## 12 The different and the discriminant

### 12.1 The different

We continue in our usual $A K L B$ setup: $A$ is a Dedekind domain, $K$ is its fraction field, $L / K$ is a finite separable extension, and $B$ is the integral closure of $A$ in $L$ (a Dedekind domain with fraction field $L$ ). We would like to understand the primes that ramify in $L / K$. Recall that a prime $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ of $L$ is unramified if and only if $e_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$ and that $B / \mathfrak{q}$ a separable extension of $A / \mathfrak{p}$, equivalently, if and only if $B / \mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is a finite étale $A / \mathfrak{p}$ algebra (by Theorem 4.40)..$\frac{1}{}$ A prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$ is unramified if and only if all the primes $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ lying above it are unramified, equivalently, if and only if the ring $B / \mathfrak{p} B$ is a finite étale $A / \mathfrak{p}$ algebra. $\simeq^{2}$

Our main tools for studying ramification are the different $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ and discriminant $D_{B / A}$. The different is a $B$-ideal that is divisible by precisely the ramified primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of $L$, and the discriminant is an $A$-ideal divisible by precisely the ramified primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$. Moreover, the valuation $v_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right)$ will give us information about the ramification index $e_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (its exact value when $\mathfrak{q}$ is tamely ramified).

Recall from Lecture 5 the trace pairing $L \times L \rightarrow K$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x y)$; under our assumption that $L / K$ is separable, it is a perfect pairing. An $A$-lattice $M$ in $L$ is a finitely generated $A$-module that spans $L$ as a $K$-vector space (see Definition 5.9). Every A-lattice $M$ in $L$ has a dual lattice (see Definition 5.11)

$$
M^{*}:=\left\{x \in L: \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x m) \in A \forall m \in M\right\},
$$

which is an $A$-lattice in $L$ isomorphic to the dual $A$-module $M^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, A)$ (see Theorem 5.12). In our $A K L B$ setting we have $M^{* *}=M$, by Proposition 5.16.

Every fractional ideal $I$ of $B$ is finitely generated as a $B$-module, and therefore finitely generated as an $A$ module (since $B$ is finite over $A$ ). If $I$ is nonzero, it necessarily spans $L$, since $B$ does. It follows that every element of the group $\mathcal{I}_{B}$ of nonzero fractional ideals of $B$ is an $A$-lattice in $L$. We now show that $\mathcal{I}_{B}$ is closed under the operation of taking duals.

Lemma 12.1. Assume $A K L B$. If $I \in \mathcal{I}_{B}$ then $I^{*} \in \mathcal{I}_{B}$.
Proof. The dual lattice $I^{*}$ is a finitely generated $A$-module, thus to show that it is a finitely generated $B$-module it is enough to show it is closed under multiplication by elements of $B$. So consider any $b \in B$ and $x \in I^{*}$. For all $m \in I$ we have $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}((b x) m)=\mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x(b m)) \in A$, since $x \in I^{*}$ and $b m \in I$, so $b x \in I^{*}$ as desired.

Definition 12.2. Assume $A K L B$. The different ideal is the inverse of $B^{*}$ in $\mathcal{I}_{B}$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{*} & :=\left\{x \in L: \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x b) \in A \text { for all } b \in B\right\}, \\
\mathcal{D}_{B / A} & :=\left(B^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(B: B^{*}\right)=\left\{x \in L: x B^{*} \subseteq B\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $B \subseteq B^{*}$, since $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}(a b) \in A$ for $a, b \in B$ (by Corollary 4.53), and this implies $\left(B^{*}\right)^{-1} \subseteq B^{-1}=B$. Thus $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ is actually an ideal, not just a fractional ideal.

The different respects localization and completion.

[^0]Proposition 12.3. Assume $A K L B$ and let $S$ be a multiplicative subset of $A$. Then

$$
S^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\mathcal{D}_{S^{-1} B / S^{-1} A} .
$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that inverses and duals are both compatible with localization, by Lemmas 3.8 and 5.15.

Proposition 12.4. Assume $A K L B$ and let $\mathfrak{q | p}$ be a prime of $B$. Then

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} / \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}=\mathcal{D}_{B / A} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}},
$$

where $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ are the completions of $A$ and $B$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$, respectively.
Proof. Let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}:=L \otimes K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the base change of the finite étale $K$-algebra $L$ to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By (5) of Theorem 11.19, we have $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Note that even though $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ need not be a field, in general, is is a free $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module of finite rank, and is thus equipped with a trace map that necessarily satisfies $\mathrm{T}_{\hat{L} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x)=\sum_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{T}_{\hat{L}_{\mathfrak{q}} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x)$ that defines a trace pairing on $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Now let $\hat{B}:=B \otimes \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$; it is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice in the $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-vector space $\hat{L}$. By Corollary 11.22 , $\hat{B} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$, and therefore $\hat{B}^{*} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*}$, by Corollary 5.13. It follows that $\hat{B}^{*} \simeq B^{*} \otimes_{A} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, $B^{*}$ generates each fractional ideal $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*} \in \mathcal{I}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}}$. Taking inverses, $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\left(B^{*}\right)^{-1}$ generates the $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$-ideal $\left(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\mathcal{D}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} / \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

### 12.2 The discriminant

Definition 12.5. Let $S / R$ be a ring extension in which $S$ is a free $R$-module of rank $n$. For any $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S$ we define the discriminant

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{S / R}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)\right]_{i, j} \in R
$$

Note that we do not require $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ to be an $R$-basis for $S$, but if they satisfy a non-trivial $R$-linear relation then the discriminant will be zero (by linearity of the trace).

In our $A K L B$ setup, we have in mind the case where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in B$ is a basis for $L$ as a $K$-vector space, in which case $\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j} \in A$. Note that we do not need to assume that $B$ is a free $A$-module; $L$ is certainly a free $K$-module. The fact that the discriminant lies in $A$ when $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in B$ follows immediately from Corollary 4.53.

Proposition 12.6. Let $L / K$ be a finite separable extension of degree $n$, and let $\Omega / K$ be a field extension for which there are distinct $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(L, \Omega)$. For any $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in L$ we have

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\sigma_{i}\left(e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}^{2}
$$

and for any $x \in L$ we have

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)=\prod_{i<j}\left(\sigma_{i}(x)-\sigma_{j}(x)\right)^{2}
$$

Such a field extension $\Omega / K$ always exists, since $L / K$ is separable ( $\Omega=K^{\text {sep }}$ works).

Proof. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{k}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)$, by Theorem 4.50. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\sigma_{k}\left(e_{i}\right)\right]_{i k}\left[\sigma_{k}\left(e_{j}\right)\right]_{k j}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\sigma_{k}\left(e_{i}\right)\right]_{i k}\left[\sigma_{k}\left(e_{j}\right)\right]_{j k}^{t}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left[\sigma_{i}\left(e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the determinant is multiplicative and $\operatorname{det} M=\operatorname{det} M^{\mathrm{t}}$ for any matrix $M$.
Now let $x \in L$ and put $e_{i}:=x^{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$
\left.\operatorname{disc}\left(1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\sigma_{i}\left(x^{j-1}\right)\right]_{i j}^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left[\sigma_{i}(x)^{j-1}\right)\right]_{i j}^{2}=\prod_{i<j}\left(\sigma_{i}(x)-\sigma_{j}(x)\right)^{2},
$$

since $\left.\left[\sigma_{i}(x)^{j-1}\right)\right]_{i j}$ is a Vandermonde matrix (see [1, p. 258], for example).
Definition 12.7. For a polynomial $f(x)=\prod_{i}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)$, the discriminant of $f$ is

$$
\operatorname{disc}(f):=\prod_{i<j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

Equivalently, if $A$ is a Dedekind domain, $f \in A[x]$ is a monic separable polynomial, and $\alpha$ is the image of $x$ in $A[x] /(f(x))$, then

$$
\operatorname{disc}(f)=\operatorname{disc}\left(1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\right) \in A
$$

Example 12.8. $\operatorname{disc}\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)=b^{2}-4 c$ and $\operatorname{disc}\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)=-4 a^{3}-27 b^{2}$.
Now assume $A K L B$ and let $M$ be an $A$-lattice in $L$. Then $M$ is a finitely generated $A$-module that contains a $K$-basis for $L$. We want to define the discriminant of $M$ in a way that does not require us to choose a basis.

Let us first consider the case where $M$ is a free $A$-lattice. If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in M \subseteq L$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime} \in M \subseteq L$ are two $A$-bases for $M$, then

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)=u^{2} \operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
$$

for some unit $u \in A^{\times}$; this follows from the fact that the change of basis matrix $P \in A^{n \times n}$ is invertible and its determinant is therefore a unit $u$. This unit gets squared because we need to apply the change of basis matrix twice in order to change $\mathrm{T}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)$ to $\mathrm{T}\left(e_{i}^{\prime} e_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Explicitly, writing bases as row-vectors, let $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ and $e^{\prime}=\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy $e^{\prime}=e P$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{disc}\left(e^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i}^{\prime} e_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{i j} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left((e P)_{i}(e P)_{j}\right)\right]_{i j} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left[P^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right) P\right]_{i j} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det} P^{\mathrm{t}}\right) \operatorname{disc}(e)(\operatorname{det} P) \\
& =(\operatorname{det} P)^{2} \operatorname{disc}(e),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the linearity of $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}$ to go from the second equality to the third.
This actually gives us a basis independent definition when $A=\mathbb{Z}$. In this case $B$ is always a free $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice, and the only units in $\mathbb{Z}$ are $u= \pm 1$, so $u^{2}=1$.

Definition 12.9. Assume $A K L B$, let $M$ be an $A$-lattice in $L$, and let $n:=[L: K]$. The discriminant $D(M)$ of $M$ is the $A$-module generated by $\left\{\operatorname{disc}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in M\right\}$.

Given any $n$-tuple $e^{\prime}=\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ of elements in $M$, if we view $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ as row vectors we can write $e^{\prime}=e P$ for some (not necessarily invertible) matrix $P \in A^{n \times n}$, and we always have $\operatorname{disc}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=(\operatorname{det} P)^{2} \operatorname{disc}(e) \in(\operatorname{disc}(e))$.

Lemma 12.10. Assume $A K L B$ and let $M^{\prime} \subseteq M$ be free $A$-lattices in $L$. The discriminants $D\left(M^{\prime}\right) \subseteq D(M)$ are nonzero principal fractional ideals. If $D\left(M^{\prime}\right)=D(M)$ then $M^{\prime}=M$.

Proof. Let $e:=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ be an $A$-basis for $M$. Then $\operatorname{disc}(e) \in D(M)$, and for any row vector $x:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with entries in $M$ there is a matrix $P \in A^{n \times n}$ for which $x=e P$, and we then have $\operatorname{disc}(x)=(\operatorname{det} P)^{2} \operatorname{disc}(e)$ as above. It follows that

$$
D(M)=(\operatorname{disc}(e))
$$

is principal, and it is nonzero because $e$ is a basis for $L$ and the trace pairing is nondegenerate. If we now let $e^{\prime}:=\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be an $A$-basis for $M^{\prime}$ then $D\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is also a nonzero and principal. Our assumption that $M^{\prime} \subseteq M$ implies that $e^{\prime}=e P$ for some matrix $P \in A^{n \times n}$, and we have $\operatorname{disc}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=(\operatorname{det} P)^{2} \operatorname{disc}(e)$. If $D\left(M^{\prime}\right)=D(M)$ then $\operatorname{det} P$ must be a unit, in which case $P$ is invertible and $e=e^{\prime} P^{-1}$. This implies $M \subseteq M^{\prime}$.

Proposition 12.11. Assume $A K L B$ and let $M$ be an A-lattice in $L$. Then $D(M) \in \mathcal{I}_{A}$.
Proof. The $A$-module $D(M) \subseteq K$ is nonzero because $M$ contains a $K$-basis $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ for $L$ and $\operatorname{disc}(e) \neq 0$ because the trace pairing is nondegenerate. To show that $D(M)$ is a finitely generated as an $A$-module (and thus a fractional ideal), we use the usual trick: make it a submodule of a noetherian module. So let $N$ be the free $A$-lattice in $L$ generated by $e$ and then pick a nonzero $a \in A$ such that $M \subseteq a^{-1} N$ (write each generator for $M$ in terms of the $K$-basis $e$ and let $a$ be the product of all the denominators that appear; note that $M$ is finitely generated). We then have $D(M) \subseteq D\left(a^{-1} N\right)$, and $D\left(a^{-1} N\right)$ is a principal fractional ideal of $A$, hence a noetherian $A$-module (since $A$ is noetherian), so its submodule $D(M)$ must be finitely generated.

Definition 12.12. Assume $A K L B$. The discriminant of $L / K$ (and of $B / A$ ) is the discriminant of $B$ as an $A$-module:

$$
D_{L / K}:=D_{B / A}:=D(B) \in \mathcal{I}_{A}
$$

which is an $A$-ideal, $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{disc}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[T_{B / A}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)\right]_{i, j} \in A$ for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in B$.
Example 12.13. Consider the case $A=\mathbb{Z}, K=\mathbb{Q}, L=\mathbb{Q}(i), B=\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then $B$ is a free $A$-lattice with basis $(1, i)$ and we can compute $D_{L / K}$ in three ways:

- $\operatorname{disc}(1, i)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{T}_{L / K}(1 \cdot 1) & \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(1 \cdot i) \\ \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(i \cdot 1) & \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(i \cdot i)\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\end{array}\right]=-4$.
- The non-trivial automorphism of $L / K$ fixes 1 and sends $i$ to $-i$, so we could instead compute $\operatorname{disc}(1, i)=\left(\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ i & -i\end{array}\right]\right)^{2}=(-2 i)^{2}=-4$.
- We have $B=\mathbb{Z}[i]=\mathbb{Z}[x] /\left(x^{2}+1\right)$ and can compute $\operatorname{disc}\left(x^{2}+1\right)=-4$.

In every case the discriminant $D_{L / K}$ is the ideal $(-4)=(4)$.
Remark 12.14. If $A=\mathbb{Z}$ then $B$ is the ring of integers of the number field $L$, and $B$ is a free $A$-lattice, because it is a torsion-free module over a PID and therefore a free module. In this situation it is customary to define the absolute discriminant $D_{L}$ of the number field $L$ to be the integer $\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ of $B$, rather than the ideal it generates. As noted above, this integer is independent of the choice of basis because $u^{2}=1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$; in particular, the sign of $D_{L}$ is well defined (as we shall see, the sign of $D_{L}$ carries information about $L$ ). In the example above, the absolute discriminant is $D_{L}=-4$.

Like the different, the discriminant respects localization.
Proposition 12.15. Assume $A K L B$ and let $S$ be a multiplicative subset of $A$. Then $S^{-1} D_{B / A}=D_{S^{-1} B / S^{-1} A}$.
Proof. Let $x=s^{-1} \operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in S^{-1} D_{B / A}$ for some $s \in S$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in B$. Then $x=s^{2 n-1} \operatorname{disc}\left(s^{-1} e_{1}, \ldots, s^{-1} e_{n}\right)$ lies in $D_{S^{-1} B / S^{-1} A}$. This proves the forward inclusion.

Conversely, for any $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in S^{-1} B$ we can choose a single $s \in S \subseteq A$ so that each $s e_{i}$ lies in $B$. We then have $\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=s^{-2 n} \operatorname{disc}\left(s e_{1}, \ldots, s e_{n}\right) \in S^{-1} D_{B / A}$, which proves the reverse inclusion.

We have now defined two different ideals associated to a finite separable extension of Dedekind domains $B / A$ in the $A K L B$ setup. We have the different $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$, which is a fractional ideal of $B$, and the discriminant $D_{B / A}$, which is a fractional ideal of $A$. We now relate these two ideals in terms of the ideal norm $N_{B / A}: \mathcal{I}_{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A}$, which for $I \in \mathcal{I}_{B}$ is defined as $\mathrm{N}_{B / A}(I):=[B: I]_{A}$, where $[B: I]_{A}$ is the module index (see Definitions $\underline{6.1}$ and 6.5).
Theorem 12.16. Assume $A K L B$. Then $D_{B / A}=N_{B / A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right)$.
Proof. The different and discriminant are both compatible with localization, by Propositions 12.3 and 12.15 , and the $A$-modules $D_{B / A}$ and $N_{B / A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right)$ of $A$ are both determined by the intersections of their localizations at maximal ideals (Proposition 2.6), so it suffices to prove that the theorem holds when we replace $A$ by its localization $\overline{A \text { at }}$ a prime of $A$. Then $A$ is a DVR and $B$ is a free $A$-lattice in $L$; let us fix an $A$-basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ for $B$.

The dual $A$-lattice

$$
B^{*}=\left\{x \in L: \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x b) \in A \forall b \in B\right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{B}
$$

is also a free $A$-lattice in $L$, with basis $\left(e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}\right)$ uniquely determined by $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i}^{*} e_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta function; see Corollary 5.14. If we write $e_{i}=\sum a_{i j} e_{j}^{*}$ in terms of the $K$-basis $\left(e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}\right)$ for $L$ then

$$
T_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)=T_{L / K}\left(\sum_{k} a_{i k} e_{k}^{*} e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} a_{i k} T_{L / K}\left(e_{k}^{*} e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} a_{i k} \delta_{k j}=a_{i j},
$$

so $P:=\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}$ is the change-of-basis matrix from $e^{*}:=\left(e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}\right)$ to $e:=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ (as row vectors we have $e=e^{*} P$ ). If we let $\phi$ denote the $K$-linear transformation with matrix $P$, then $\phi$ is an isomorphism of free $A$-modules and

$$
D_{B / A}=\left(\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}\right)=(\operatorname{det} \phi)=\left[B^{*}: B\right]_{A},
$$

where $\left[B^{*}: B\right]_{A}$ is the module index (see Definition 6.1). Applying Corollary $\underline{6.8}$ yields

$$
D_{B / A}=\left[B^{*}: B\right]_{A}=N_{B / A}\left(\left(B^{*}\right)^{-1} B\right)=N_{B / A}\left(\left(B^{*}\right)^{-1}\right)=N_{B / A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right)
$$

### 12.3 Ramification

Having defined the different and discriminant ideals we now want to understand how they relate to ramification. Recall that in our $A K L B$ setup, if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of $A$ then we can factor the $B$-ideal $\mathfrak{p} B$ as

$$
\mathfrak{p} B=\mathfrak{q}_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{r}^{e_{r}} .
$$

The Chinese remainder theorem implies

$$
B / \mathfrak{p} B \simeq B / \mathfrak{q}_{1}^{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times B / \mathfrak{q}_{r}^{e_{r}} .
$$

This is a commutative $A / \mathfrak{p}$-algebra of dimension $\sum e_{i} f_{i}$, where $f_{i}=\left[B / \mathfrak{q}_{i}: A / \mathfrak{p}\right]$ is the residue degree (see Theorem 5.34). It is a product of fields if and only if we have $e_{i}=1$ for all $i$, and it is a finite étale-algebra if and only if it is a product of fields that are separable extensions of $A / \mathfrak{p}$. The following lemma relates the discriminant to the property of being a finite ètale algebra.

Lemma 12.17. Let $k$ be a field and let $R$ be a commutative $k$-algebra with $k$-basis $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$. Then $R$ is a finite étale $k$-algebra if and only if $\operatorname{disc}\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem $5.20, R$ is a finite étale $k$-algebra if and only if the trace pairing on $R$ is a perfect pairing, which is equivalent to being nondegenerate, since $k$ is a field.

Suppose the trace pairing is degenerate. Then for some nonzero $x \in R$ we have $\mathrm{T}_{R / k}(x y)=0$ for all $y \in R$. If we write $x=\sum_{i} x_{i} r_{i}$ with $x_{i} \in k$ then $\sum_{i} x_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)=0$ for all $r_{j}$ (take $y=r_{j}$ ), and this implies that the columns of the matrix $\left[\mathrm{T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}$ are linearly dependent and therefore $\operatorname{disc}\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}=0$.

Conversely, if $\operatorname{disc}\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=0$ then the columns of $\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)\right]_{i j}$ are linearly dependent and for some $x_{i} \in k$ not identically zero we must have $\sum_{i} x_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)=0$ for all $j$. For $x:=\sum_{i} x_{i} r_{i}$ and any $y=\sum_{j} y_{j} r_{j} \in R$ we have $\mathrm{T}_{R / k}(x y)=\sum_{j} y_{j} \sum_{i} x_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{R / k}\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)=0$, which shows that the trace pairing is degenerate.

Theorem 12.18. Assume $A K L B$, let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of $B$ lying above a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $A$. The extension $L / K$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{q}$ does not divide $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$, and it is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ does not divide $D_{B / A}$.

Proof. We first consider the different $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$. By Proposition 12.4, the different is compatible with completion, so it suffices to consider the case that $A$ and $B$ are complete DVRs (complete $K$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $L$ at $\mathfrak{q}$ and apply Theorem 11.19). We then have $[L: K]=e_{\mathfrak{q}} f_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $e_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the ramification index and $f_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the residue field degree, and $\mathfrak{p} B=\mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}$.

Since $B$ is a DVR with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}$, we must have $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\mathfrak{q}^{m}$ for some $m \geq 0$. By Theorem $\underline{12.16}$ we have

$$
D_{B / A}=N_{B / A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right)=N_{B / A}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{m}\right)=\mathfrak{p}^{f_{\mathfrak{q}} m} .
$$

Thus $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \mid D_{B / A}$. Since $A$ is a PID, $B$ is a free $A$-module and we may choose an $A$-module basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ for $B$ that is also a $K$-vector space for $L$. Let $k:=A / \mathfrak{p}$, and let $\bar{e}_{i}$ be the reduction of $e_{i}$ to the $k$-algebra $R:=B / \mathfrak{p} B$. Then $\left(\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n}\right)$ is a $k$-basis for $R$ : it clearly spans, and we have $[R: k]=\left[B / \mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}: A / \mathfrak{p}\right]=e_{\mathfrak{q}} f_{\mathfrak{q}}=[L: K]=n$.

Since $B$ has an $A$-module basis, we may compute its discriminant as

$$
D_{B / A}=\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus $\mathfrak{p} \mid D_{B / A}$ if and only if $\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{p}$, equivalently, $\operatorname{disc}\left(\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n}\right)=0$ (note that $\operatorname{disc}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial in the $\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right)$ and $T_{R / k}\left(\bar{e}_{i} \bar{e}_{j}\right)$ is the trace of the multiplication-by- $\bar{e}_{i} \bar{e}_{j}$ map, which is the same as the reduction to $k=A / \mathfrak{p}$ of the trace of the multiplication-by- $e_{i} e_{j}$ map $\left.T_{L / K}\left(e_{i} e_{j}\right) \in A\right)$. By Lemma 12.17 , $\operatorname{disc}\left(\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{n}\right)=0$ if and only if the $k$-algebra $B / \mathfrak{p} B$ is not finite étale, equivalently, if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \mid D_{B / A}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified. There is only one prime $\mathfrak{q}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, so we also have $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{q}$ is ramified.

We now note an important corollary of Theorem 12.18.
Corollary 12.19. Assume $A K L B$. Only finitely many primes of $A$ (or $B$ ) ramify.
Proof. $A$ and $B$ are Dedekind domains, so the ideals $D_{B / A}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ both have unique factorizations into prime ideals in which only finitely many primes appear.

### 12.4 The discriminant of an order

Recall from Lecture 6 that an order $\mathcal{O}$ is a noetherian domain of dimension one whose conductor is nonzero (see Definitions $\underline{6.16}$ and 6.19), and the integral closure of an order is always a Dedekind domain. In our $A K L B$ setup, the orders with integral closure $B$ are precisely the $A$-lattices in $L$ that are rings (see Proposition 6.22); if $L=K(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in B$, then $A[\alpha]$ is an example. The discriminant $D_{\mathcal{O} / A}$ of such an order $\mathcal{O}$ is its discriminant $D(\mathcal{O})$ as an $A$-module. The fact that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq B$ implies that $D(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq D_{B / A}$ is an $A$-ideal.

If $\mathcal{O}$ is an order of the form $A[\alpha]$, where $\alpha \in B$ generates $L=K(\alpha)$ with minimal polynomial $f \in A[x]$, then $\mathcal{O}$ is a free $A$-lattice with basis $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}$, where $n=\operatorname{deg} f$, and we may compute its discriminant as

$$
D_{\mathcal{O} / A}=\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\right)\right)=(\operatorname{disc}(f)),
$$

which is a principal $A$-ideal contained in $D_{B / A}$. If $B$ is also a free $A$-lattice, then as in the proof of Lemma $\underline{12.10}$ we have

$$
D_{\mathcal{O} / A}=(\operatorname{det} P)^{2} D_{B / A}=[B: \mathcal{O}]_{A}^{2} D_{B / A},
$$

where $P$ is the matrix of the $A$-linear map $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ that sends an $A$-basis for $B$ to an $A$-basis for $\mathcal{O}$ and $[B: \mathcal{O}]_{A}$ is the module index (a principal $A$-ideal).

In the important special case where $A=\mathbb{Z}$ and $L$ is a number field, the integer $(\operatorname{det} P)^{2}$ is uniquely determined and it necessarily $\operatorname{divides} \operatorname{disc}(f)$, the generator of the principal ideal $D(\mathcal{O})=D(A[\alpha])$. It follows that if $\operatorname{disc}(f)$ is squarefree then we must have $B=\mathcal{O}=A[\alpha]$. More generally, any prime $p$ for which $v_{p}(\operatorname{disc}(f))$ is odd must be ramified, and any prime that does not divide $\operatorname{disc}(f)$ must be unramified. Another useful observation that applies when $A=\mathbb{Z}$ : the module index $[B: \mathcal{O}]_{\mathbb{Z}}=([B: \mathcal{O}])$ is the principal ideal generated by the index of $\mathcal{O}$ in $B$ (as $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices), and we have the relation

$$
D_{\mathcal{O}}=[B: \mathcal{O}]^{2} D_{B}
$$

between the absolute discriminant of the order $\mathcal{O}$ and its integral closure $B$.
Example 12.20. Consider $A=\mathbb{Z}, K=\mathbb{Q}$ with $L=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha^{3}-\alpha-1=0$. We can compute the absolute discriminant of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ as

$$
\operatorname{disc}\left(1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(x^{3}-x-1\right)=-4(-1)^{3}-27(-1)^{2}=-23
$$

The fact that -23 is squarefree immediately implies that 23 is the only prime of $A$ that ramifies, and we have $D_{\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]}=-23=\left[\mathcal{O}_{L}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right]^{2} D_{L}$, which forces $\left[\mathcal{O}_{L}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right]=1$, so $D_{L}=-23$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L}=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

More generally, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 12.21. Assume $A K L B$ and let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order with integral closure $B$ and conductor $\mathfrak{c}$. Then $D_{\mathcal{O} / A}=\mathrm{N}_{B / A}(\mathfrak{c}) D_{B / A}$.

Proof. See Problem Set 6.

### 12.5 Computing the discriminant and different

We conclude with a number of results that allow one to explicitly compute the discriminant and different in many cases.

Proposition 12.22. Assume $A K L B$. If $B=A[\alpha]$ for some $\alpha \in L$ and $f \in A[x]$ is the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$, then

$$
\mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\left(f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)
$$

is the $B$-ideal generated by $f^{\prime}(\alpha)$.
Proof. See Problem Set 6.
The assumption $B=A[\alpha]$ in Proposition $\underline{12.22}$ does not always hold, but if we want to compute the power of $\mathfrak{q}$ that divides $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ we can complete $L$ at $\mathfrak{q}$ and $K$ at $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q} \cap A$ so that $A$ and $B$ become complete DVRs, in which case $B=A[\alpha]$ does hold (by Lemma 10.14), so long as the residue field extension is separable (always true if $K$ and $L$ are global fields, since the residue fields are then finite, hence perfect). The following definition and proposition give an alternative approach.

Definition 12.23. Assume $A K L B$ and let $\alpha \in B$ have minimal polynomial $f \in A[x]$. The different of $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
\delta_{B / A}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}f^{\prime}(\alpha) & \text { if } L=K(\alpha) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 12.24. Assume $A K L B$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\left(\delta_{B / A}(\alpha): \alpha \in B\right)$.
Proof. See [2, Thm. III.2.5].
We can now more precisely characterize the ramification information given by the different ideal.

Theorem 12.25. Assume $A K L B$ and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of L lying above $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q} \cap A$ for which the residue field extension $(B / \mathfrak{q}) /(A / \mathfrak{p})$ is separable. Show that

$$
e-1 \leq v_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right) \leq e-1+v_{\mathfrak{q}}(e),
$$

and that the lower bound is an equality if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(e)=0$.
Proof. See Problem Set 6.

We also note the following proposition, which shows how the discriminant and different behave in a tower of extensions.

Proposition 12.26. Assume $A K L B$ and let $M / L$ be a finite separable extension and let $C$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $M$. Then

$$
\mathcal{D}_{C / A}=\mathcal{D}_{C / B} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{B / A}
$$

(where the product on the right is taken in C), and

$$
D_{C / A}=\left(D_{B / A}\right)^{[M: L]} N_{B / A}\left(D_{C / B}\right) .
$$

Proof. See [3, Prop. III.8].
If $M / L / K$ is a tower of finite separable extensions, we note that the primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$ that ramify are precisely those that divide either $D_{L / K}$ or $N_{L / K}\left(D_{M / L}\right)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that $B / \mathfrak{q}^{e_{q}}$ is reduced if and only if $e_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$; consider the image of a uniformizer in $B / \mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ As usual, by a prime of $A$ or $K$ we mean a nonzero prime ideal of $A$, and similarly for $B$ and $L$. The notation $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ means that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of $B$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$ (so $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q} \cap A$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ divides $\mathfrak{p} B$ ).

