

**1 Problem 1**

*Proof.* We aim to bound  $|\mathbb{E}[L_n] - m_n|$  by  $O(\sqrt{m_n})$ . We have that

$$|\mathbb{E}[L_n] - m_n| \leq \mathbb{E}[|L_n - m_n|] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| > x) dx$$

By Talagrand's inequality, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| > x) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4(m_n + x)}\right)$$

Let  $x = \beta\sqrt{m_n \log m_n}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| > x) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 m_n \log m_n}{4(m_n + \beta\sqrt{m_n \log m_n})}\right)$$

Let  $\beta_0 = c\sqrt{\frac{m_n}{\log m_n}}$  for some  $c \geq 1$ , we have for  $\beta \leq \beta_0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| > x) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 \log m_n}{4(1+c)}\right) = 4m_n^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4(1+c)}}$$

For  $\beta > \beta_0$ , let  $\beta = y\sqrt{m_n \log m_n}$  where  $y > c \geq 1$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| \geq \beta\sqrt{m_n \log m_n}) &\leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{y^2 m_n^2}{4(m_n + ym_n)}\right) = 4 \exp\left(-\frac{y^2 m_n}{4(1+y)}\right) \\ &\leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{ym_n}{8}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|L_n - m_n| > x) dx &\leq \sqrt{m_n \log m_n} \int_0^{\beta_0} 4m_n^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4(1+c)}} d\beta + m_n \int_c^\infty 4 \exp\left(-\frac{ym_n}{8}\right) dy \\ &= 4\sqrt{m_n \log m_n} \sqrt{\frac{\pi(1+c)}{\log m_n}} + 4m_n \frac{8 \exp\left(-\frac{cm_n}{8}\right)}{m_n} \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{\pi(1+c)m_n} + 32 = O(m_n) \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$|\mathbb{E}[L_n] - m_n| \leq O(\sqrt{m_n})$$

Thus, we have  $m_n = \alpha\sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$ . □

## 2 Problem 2 (Kaji's Solution)

*Proof.* Define  $g$  by  $g(E) = g(E_1, \dots, E_{dn}) = \log Z_n$ . For notational purposes, denote  $E_{-i} := (E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}, E_{i+1}, \dots, E_{dn})$ . Then we will prove the following equation:

$$|g(E, E_{-i} - g(E'_i, E_{-i}))| \leq \log 2 \text{ for all } i. \quad (1)$$

Consider the change from  $g(E_i, E_{-i})$  to  $g(E_{-i})$ . Omitting the edge  $E_i$ , we have that the sets that are independent under  $E$  are still independent under  $E_{-i}$ , and that for an independent set  $I$  under  $E$ , a new set  $I \cup E_i$  may become independent. Hence, the maximum possible change is  $Z_n \rightarrow 2Z_n$ . Now, by adding a new edge  $E'_i = \{a, b\}$ , observe the following:

- The independent sets that do not contain  $E'_i$  are still independent.
- If  $I$  is an independent set containing  $E'_i$ , then  $I \setminus \{a\}$  and  $I \setminus \{b\}$  are still independent.
- So the number of independent sets eliminated by adding  $E'_i$  cannot exceed  $\frac{1}{3}$  of that of independent sets under  $E_{-i}$ .

Thus,  $Z'_n$  cannot go below  $\frac{2}{3}Z_n$ , and we have (1). Let  $d_i = \log 2$ . Since  $g$  is symmetric in  $i$ , Theorem 12.2 gives that for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|g(E) - \mathbb{E}[g(E)]| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2dn(\log 2)^2}\right)$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\log Z_n - \mathbb{E}[\log Z_n] \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(|g(E) - \mathbb{E}[g(E)]| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2dn(\log 2)^2}\right)$$

□

## 3 Problem 3

*Proof.* Let  $X_t = X_t^+ - X_t^-$  where  $X_t^+ = \max\{X_t, 0\}$  and  $X_t^- = \max\{-X_t, 0\}$ , then we have that

$$X_t = X_t^+ - X_t^-$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 3, define two increasing nonnegative sequences  $X_t^{n+}$  and  $X_t^{n-}$ :  $X_t^{n+} = X_t^+$  when  $0 \leq X_t^+ \leq n$  and  $X_t^{n+} = n$

o.w.;  $X_t^{n-} = X_t^-$  when  $0 \leq X_t^- \leq n$  and  $X_t^{n-} = n$  o.w.. Thus, a.s. we have that

$$X_t^{n+} \rightarrow X_t^+, X_t^{n-} \rightarrow X_t^-$$

Let  $X_n = X_t^{n+} - X_t^{n-}$ . By  $|X_n| \leq |X|$  and  $|X_n| \leq n$ , we have that  $X_n$  is a.s. bounded and in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_t - X_t^n)^2 &= ((X_t^+ - X_t^{n+}) - (X_t^- - X_t^{n-}))^2 \\ &\leq 2(X_t^+ - X_t^{n+})^2 + 2(X_t^- - X_t^{n-})^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+ - X_t^{n+})^2 dt\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+)^2 dt\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^{n+})^2 dt\right] - 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T X_t^+ X_t^{n+} dt\right]$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by MCT the r.h.s. of the equation above converges to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+)^2 dt\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+)^2 dt\right] - 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+)^2 dt\right] = 0$$

Likewise, we have that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^- - X_t^{n-})^2 dt\right] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t - X_t^n)^2 dt\right] &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^+ - X_t^{n+})^2 dt\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (X_t^- - X_t^{n-})^2 dt\right] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4 Problem 4

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 ds\right] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for any  $n > N$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 ds\right] < \epsilon$$

For any  $n > N$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n + X_s)^2 ds\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s + 2X_s)^2 ds\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 + 4X_s(X_s^n - X_s) + 4X_s^2 ds\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t 2(X_s^n - X_s)^2 + 8X_s^2 ds\right] \\
&\leq 2\epsilon + 8\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t X_s^2 ds\right] < \infty
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For any  $n \leq N$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n + X_s)^2 ds\right] &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n)^2 ds\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t X_s^2 ds\right] \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus, (3) and (4) give that

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (X_s^n + X_s)^2 ds\right] < \infty$$

□

**Problem 5.**

The goal of this exercise is to show that much of Ito Calculus can be generalized to integration with respect to arbitrary continuous square integrable martingales.

- a. Define  $\int_0^t X_s dM_s$  for simple processes. Show that the resulting process is a martingale and establish Ito isometry for it.

As  $X_t$  is simple, for every  $T$  there exists finitely many  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$  such that for all  $t_j \leq s < t_{j+1}$ ,  $X_s = X_{t_j}$ . Assume for simplicity that  $t = t_n$  for some  $n$ , and define

$$\int_0^s X_s dM_s = \sum_{i \leq n-1} X_{t_i} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})$$

First, we show  $\int_0^T X_t dM_t$  is a martingale. Fix  $0 \leq s < T$ ; there exists  $k$  such that  $t_k \leq s < t_{k+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T X_t dM_t \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] + \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) + \mathbb{E} [X_k (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j \geq k+1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})] = \mathbb{E}[X_{t_j} \mathbb{E}[M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j} | \mathcal{F}_{t_j}] | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$$

For the middle term,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_s - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E} [X_{t_k} (M_s - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= X_{t_k} (\mathbb{E} [M_{t_{k+1}} | \mathcal{F}_s] - M_s) + X_{t_k} (M_s - M_{t_k}) \\ &= X_{t_k} (M_s - M_{t_k}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $M_t$  is a martingale, and we conclude that the integral is a Martingale. Next we show the Ito Isometry property. Let

$$\Delta \langle M_{t_j} \rangle \triangleq \langle M_{t_{j+1}} \rangle - \langle M_{t_j} \rangle.$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T X_t dM_t \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_i} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})] \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_j}^2 (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})^2] + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_i} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})].
\end{aligned}$$

But for each term in the second sum, as  $i > j$ , and thus  $t_i > t_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [X_{t_i} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [X_{t_i} X_{t_j} (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]] \\
&= \mathbb{E} [X_{t_i} X_{t_j} (\mathbb{E} [M_{t_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] - M_{t_i})(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})] \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as the remaining terms are all finite in expectation. Continuing, with the tower property again

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_j}^2 (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})^2] &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_j}^2 \mathbb{E} [(M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_j}]] \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [X_{t_j}^2 \Delta \langle M_{t_j} \rangle] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T X_t^2 d\langle M_t \rangle \right],
\end{aligned}$$

- b. Given an arbitrary  $X \in \mathbb{L}_2$ , show that if  $X^n$  is a sequence of processes such that  $\lim_n \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle = 0$ , then the sequence  $\int_0^t X_s^n dM_s$  is Cauchy for every  $t$  in the  $\mathbb{L}_2$  sense. Use this to define  $\int_0^t X_s dM_s$  for any process  $X \in \mathbb{L}_2$  and establish Ito isometry for the Ito integral. Here the integration  $d\langle M_s \rangle$  is understood in the Stieltjes sense. You do not need to prove the existence of the processes  $X_s^n$  satisfying the requirement above (unless you would like to).

To show  $\int_0^t X_s^n dM_s$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{L}_2$ , we need for every  $\varepsilon$  there exists  $n$  such that for all  $m > n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t X_s^n dM_s - \int_0^t X_s^m dM_s \right)^2 \right] \leq \varepsilon.$$

By the linearity of the Ito integral for simple processes (same proof as the linearity of Ito integral w.r.t Brownian motion), and noting that the difference of two simple processes is a simple processes, applying Ito Isometry gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t X_s^n dM_s - \int_0^t X_s^m dM_s \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s^m) dM_s \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s + X_s - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t 2(X_s^n - X_s)^2 + 2(X_s - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling back to how we defined the above integral in the final equality of **3a**, we see that the integral is linear, and as expectation is as well, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t 2(X_s^n - X_s)^2 + 2(X_s - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] = 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right].$$

By assumption, as  $\lim_n \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle = 0$ , if we choose  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s^n - X_s)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (X_s - X_s^m)^2 d\langle M_s \rangle \right] \leq 2\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 2\frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon,$$

establishing the sequence is Cauchy. Since  $\mathcal{M}_{2,C}$  is complete, there exists a limit, which we define as the Ito integral. The limit of the sequence is a square integrable martingale as well, and a very simple proof shows that the limit does not depend on the sequence  $X_s^n$ , making the Ito integral well defined. By taking limits, the Ito integral is linear.

We prove the Ito Isometry:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T X_t dM_t \right)^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T (X_t - X_t^n) dM_t + \int_0^T X_t^n dM_t \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T (X_t - X_t^n) dM_t \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T (X_t - X_t^n) dM_t \int_0^T X_t^n dM_t \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T X_t^n dM_t \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Passing the limit and following the same steps as the lecture notes, we obtain that the Ito isometry holds in the limit.



MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

15.070J / 6.265J Advanced Stochastic Processes  
Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.