18.727 Topics in Algebraic Geometry: Algebraic Surfaces Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

## ALGEBRAIC SURFACES, LECTURE 21

## LECTURES: ABHINAV KUMAR

From last time:  $f: X \to B$  is an elliptic/quasi-elliptic fibration,  $F_{b_i} = m_i P_i$ multiple fibers,  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X = L \oplus T$ , for L invertible on B and T torsion.  $b \in$ Supp  $(T) \Leftrightarrow h^0(\mathcal{O}_{F_b}) \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow h^1(\mathcal{O}_{F_b}) \ge 2 \Leftrightarrow F_b$  is an exceptional/wild fiber.

**Theorem 1.** With the above notation,  $\omega_X = f^*(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\sum a_i P_i)$ , where  $0 \leq a_i < m, a_i = m_i - 1$  unless  $F_{b_i}$  is exceptional, and  $\deg(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) = 2p_a(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \ell(T)$ , where  $\ell(T)$  is its length as an  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -module.

*Proof.* We have proved most of this: specifically, we have that  $\omega_X = f^*(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\sum a_i P_i)$  for  $0 \leq a_i < m$ . We have a Leray spectral sequence  $E_2^{pq} = H^p(B, R^q f_* \mathcal{O}_X) \implies H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . The smaller order terms give us a short exact sequence

(1) 
$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_B) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^0(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_B) = 0$$
$$0 \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X) \to 0$$

Using this, we see that

(2)  

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

$$= h^0(\mathcal{O}_B) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_B) - h^0(L \oplus T) + h^1(L \oplus T)$$

$$= \chi(\mathcal{O}_B) - \chi(L) - h^0(T)$$

$$= -\deg L - \ell(T)$$

by Riemann-Roch, so deg  $L = -\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - \ell(T)$ . Since deg  $\omega_B = 2p_a(B) - 2$ , we have deg  $(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) = 2p_a(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \ell(T)$ . It remains to show that  $a_i = m_i - 1$  if  $F_{b_i}$  is not exceptional. If fact, we can prove something stronger: let  $\alpha_i$  be the order of  $\mathcal{O}_X(P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$  in Pic  $(P_i)$ . Then we claim that

- (1)  $\alpha_i$  divides  $m_i$  and  $a_i + 1$ ,
- (2)  $h^0(P_i, \mathcal{O}_{(\alpha_i+1)P_i}) \ge 2$  and  $h^0(P_i, \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i P_i}) = 1$ , and
- (3)  $h^0(P_i, nP_i)$  is a nondecreasing function of n.

Assuming this, if  $a_i < m_i - 1$ , then  $\alpha_i < m_i$ , so  $m_i P_i$  is exceptional by (b) and (c), since then  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{m_i P_i}) \geq 2$ .

We now prove the claim. If  $m > n \ge 1$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{mP} \to \mathcal{O}_{nP} \to 0$  gives  $H^1(P, \mathcal{O}_{mP}) \to H^1(P, \mathcal{O}_{nP}) \to 0$ , implying that  $n \mapsto h^1(P, \mathcal{O}_{nP})$  is nondecreasing. But by Riemann-Roch and the definition of canonical type,  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{nP}) = 0$ , so

 $h^0 = h^1$  is also nondecreasing. Now, by the definition of  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(\alpha_i P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$ , implying that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-n_i P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$  as well. We thus obtain an exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-\alpha_i P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} = \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{(\alpha_i+1)P_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i P_i} \to 0$ , inducing a long exact sequence

(3) 
$$0 \to k \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P_i}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{(\alpha_i+1)P_i}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i P_i}) \to \cdots$$

and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{(\alpha_i+1)P_i}) \geq 2$ . But for  $1 \leq j < \alpha_i, L_j = \mathcal{O}_X(-jP_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_{P_i}$ -module whose degree in each component of  $P_i$  equals 0. Since  $L_j \ncong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}, H^0(L_j) = 0$ , and  $0 \to L_j \to \mathcal{O}_{(j+1)P_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{jP_i} \to 0$  gives  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{(j+1)P_i}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{jP_i})$ . Since  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_P) \cong k$  for P icoct,  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{2P}) \cong \cdots \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha P}) \cong k$  as well.

Finally,

(4) 
$$(\mathcal{O}_X(P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i})^{m_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(F_{b_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$$

This is proved as follows, Since the fiber is cut out by a rational function f,  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(F_{b_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i}) \neq 0$ . Via the exact sequence

(5) 
$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(F_{b_i}) \xrightarrow{1/f \mapsto \overline{1/f}} \mathcal{O}_X(F_{b_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F_{b_i}} \to 0$$

we get a global section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(F_{b_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F_{b_i}}$ . But this also has degree 0 along the components. So it must be trivial, but what we proved for icoct. We also have

(6) 
$$\mathcal{O}_X((a_i+1)P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \cong \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(P_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i} \cong \omega_{P_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$$

implying that  $\alpha_i | a_i + 1$  as desired.

## Corollary 1. $K^2 = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** If  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq 1$ , then either  $a_i + 1 = m_i$  or  $a_i + \alpha_i + 1 = m_i$ .

Proof. Exercise.

*Remark.* Raynaud showed that  $m_i/\alpha_i$  is a power of p = char(k) (or is 1 if char(k) = 0). Therefore, there are no exceptional fibers in characteristic 0.

## 1. CLASSIFICATION (CONTD.)

If  $f: X \to B$  is an elliptic/quasi-elliptic fibration, then

(7) 
$$\omega_X = f^*(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\sum a_i P_i), 0 \le a_i < m_i$$

If  $n \geq 1$  is a multiple of  $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ , then

(8) 
$$H^{0}(X, \omega_{X}^{\otimes n}) = H^{0}(B, L^{-n} \otimes \omega_{B}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B}(\sum a_{i}(n/m_{i})b_{i}))$$

Now we recall the 4 classes of surfaces:

(a)  $\exists$  an integral curve C on X s.t.  $K \cdot C < 0$ .

- (b)  $K \equiv 0$ .
- (c)  $K^2 = 0, K \cdot C \ge 0$  for all integral curves C, and  $\exists C' \text{ s.t. } K \cdot C' > 0$ .
- (d)  $K^2 > 0$ , and  $K \cdot C > 0$  for all integral curves C.

**Lemma 1.** If X is in (a), then  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , i.e.  $p_n = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . If X is in (b), then  $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ . If X has an elliptic or quasielliptic fibration  $f: X \to B$ , and if we let  $\lambda(f) = 2p_a(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \ell(T) + \sum \frac{a_i}{m_i}$ , then X is not in class (d) and

- X is in (a) iff  $\lambda(f) < 0$ , in which case  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ ,
- X is in (b) iff  $\lambda(f) = 0$ , in which case  $\kappa(X) = 0$ ,
- X is in (c) iff  $\lambda(f) > 0$ , in which case  $\kappa(X) = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $K \cdot C < 0$ , then X is ruled, and  $\kappa(X) = \infty$ . We did this before, and there is an easy way to see that  $p_n = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . For every divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(X), \exists n_D \text{ s.t. } |D+nK| = \emptyset \text{ for } n > n_D.$  (Since  $(D+nK) \cdot C =$  $D \cdot C + n(K \cdot C)$  becomes negative eventually. Now C is effective. We claim that  $C^2 \geq 0$ , so by our useful lemma, 6|D + nK| can't have an effective divisor. If  $C^2 < 0$ , then  $C \cdot K < 0$  would imply that C was an exceptional curve of the first kind, contradicting the minimality of X. Thus,  $C^2 \ge 0$ .) In particular, D = Kgives  $|nK| = \emptyset$  for large enough n, implying that  $|nK| = \emptyset$  for all n (since  $p_n < p_{mn}$ ).

Next, assume  $K \equiv 0$  (case (b)). If  $p_n \geq 2$ , then dim  $|nK| \geq 1 \implies \exists$  a strictly positive divisor  $\Delta > 0$  in |nK|. Then  $\Delta \cdot H > 0$  for a hypersurface section, contradicting  $nK \cdot H = 0$  since  $K \equiv 0$ . So  $p_n \leq 1$  for all n, implying that  $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ .

Now assume X has an elliptic/quasielliptic fibration, and let  $M = f_*(\omega_X) =$  $L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B$  from last time. Then M has degree  $\lambda(f)$ . Let H be a very ample divisor on X. Then  $\pi = f|_H : H \to B$  is some finite map of degree  $= H \cdot F > 0$ . Now  $n(K \cdot H) = \deg(\omega_X^n|_H) = \deg_H(\pi^*M) = (\deg \pi)(\deg_B M) = (H \cdot F)\lambda_f$ . So if  $\lambda_f < 0$ , then  $K \cdot H < 0$  and X is in (a).

Similarly,  $\lambda(f) = 0 \implies K \cdot H = 0$  for every irreducible hyperplane section H, and any curve C can be written, up to  $\sim$ , as the difference of 2 such. This implies that  $K \cdot C = 0 \forall C \implies K \equiv 0$ . Lastly,  $\lambda(f) > 0 \implies K \cdot C > 0$  for all horizontal irreducible C. For vertical C,  $K \cdot C = 0$  by the formula for K, implying that  $K \cdot C \geq 0$  for all C integral,  $(K^2) = 0$  by the formula, implying that we are in class (c). 

Let X be a minimal surface with  $K^2 = 0, p_g \leq 1$  (in particular, every surface in class (b) is of this form. Then Noether's formula gives  $10 - 8q + 12p_g = b_2 + 2\Delta$ . Since  $p_q \leq 1, 0 \leq \Delta \leq 2p_q \leq 2$ , also  $\Delta = 2(q-s)$  is even, we obtain the following possibilities.

- (1)  $b_2 = 22, b_1 = 0, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 2, q = 0, p_g = 1, \Delta = 0.$ (2)  $b_2 = 14, b_1 = 2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1, q = 1, p_g = 1, \Delta = 0.$

- (3)  $b_2 = 10, b_1 = 0, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ , and either  $q = 0, p_g = 0, \Delta = 0$  or  $q = 1, p_g = 1, \Delta = 2$ .
- (4)  $b_2 = 6, b_1 = 4, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0, q = 2, p_q = 1, \Delta = 0.$
- (5)  $b_2 = 2, b_1 = 2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , and either  $q = 1, p_g = 1, \Delta = 0$  or  $q = 2, p_g = 0, \Delta = 2$ .

Note. If X is in class (b) and  $p_g = 1$ , then  $K \sim 0$  (because  $K = 0, H^0(K) \neq 0$  imply that  $K \sim 0$ .).

Let's deal with case 4 of class (b).

**Proposition 1.** Let X be minimal in class (b), and  $b_2 = 2, b_1 = 2$ . Then s = 1, Alb (X) is an elliptic curve, and  $X \to Alb(X)$  gives an elliptic/quasielliptic fibration.

*Proof.* Let's see that the fibers of f are irreducible. If not, we would have  $\rho > 2(F, H, \text{component of } F)$  and  $b_2 \ge \rho > 2$ , contradicting  $b_2 = 2$ . Now, to see that the fibers are not multiple, note that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  from the list.

(9) 
$$\deg (L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) = 2p_a(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \ell(T) = \ell(T) \ge 0$$

Since  $\omega_X = f^*(L^{-1} \otimes \omega_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\sum a_i P_i) \equiv 0$ , we see that  $\ell(T) \cdot f^{-1}(y) + \sum a_i P_i \equiv 0$ . But it is an effective divisor, implying that all the  $a_i = 0, \ell(T) = 0$  and thus  $a_i = m_i - 1 \forall i$  (there are no wild fibers since T = 0). So  $m_i = 1 \forall i$ . Thus, we have integral fibers, which is the case of a bielliptic surface.  $\Box$