## Regression Models



Summer 2003

## Does Advertising Increase Sales?

| Appleglo | First-Year <br> Advertising <br> Expenditures <br> (\$ millions) | First-Year <br> Sales <br> (\$ millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |
| Maine | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ |
| New Hampshire | 1.2 | 68 |
| Vermont | 0.4 | 39 |
| Massachusetts | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | 43 |
| Connecticut | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 127 |
| Rhode Island | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 134 |
| New York | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | 87 |
| New Jersey | 1.2 | 77 |
| Pennsylvania | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | 102 |
| Delaware | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | 65 |
| Maryland | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| West Virginia | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| Virginia | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | 52 |
| Ohio | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
|  |  |  |



Questions: i) How to relate advertising expenditure to sales?
ii) What is expected first-year sales if advertising expenditure is $\$ 2.2$ million?
iii) How confident are you in your estimate?

## Regression Analysis

GOAL: Develop a formula that relates two quantities
x: "independent" (also called "explanatory") variable quantity typically under managerial control

Y: "dependent" variable magnitude is determined (to some degree) by value of $x$ quantity to be predicted

Examples:

Y<br>(dependent variable)<br>College GPA<br>Lung cancer rate<br>Stock return<br>First-year sales
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## Outline

- Simple Linear Regression
- Multiple Regression
- Understanding Regression Output
- Coefficient of Determination R²
- Validating the Regression Model


## The Basic Model: Simple Linear Regression

Data: $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ (a sample of size n taken from the population of all ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) values)

Model of the population*: $\quad Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$
Comments:

- The model assumes a linear relationship between x and Y , with y intercept $\beta_{0}$ and slope $\beta_{1}$
- $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are the parameters for the whole population. We do not know them and will estimate them using $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ to be calculated from the data (i.e. from the sample of size $n$ )
- $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the called the error term. Since the Y's do not fall precisely on the line (i.e. they are r.v.'s) we need to add an error term to obtain an equality.
- $\varepsilon_{i}$ is $N(0, \sigma)$. Thus, $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ are i.i.d. Normally distributed r.v.'s.
- $E\left(Y_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}$ Is the expected value of $Y$ for a given $x$ value. It is just the value on the line as that is where on average the $Y_{i}$ value would fall for a given $x_{i}$ value.
- $\operatorname{SD}\left(Y_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)=\sigma \quad$ Notice that The SD of $Y_{i}$ is equal to the $\operatorname{SD}$ of $\varepsilon_{i}$ and is a constant independent of the value of $x$.

How do we choose the line that "best" fits the data?


Best choices:
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}=13.82$
$b_{1}=48.60$

Regression coefficients: $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ are estimates of $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ Regression estimate for $Y$ at $x_{i}$ : $\hat{y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}$ (prediction)
Value of $Y$ at $x_{i}: y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}+e_{i}$ (use the error to obtain equality) Residual (error): $\quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\hat{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$
The "best" regression line is the one that chooses $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ to minimize the total squared errors:

$$
S S R=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

SSR is the residual sum of squares, analogous to a variance calculation

## How Good a Fit to the Line?

- std error s estimates $\sigma$, the std deviation of error $\varepsilon_{i}$
- lower figure has 10 times the error




## Coefficient of Determination: $R^{2}$

- It is a measure of the overall quality of the regression.

Specifically, it is the percentage of total variation exhibited in the $y_{i}$ data that is accounted for or predicted by the sample regression line.

- The sample mean of $Y: \bar{y}=\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\ldots+y_{n}\right) / n$
- Total variation in $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$
- Residual (unaccounted) variation in $Y \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$
(even the linear model, $\hat{y}_{i}$, does not explain all the the variability in $y_{i}$ )

$$
\mathrm{R}^{2}=\frac{\text { variation accounted for by } \mathrm{x} \text { variables }}{\text { total variation }}
$$

$=1$ -
variation not accounted for by x variables
total variation
$=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}$
$R^{2}$ takes values between 0 and 1 (it is a percentage).


$R^{2}=1 ; x$ values account for all variation in the Y values
$R^{2}=0 ; x$ values account for no variation in the $Y$ values


## Correlation and Regression

$\square$ Simple regression is correlation in disguise
$\square$ Coefficient of Determination = squared correlation coefficient
$\square$ Regression coefficient: $\mathrm{b}_{1}=$ correlation ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}}$
$\square$ Appleglo: Sales $=13.82+48.60$ * Advertising
$\square$ The coefficients are in units of sales and advertising. If advertising is $\$ 2.2$ Million, then sales will be $13.82+48.60$ * $2.2=\$ 120.74 \mathrm{M}$
$\square$ What if there are $>1$ predictor variable?

## Sales of Nature-Bar (\$ million)

| region | $\underset{\text { sales }}{Y}$ | advertisīng | $\underset{\text { promotions }}{\underline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}}$ | competitor's sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selkirk | 101.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 20.40 |
| Susquehanna | 44.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 30.50 |
| Kittery | 108.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 24.60 |
| Acton | 85.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 19.60 |
| Finger Lakes | 77.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 25.50 |
| Berkshire | 158.7 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 21.70 |
| Central | 180.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 6.80 |
| Providence | 64.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 12.60 |
| Nashua | 74.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 31.30 |
| Dunster | 143.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 18.60 |
| Endicott | 120.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 19.90 |
| Five-Towns | 69.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 25.60 |
| Waldeboro | 67.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 27.40 |
| Jackson | 106.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 24.30 |
| Stowe | 119.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 13.70 |

## Multiple Regression

- In general, there are many factors in addition to advertising expenditures that affect sales
- Multiple regression allows more than one independent variable Independent variables: $\quad \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ ( k of them)

Data: $\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{11}, \mathrm{x}_{21}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n} 1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} 1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{kn}}\right)$,
Population Model: $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{i}}+\ldots+\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ki}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$ $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ are i.i.d random variables, $\sim N(0, \sigma)$

Regression coefficients: $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ are estimates of $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}$.
Regression Estimate of $y_{i}: \hat{y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1 i}+\ldots+b_{k} x_{k i}$
Goal: Choose $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ to minimize the residual sum of squares. i.e., minimize:

$$
\operatorname{SSR}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Regression Output (from Excel)

| Regression Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Multiple R | 0.913 |
| R Square | 0.833 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.787 |
| Standard Error | 17.600 |
| Observations | 15 |

Standard error s: an estimate of $\sigma$
Analysis of

Variance

|  | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F | Significance <br> $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | 3 | 16997.537 | 5665.85 | 18.290 | 0.000 |
| Residual | 11 | 3407.473 | 309.77 |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 20405.009 |  |  |  |


|  | Coefficients | Standard <br> Error | $t$ <br> Statistic | P- <br> value | Lower <br> $95 \%$ | Upper <br> $95 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 65.71 | 27.73 | 2.37 | 0.033 | 4.67 | 126.74 |
| Advertising | 48.98 | 10.66 | 4.60 | 0.000 | 25.52 | 72.44 |
| Promotions | 59.65 | 23.63 | 2.53 | 0.024 | 7.66 | 111.65 |
| Competitor's <br> Sales | -1.84 | 0.81 | -2.26 | 0.040 | -3.63 | -0.047 |

## Understanding Regression Output

1) Regression coefficients: $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ are estimates of $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}$ based on sample data. Fact: $E\left[b_{j}\right]=\beta_{j}$.
(i.e., if we run the multiple regression many many times, the average value of the $b_{j}$ 's we get is $\beta$ )
Example:
$\mathrm{b}_{0}=65.705$ (its interpretation is context dependent, in this case, sales if no advertising, no promotions, and no competition)
$\mathrm{b}_{1}=48.979$ (an additional $\$ 1$ million in advertising is expected to result in an additional $\$ 49$ million in sales)
$\mathrm{b}_{2}=59.654$ (an additional $\$ 1$ million in promotions is expected to result in an additional $\$ 60$ million in sales)
$b_{3}=-1.838$ (an increase of $\$ 1$ million in competitor sales is expected to decrease sales by $\$ 1.8$ million)

## Understanding Regression Output, Continued

2) Standard error s: an estimate of $\sigma$, the SD of each $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$. It is a measure of the amount of "noise" in the model.

Example: s=17.60
3) Degrees of freedom: to be explained later.
4) Standard errors of the coefficients: $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{bo}}, \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{bk}}$ They are just the standard deviations of the estimates $\mathrm{b}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}$.

They are useful in assessing the quality of the coefficient estimates and validating the model. (Explained later).

## Coefficient of Determination: $R^{2}$

- A high $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ means that most of the variation we observe in the $y_{i}$ data can be attributed to their corresponding $x$ values
-- a desired property.
- In multiple regression, R is called "Multiple R"
- In simple regression, the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is higher if the data points are better aligned along a line. The corresponding picture in multiple regression is a plot of predicted $y_{i}$ vs. the actual $y_{i}$ data.
- How high a $R^{2}$ is "good" enough depends on the situation (for example, the intended use of the regression, and complexity of the problem).
- Users of regression tend to be fixated on $R^{2}$, but it's not the whole story. It is important that the regression model is "valid."


## Caution about $R^{2}$

- One should not include $x$ variables unrelated to $Y$ in the model, just to make the $R^{2}$ fictitiously high. New $x$ variables will account for some additional variance by chance alone ("fishing"), but these would not be validated in new samples.
- Adjusted $R^{2}$ modifies $R^{2}$ to account for the number of variables and the sample size, therefore counteracting "fishing":

Adjusted $R^{2}=1-\frac{(n-1)}{\left[n-R^{2}\right)}$

$$
[n-(k+1)]
$$

Rule of thumb: $n>=5(k+2)$ where $n=$ sample size and $k=$ number of predictor variables

## Validating the Regression Model

Assumptions about the population:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1 i}+\ldots+b_{k} x_{k i}+\varepsilon_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n) \\
& \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} \text { are i.i.d random variables, } \sim N(0, \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

1) Linearity

- If k = 1 (simple regression), one can check visually from scatter plot.
- "Sanity check": the sign of the coefficients, reason for non-linearity?

2) Normality of $\varepsilon_{i}$

- Plot the residuals ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\hat{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ).
- They should look evenly random - i.e. scattered.
- Then plot a histogram of the residuals. The resulting distribution should be approximately normal.
Usually, results are fairly robust with respect to this assumption.


## Residual Plots



## Healthy



Nonlinear
Can
sometimes be fixed, e.g., Insert $x^{2}$ as a variable.
3) Heteroscedasticity

- Do error terms have constant Std. Dev.? (i.e., $\operatorname{SD}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sigma$ for all i?)
- Check scatter plot of residuals vs. Y and x variables.


No evidence of heteroscedasticity


Evidence of heteroscedasticity

- May be fixed by introducing a transformation (e.g. use $x^{2}$ instead of $x$ )
- May be fixed by introducing or eliminating some independent variables

4) Autocorrelation: Are error terms independent?

- Plot residuals in order and check for patterns


No evidence of autocorrelation


Evidence of autocorrelation

- Autocorrelation may be present if observations have a natural sequential order (for example, time).
- May be fixed by introducing a variable (frequently time) or transforming a variable.


## Validating the Regression Model: Autocorrelation

| Sales <br> ( $\$$Thousands) | Promotions (\$ Thousands) | Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63.00 | 26 | January |
| 65.25 | 25 | February |
| 69.18 | 38.5 | March |
| 74.34 | 42 | April |
| 68.62 | 25.1 | May |
| 63.71 | 24.7 | June |
| 64.41 | 24.3 | July |
| 64.06 | 24.1 | August |
| 70.36 | 42.1 | September |
| 75.71 | 43 | October |
| 67.61 | 22 | November |
| 62.93 | 25 | December |

$\square$ Evidence of Autocorrelation in Simple Regression in Toothpaste monthly sales and promotions


## Graphs of Non-independent Error Terms (Autocorrelation)



Possible solution: Insert time (sequence) of observation as a variable.

## Pitfalls and Issues

1) Overspecification

- Including too many $x$ variables to make $R^{2}$ fictitiously high.
- Rule of thumb: we should maintain that $\mathrm{n}>=5(\mathrm{k}+2)$

2) Extrapolating beyond the range of data (Carter Racing!!)


## Pitfalls and Issues

3) Multicollinearity

- Occurs when two of the x variable are strongly correlated.
- Can give very wrong estimates for $\beta_{i}$ 's.
- Tell-tale signs:
- Regression coefficients ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's) have the "wrong" sign.
- Addition/deletion of an independent variable results in large changes of regression coefficients
- Regression coefficients (b,'s) not significantly different from 0
- May be fixed by deleting one or more independent variables


## Can We Predict Graduate GPA from College GPA and GMAT?

| Student <br> Number | Graduate <br> GPA | College <br> GPA | GMAT |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 640 |
| 2 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 644 |
| 3 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 557 |
| 4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 550 |
| 5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 547 |
| 6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 589 |
| 7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 533 |
| 8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 600 |
| 9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 630 |
| 10 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 548 |
| 11 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 600 |
| 12 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 633 |
| 13 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 546 |
| 14 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 602 |
| 15 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 614 |
| 16 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 644 |
| 17 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 634 |
| 18 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 572 |
| 19 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 570 |
| 20 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 656 |
| 21 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 574 |
| 22 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 636 |
| 23 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 635 |
| 24 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 654 |
| 25 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 633 |

## Regression Output

| R Square | 0.96 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Standard Error | 0.08 |  |
| Observations | 25 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients |  |
|  | Standard Error |  |
|  | 0.09540 | 0.28451 |
| Intercept | 1.12870 | 0.10233 |
| College GPA | -0.00088 | 0.00092 |
| GMAT |  |  |

## What happened?

College GPA and GMAT are highly correlated!

|  | Graduate | College | GMAT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Graduate | 1 |  |  |
| College | 0.98 | 1 |  |
| GMAT | 0.86 | 0.90 | 1 |

Eliminate GMAT(HBS?)


## Checklist for Evaluating a Linear Regression Model

- Linearity: scatter plot, common sense, and knowing your problem.
- Signs of Regression Coefficients: do they agree with intuition?
- Normality: plot residual histogram
- $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ : is it reasonably high in the context?
- Heteroscedasticity: plot residuals against each x variable
- Autocorrelation: time series plot
- Multicollinearity: compute correlations between x variables
- Statistical test: are the coefficients significantly different from zero? (next time)


## Summary and Look Ahead

$\square$ Regression is a way to make predictions from one or more predictor variables
$\square$ There are a lot of assumptions that must be checked to make sure the regression model is valid
$\square$ We may not get to Croq'Pain


[^0]:    $X$
    (independent variable) SAT score

    Amount of cigarette smoking
    Spending in R\&D
    Advertising expenditures

