

That's a solution. It's an accomplishment to have such a solution. If somebody gives you a value of the energy, you can calculate what is the phase shift, but we probably want to do more with it.

So you decide to plot this on a computer. Again, there's lots of variables going on here, so you would want to figure out what are the right variables to plot this.

And the right variables suggest themselves. From $k^2 = 2mE/\hbar^2$, unitless constants are things like ka , $k'a$, and that's it.

Well, so ka is a proxy for the energies. OK, a^2 is really $2mE/\hbar^2$. And so this we could call anything.

Well, let's call it u . On the other hand, k'^2 then-- if you have $k'^2 a^2$ that it's also unit free would be $2mE_0/\hbar^2 + 2mV_0/\hbar^2$.

You probably recognize them. The first one is just u^2 . I should call this u^2 , sorry. U^2 , and this is our friend z_0^2 . It's that number that tells you the main thing you always want to know about a square well.

That ratio between the energy V_0 to the demand to the energy that you can build with $\hbar^2 m a^2$. So here we go. We have $k'a$ given by this quantity, and therefore let me manipulate this equation.

Might as well do it. It probably easier to consider just $\tan \delta$, which is the inverse of this. You would have $1 - \tan \delta$ the inverse of this would be $k'a/ka$, put the a 's always, so $\cot k'a = \tan ka / \tan ka + k'a/ka$ aka $\cot k'a$.

So in terms of our variables, see $k'a$ is the square root of this, so $k'a$ square root of $u^2 + z_0^2$, and $k'a/ka$, you divide now by u . So it's square root of $1 + z_0^2/u^2$.

That's this quantity. So how big, how much space do I need to write it? Probably, I should write it here.

$1 - \sqrt{1 + z_0^2/u^2} \cot k'a$ is the square root of $z_0^2 + u^2$ and $\tan ka$, which is $u / \sqrt{1 + z_0^2/u^2} \cot \sqrt{z_0^2 + u^2}$. OK, it's not terrible. That's $\tan \delta$.

So if somebody gives you a potential, you calculate what z_0 is for this potential, you put z_0 there, and you plot as a function of u with Mathematica. And plotting as a function of u is plotting as a function of ka . And that's perfectly

nice thing to do. And it can be done with this expression.

In this expression, you can also see what goes on when u goes to 0. Not immediately, it takes a little bit of thinking, but look at it. As u goes to 0, well, these numbers are 1, that's perfectly OK. That seems to diverge, goes like $1/u$, but u going to 0. This goes to 0. So the product goes to a number.

So the whole-- the numerator goes to a number, some finite number. On the other hand, when u goes to 0, the denominator will go to infinity, because while this term goes to 0 the $\tan u$, this number is finite. And here you have a $1/u$. So the denominator goes to infinity. And the numerator remains finite. So as u goes to 0, tangent of delta goes to zero.

So you can choose delta to be 0 for 0 energy. So as u goes to 0, you get finite divided by infinity, and goes to zero. So $\tan \delta$ goes to 0. And we can take delta of ka equals 0, which is u to be 0. The phase shift is 0 for 0 energy.

Let me go here. So here is an example. z_0 squared equal 3.4. That actually correspond to 0.59π for z_0 . z_0 equal 0.59π . You may wonder why we do that, but let me tell you in a second.

So here are a couple of plots that occur. So here is u equals ka . And here's the phase shift, delta of u . You have the tangent of delta, but the phase shift can be calculated. And what you find is that, yes, it starts at 0, as we mentioned. And then it starts going down, but it stabilizes at minus π , which is a neat number. That's what the phase shift does.

The so-called scattering amplitude, well you could say, when is this scattering strongest? When you get an extra wave of this propagating more strongly? So you must plot sine squared delta and sine squared is highest for minus π over 2. So this goes like this, up, and decays as a function of u .

Third thing, the delay, is $1/a$. The delay is $1/a \frac{d\delta}{dk}$, as a function of u . So that, you can imagine, that takes a bit of time, because you would have to find the derivative of delta with respect to u , and do all kinds of operations. Don't worry, you will have a bit of exercises on this to do it yourselves.

But here the delay turns out to be negative. And this is unit-free. And here, comes to be equal minus 4 for equals 0, and goes down to 0.

So in this case, the delay is negative. So the reflected packet comes earlier than you would expected, which is possible, because the reflected packet is going slowly here. Finally, at this point, reaches more kinetic energy, just-- and then back.

So that's the delay. And you can plot another thing. Actually it's kind of interesting, is the quantity α , this coefficient here. That gives you an idea of how big the wave function is in the well. How much does it stick near the well?

So it peaks to 1. And it actually goes like this, and that's the behavior of this form. Basically, it does those things. So, so far so good. We got some information.

And then you do a little experiment, and try, for example, z_0 equals 5. And you have δ as a function of u , and here is minus π , minus 2π . And actually, you find that it just goes down, and approaches now minus 2π .

So actually, if you increase this z_0 a bit, it still goes to π , a π excursion of the phase. But suddenly, at some value, it jumps. And it now goes to 2π . And if you do with a larger value, at some point it goes to 3π and 4π . And it goes on like that.

Well if z_0 would have been smaller, like half of this, the phase would go down and would go back up, wouldn't go to minus π . It does funny things. So what's really happening is that there is a relation between how much the phase moves, and how many bound states this potential has.

And you say, why in the world? This calculation had nothing to do with bound states. Why would the phase shift know about the bound states? Well actually, it does. And here is the thing. If you remember, you've actually solved this problem in homework, the half square well, in which you put an infinite wall here.

And if you had the full square well, from minus a to a , this problem has all the old solutions of the full square well. All the old solutions exist. And if you remember the plots that you would do in order to find solutions, you have $\pi/2$, π , $3\pi/2$, 2π . And here is the even solution. Here is the odd solution. I'll do it like that. Here is an even solution. Here is an odd solution.

And I marked the odd solutions, because we care about the odd ones, because that's what this potential has. So z_0 equals 0.59π is a little more than $\pi/2$. So it corresponds to one solution. So there is one bound state for this z_0 . z_0 equals 5 is about here. it's in between $3\pi/2$ and this. And there's two nodes, two intersections. Therefore, two solutions in the square well. And here we have that the phase has an excursion of, not just π for one, but 2π .

And if you did this experiment for awhile, you would convince yourself there's a magic relation between how much the phase shift moves, and how many bound states you have in this potential. This relation is called Levinson's theorem. And that's what we're going to prove in the last half an hour of this lecture.