f_0(x - m)$, which is then symmetric around m . Given X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. with a distribution F satisfying the given conditions, but otherwise unknown, the Hodges-Lehmann estimator $\hat{\theta}_{HL}$ is the median of the numbers $(X_i + X_j)/2$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. There are $n(n+1)/2$ of these numbers (which are called *Walsh averages*). The sample median is an estimator of the unknown m , and $\hat{\theta}_{HL}$ is another which is often better. To look into it we'll consider some U -statistics. For any real x, x_1 , and x_2 let $h_x(x_1, x_2) = \Psi(2x - x_1 - x_2)$. This kernel is symmetric under interchanging x_1 and x_2 for each x .

We want to find the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\theta}_{HL} - m$, specifically, that it's asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance C/n for some C depending on F . In doing this, we can assume $m = 0$, because subtracting m from all the observations makes $m = 0$ and doesn't change the distribution of the difference. So we can assume F is symmetric around 0.

Let G be the distribution function of $X_1 + X_2$. Then G has a density g given by the convolution of f with itself, $g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)f(y)dy$. We have for all x

$$Eh_x(X_1, X_2) = P(X_1 + X_2 < 2x) = G(2x).$$

The quantity called ζ_1 , entering into the asymptotic variance of the U -statistic formed from the kernel h_x , is given by

$$\zeta_1 = P(X_1 + X_2 < 2x, X_1 + X_3 < 2x) - G(2x)^2.$$

We are interested especially in $x = 0$ since that is now the median and center of symmetry of F and of G . For $x = 0$ we get

$$P(X_1 + X_2 < 0, X_1 + X_3 < 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(-u)^2 dF(u) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [1 - F(u)]^2 dF(u) = \int_0^1 (1 - t)^2 dt = 1/3,$$

and $Eh_0 = 1/2$, so $\zeta_1 = 1/12$. We have a kernel of order $r = 2$, and the asymptotic variance of a U -statistic is $r^2\zeta_1$. Defining a U -statistic depending on x we have

$$U_{(x)}^{(n)} = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Psi(x - X_i - X_j).$$

For $x = 0$, bearing in mind that under symmetry around 0, $-X_i - X_j$ is equal in distribution to $X_i + X_j$, this becomes the U -statistic that Randles and Wolfe call U_4 and is closely related to the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic. We get that $\sqrt{n}(U_{(x=0)}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2})$ converges in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $N(0, 1/3)$.

If we included all the terms with $i = j$ in the sum defining the U -statistic, giving another statistic $V^{(n)}$, it would make a difference of $O(n)$ in the sum, thus $O(1/n)$ in $U^{(n)}$, thus $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ in $\sqrt{n}U^{(n)}$, so $\sqrt{n}(V^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2})$ also has a distribution converging to $N(0, 1/3)$. In other words, $V^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} + Z_n/\sqrt{3n} + o_p(1/\sqrt{n})$ where Z_n converges in distribution to $N(0, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The Hodges-Lehmann estimate $\hat{\theta}_{HL}$ is an x for which $V_{(x)}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} + O(1/n^2)$. For x near 0, specifically $|x| = O(1/\sqrt{n})$, $Eh_x = G(2x)$ which will be within $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ of $1/2$. The asymptotic variance of $V_{(x)}^{(n)}$ will still be $1/(3n)$ plus smaller terms that don't affect the asymptotic distribution. So we will have, where again Z_n is asymptotically $N(0, 1)$,

$$V_{(x)}^{(n)} = G(2x) + Z_n/\sqrt{3n} + o_p(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

If this equals $1/2$ (within $O(1/n^2)$), then

$$\hat{\theta}_{HL} = x = \frac{1}{2} G^{\leftarrow} \left(\frac{1}{2} - (Z_n/\sqrt{3n}) \right) + o_p(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

It follows by the delta-method that the distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{HL} - m) = \sqrt{n}\hat{\theta}_{HL}$ converges to $N(0, \sigma^2)$ where

$$\sigma^2 = (G^{\leftarrow})'(1/2)^2/12 = 1/(12G'(0)^2) = 1/(12g(0)^2),$$

and by convolution $g(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(0 - x)f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^2 dx$ by symmetry. So the asymptotic variance of the Hodges-Lehmann statistic is $1/[12n\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^2 dx\}^2]$, as indicated by Randles and Wolfe on p. 228, (7.3.12) and (7.3.14).

Note. We considered a family of U -statistics indexed by a parameter x . There is a theory of such families, called U -processes, begun in some papers by Deborah Nolan and David Pollard in *Annals of Statistics*. In the present case, since $U_{(x)}^{(n)}$ is non-decreasing in x , we have a relatively simple U -process, but still, the argument was incomplete.