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## Dijkstra's Algorithm for the Shortest Path Problem

## Single source shortest path problem



Find the shortest path from a source node to each other node.

Assume: (1) all arc lengths are non-negative
(2) the network is directed
(3) there is a path from the source node to all other nodes

## Overview of today's lecture

- Dijkstra's algorithm
- animation
- proof of correctness (invariants)
- time bound
- A surprising application (see the book for more)
- A Priority Queue implementation of Dijkstra's Algorithm (faster for sparse graphs)


## A Key Step in Shortest Path Algorithms

- In this lecture, and in subsequent lectures, we let d() denote a vector of temporary distance labels.
- $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i})$ is the length of some path from the origin node 1 to node $i$.
- Procedure Update(i)
for each ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \in \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{i})$ do
if $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})>\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j}):=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\operatorname{pred}(\mathrm{j}):=\mathrm{i}$;
- Update(i)
- used in Dijkstra's algorithm and in the label correcting algorithm


## Update(7)

$d(7)=6$ at some point in the algorithm, because of the path 1-8-2-7


Suppose 7 is incident to nodes $9,5,3$, with temporary distance labels as shown.

We now perform Update(7).

## On Updates

Note: distance labels cannot increase in an update step. They can decrease.


We do not need to perform Update(7) again, unless $\mathrm{d}(7)$ decreases. Updating sooner could not lead to further decreases in distance labels.

In general, if we perform Update(j), we do not do so again unless $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ has decreased.

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

Let $\mathrm{d}^{*}(\mathrm{j})$ denote the shortest path distance from node 1 to node $j$.

Dijkstra's algorithm will determine d*(j) for each j, in order of increasing distance from the origin node 1.

S denotes the set of permanently labeled nodes. That is, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{d} *(\mathrm{j})$ for $\mathrm{j} \in \mathbf{S}$.

T = NIS denotes the set of temporarily labeled nodes.

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

$S:=\{1\} ; \quad T=N-\{1\} ;$
$\mathrm{d}(1):=0$ and $\operatorname{pred}(1):=0 ; \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})=\infty$ for $\mathrm{j}=2$ to n ;
update(1);
while $\mathbf{S}$ = $\mathbf{N}$ do
(node selection, also called FINDMIN)
let $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{T}$ be a node for which $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i})=\min \{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j}): \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}\}$; S:= S $\cup\{i\} ;$ T: = T - $\{i\} ;$
Update(i)

Dijkstra's Algorithm Animated

## Invariants for Dijkstra's Algorithm

1. If $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{S}$, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{d}^{\star}(\mathrm{i})$ is the shortest distance from node 1 to node $j$.
2. (after the update step) If $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ is the length of the shortest path from node 1 to node $j$ in $S \cup\{j\}$, which is the shortest path length from 1 to $j$ of scanned arcs.

Note: S increases by one node at a time. So, at the end the algorithm is correct by invariance 1.

## Verifying invariants when $S=\{1\}$

Consider S = \{ 1 \} and after update(1)


1. If $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{S}$, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ is the shortest distance from node 1 to node j .
2. 3. If $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ is the length of the shortest path from node 1 to node j in $\mathrm{S} \cup\{\mathrm{j}\}$.

## Verifying invariants Inductively

Assume that the invariants are true before a node selection
$\mathrm{d}(5)=\min \{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j}): \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}\}$.


Any path from 1 to 5 passes through a node $k$ of T. The path to node $k$ has distance at least $d(5)$. So $d(5)=d^{\star}(5)$.

Suppose 5 is transferred to S and we carry out Update(5). Let P be the shortest path from 1 to j with $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$.

If $5 \notin P$, then invariant 2 is true for $j$ by induction. If $5 \in P$, then invariant 2 is true for j because of Update(5).

## A comment on invariants

It is the standard way to prove that algorithms work.

- Finding the best invariants for the proof is often challenging.
- A reasonable method. Determine what is true at each iteration (by carefully examining several useful examples) and then use all of the invariants.
- Then shorten the proof later.


## Complexity Analysis of Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Update Time: update(j) occurs once for each j, upon transferring j from T to S . The time to perform all updates is $O(m)$ since the arc ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is only involved in update(i).
- FindMin Time: To find the minimum (in a straightforward approach) involves scanning $d(j)$ for each $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$.
- Initially T has n elements.
- So the number of scans is $n+n-1+n-2+\ldots+1=O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time in total. This is the best possible only if the network is dense, that is m is about $\mathrm{n}^{2}$.
- We can do better if the network is sparse.


## Application 19.19. Dynamic Lot Sizing

- K periods of demand for a product. The demand is $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in period j . Assume that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}>0$ for $\mathrm{j}=1$ to K .
- Cost of producing $p_{j}$ units in period $j: a_{j}+b_{j} p_{j}$
$-h_{j}$ : unit cost of carrying inventory from period $j$
- Question: what is the minimum cost way of meeting demand?
- Tradeoff: more production per period leads to reduced production costs but higher inventory costs.


## Application 19.19. Dynamic Lot Sizing (1)



Flow on arc ( $0, \mathrm{j}$ ): amount produced in period j
Flow on arc ( $\mathbf{j}, \mathrm{j}+1$ ): amount carried in inventory from period $\mathbf{j}$

Lemma: There is production in period j or there is inventory carried over from period $\mathbf{j}-1$, but not both.

Lemma: There is production in period j or there is inventory carried over from period $\mathrm{j}-1$, but not both. Suppose now that there is inventory from period $\mathrm{j}-1$ and production in period $j$. Let period $i$ be the last period in which there was production prior to period $j$, e.g., $\mathrm{j}=7$ and $\mathrm{i}=4$.

Claim: There is inventory stored in periods $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}+1, \ldots, j-1$



Thus there is a cycle C with positive flow. $\mathrm{C}=0-4-5-6-7-0$. Let $x_{07}$ be the flow in $(0,7)$.

The cost of sending $\Delta$ units of flow around $C$ is linear (ignoring the fixed charge for production). Let $Q=b_{4}+h_{4}+h_{5}+h_{6}-b_{7}$.

- If $Q<0$, then the solution can be improved by sending a unit of flow around $C$.
- If $Q>0$, then the solution can be improved by decreasing flow in C by a little.
- If $\mathbf{Q}=0$, then the solution can be improved by increasing flow around $C$ by $x_{07}$ units (and thus eliminating the fixed cost $a_{7}$ ).
- This contradiction establishes the lemma.

Corollary. Production in period i satisfies demands exactly in periods $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}+1, \ldots, j-1$ for some $\mathbf{j}$.

Consider 2 consecutive production periods $i$ and $j$. Then production in period i must meet demands in $\mathrm{i}+1$ to $\mathrm{j}-1$.


Let $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ be the (total) cost of this flow.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i j}= & a_{i}
\end{aligned}+b_{i}\left(d_{i}+d_{i+1}+\ldots+d_{j-1}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ be the cost of producing in period i to meet demands in periods $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{j}-1$ (including cost of inventory). Create a graph on nodes 1 to $\mathrm{K}+1$, where the cost of $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.


Each path from 1 to $\mathrm{K}+1$ gives a production and inventory schedule. The cost of the path is the cost of the schedule.


Interpretation: produce in periods 1, 6, 8 and 11.
Conclusion: The minimum cost path from node 1 to node $\mathrm{K}+1$ gives the minimum cost lot-sizing solution.

## Next

- A speedup of Dijkstra's algorithm if the network is sparse
- New Abstract Data Type: Priority Queues


## Priority Queues

- In the shortest path problem, we need to find the minimum distance label of a temporary node. We will create a data structure B that supports the following operations:

1. Initialize(B): Given a set $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, and given distance labels $d$, this operation initializes the data structure $B$.
2. Findmin(B): This operation gives the node in $T$ with minimum distance label
3. Delete(B, $\mathbf{j})$ : This operation deletes the element $\mathbf{j}$ from B.
4. Update( $B, j, \delta):$ This operation updates $B$ when $d(j)$ is changed to $\delta$.

- In our data structure, Initialize will take O(n) steps. Delete Update, and FindMin will each take O(log $n$ ) steps.


## Storing B in a complete binary tree.

- The number of nodes is $\mathbf{n}$ (e.g., 8)

| j | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$ ? | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes |
| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ | -- | 12 | 9 |  | 15 | 11 |  | 11 |

The parent will contain the minimum distance label of its children.


## Storing B in a complete binary tree.

| j | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$ ? | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes |
| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ | -- | 12 | 9 |  | 15 | 11 |  | 11 |



## Storing B in a complete binary tree.

| j | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{T}$ ? | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes |
| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ | -- | 12 | 9 |  | 15 | 11 |  | 11 |



## Storing B in a complete binary tree.

| $j$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j \in T$ ? | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes |
| $d(j)$ | -- | 12 | 9 |  | 15 | 11 |  | 11 |



Finding the minimum element
Start at the top and follow the minimum value
FindMin takes $O(\log n)$ steps.


## Deleting or inserting or changing an element

Suppose that node 3 is deleted from T.

Start at the bottom and work upwards

O(log $n$ ) steps.


## Complexity Analysis using Priority Queues

- Update Time: update(j) occurs once for each j, upon transferring j from T to S . The time to perform all updates is $\mathbf{O}(\mathrm{m} \log \mathrm{n})$ since the arc ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is only involved in update( i ), and updates take $O(\log n)$ steps.
- FindMin Time: $\mathbf{O}(\log n)$ per find min. O( $n \log n$ ) for all find min's
- $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m} \log \mathrm{n})$ running time


## Comments on priority queues

- Usually, "binary heaps" are used instead of a complete binary tree.
- similar data structure
- same running times up to a constant
- better in practice
- There are other implementations of priority queues, some of which lead to better algorithms for the shortest path problem.


## Summary

- Shortest path problem, with
- Single origin
- non-negative arc lengths
- Dijkstra's algorithm (label setting)
- Simple implementation
- Dial's simple bucket procedure
- Application to production and inventory control.
- Priority queues implemented using complete binary trees.
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