

**GILBERT**

OK. This is the start of Laplace transforms. And that's going to take more than one short video. But I'll devote this video to first order equations, where the steps are easy and pretty quick. Then will come second order equations. So Laplace transforms starting now.

**STRANG:**

So let me tell you what-- I use a capital letter for the Laplace transform of little  $f$ , a function of  $t$ . The transform is capital  $F$ , a function of  $s$ . And you'll see where  $s$  comes in. Or if it's the solution I'm looking at,  $y$  of  $t$ , its transform is naturally called capital  $Y$  of  $s$ . So that's what we want-- we want to find  $y$ , and we know  $f$ . OK.

So can I do an example? Well, first tell you what the Laplace transform is. Suppose the function is  $f$  of  $t$ . Here is the transform. I multiply by  $e$  to the minus  $st$ , and I integrate from 0 to infinity. 0 to infinity. Very important. The function doesn't start until  $t$  equals 0, but it goes on to  $t$  equal infinity.

I integrate, and when I integrate,  $t$  disappears but  $s$  is still there. So I have a function of  $s$ . Well, I have to do an example. So to find the Laplace transform is to do an integration. And you won't be surprised that the good functions we know are the ones where we can do the integration and discover the transform, and make a little table of nice transforms.

And the number one function we know is the exponential. So can I find-- for that function, I'll compute its transform. So what do I have to do? I have to integrate from 0 to infinity-- you might say 0 to infinity is hard, but it's actually the best-- of my function, which is  $e$  to the  $at$ . So that's my function times  $e$  to the minus  $st$   $dt$ .

OK. I can do that integral, because those combine into  $e$  to the  $a$  minus  $st$ . I can put those together into  $e$  to the  $a$  minus  $st$ . I integrate so I get  $e$  to the  $a$  minus  $st$  divided by  $a$  minus  $s$ . That's the integral of that. Because what I have in here is just that. To integrate the exponential, I just divide by the exponent there. And I have just substitute  $t$  equal infinity and  $t$  equal 0. So  $t$  equal infinity, starting at 0 to infinity. OK.

Infinity is the nice one. It's the easy one. I will look only at  $s$ 's that are bigger than  $a$ .  $s$  larger than  $a$  means that this exponential is decreasing to 0. It gets to 0 at  $t$  equal infinity. So at  $t$  equal infinity, that upper limit of the integral ends up with a 0. So I just have to subtract the lower limit. And look how nice. Now I put in  $t$  equal 0. Well, then that becomes 1.

And it's a lower limit, so it comes with a minus sign. So it's just the 1 over, the minus sign will flip that s minus a. The most important Laplace transform in the world. Remember, the function was in to the at. The transform is a function of s. The original function depended on t and a parameter a. The result depends on s and a parameter a.

And an engineer would say, here we have the exponent. The growth rate is a. And over in the transform-- so this is the transform, remember. This is the transform f of x. In the transform, I see blow up-- a pole, that's called a pole-- at s equal a.  $1/0$  is a pole.

And I'm not surprised. So the answer is blowing up at s equal a. Well, of course. If s equals a, then this is the integral of 1 from 0 to infinity, and it's infinite. So I'm not surprised to see the pole showing up. The blow up showing up exactly at the exponent a. But this is a nice transform. OK.

I need to do one other-- oh, no. I could already solve the equation. So let me start with the equation  $dy/dt - ay = 0$ . Oh, well, I can take the Laplace transform of  $0 = 0$ , safe enough. The Laplace transform of y is capital Y. But what's the transform of this? Oh, I have to do one more transform for you.

I'm hoping that the transform of the derivative,  $dy/dt$ , connects to the transform of y. So the transform of this guy is the integral from 0 to infinity of that function, whatever it is, times  $e^{-st}$ . This is the transform. So this Laplace transform.

Now what can I do with that integral? This is a step that goes back to the beginning of calculus. But it's easy to forget. When you see a derivative there inside that integral, you think, I could integrate by parts. I could integrate that term and take the derivative of that term. That's what integration by parts does. It moves the derivative away from that and onto that where it's no problem.

And do you remember that a minus sign comes in when I do this? So I have the integral from 0 to infinity of-- now the derivative is coming off of that, so that's just y of t. And the derivative is going onto that, so that's minus  $se^{-st}$  to the minus  $st$  dt. Good. And then do you remember in integration by parts, there's also another term that comes from y times  $e^{-st}$ ? This is  $ye^{-st}$  at 0 and infinity. OK.

I've integrated by parts. A very useful, powerful thing, not just a trick. OK. Now, can I recognize some of this? That is minus minus, no problem. I bring out-- that s is a constant. Bring it out, s.

Now, what do I have left when I bring out that  $s$ ? I have the integral of  $y e^{-st} dt$ . That is exactly the Laplace transform of  $y$ . It's exactly capital  $Y$ .

Put the equal sign here. I'll make that  $0$  a little smaller, get it out of the way. OK.  $sY$  of  $s$ . So that whole term has a nice form. When you take the derivative of a function, you multiply its Laplace transform by  $s$ . That's the rule. Take the derivative of the function, multiply the Laplace transform by  $s$ . If we have two derivatives, we'll multiply by  $s$  twice. Easy.

That's why the Laplace transform works. But now, here is a final term.  $y$  at infinity-- well, and  $e^{-st}$  at  $t$  equal infinity,  $0$ . Forget it. So I just have to subtract off  $y$  at  $0$  times  $e^{-st}$  at  $0$ , which is  $1$ .  $e^{-st}$  at  $0$  is  $1$ . So do you see that the initial condition comes into the transform? It's like, great.

We have the transform of  $Y$ . Now, all this is the transform. This is the transform of  $dy/dt$  that we found. Now, why did I want that? Because I plan to take the transform of every term in my equation.

So like there are two steps to using the Laplace transform. One is to compute some transforms like this one, and some rules like this one. That's the preparation step. That comes from just looking at these integrals.

And then to use them, I'm going to take the Laplace transform of every term. So I have an equation. I take the Laplace transform of every term. I've got another equation. So the Laplace transform of this is  $sY$  of  $s$  minus  $y$  of  $0$ . That was a Laplace transform of this part.

Now the Laplace transform of this is minus  $a$ , a constant,  $Y$  of  $x$ . And the Laplace transform of  $0$  is  $0$ . Do you realize what we've done? I've taken a differential equation and I've produced an algebra equation. That's the point of the Laplace transform, to turn differential equations-- derivatives turn into multiplications, algebra.

So all the terms turn into that one. And now comes-- so that's big step one. Transform every term. Get an algebra problem for each  $s$ . We've changed from  $t$ , time in the differential equation, to  $s$  in Laplace transform.

Now solve this. So how am I going to solve that? I'm going to put  $y$  of  $0$  on to the right-hand side. And then I have  $Y$  of  $s$  times  $s$  minus  $a$ . So I will divide by  $s$  minus  $a$ . And that gives me  $Y$  of  $s$ . So that was easy to do. The algebra problem was easy to solve. The differential equation more serious.

OK. The algebra problem is easy. Are we finished? Got the answer, but we're in the  $s$  variable, the  $s$  domain. I've got to get back to-- so now this is going to be an inverse Laplace transform. That's the inverse transform. To give me back  $y$  of  $t$  equals what?

How am I going to do the inverse transform? So now I have the transform of the answer, and I want the answer. I have to invert that transform and get out of  $s$  and back to  $t$ . Well,  $y$  of  $0$  is a constant. Laplace transform is linear, no problem. So have  $y$  of  $0$  from that. And now I have  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ .

So I'm asking myself, what is the function whose transform is  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ ? Then it's that function that I want to put in there. And what is the function whose transform is  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ ? It's the one we did. It's this one up here.  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$  came from the function  $e$  to the  $at$ .

So that  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ , when I transform back, is the  $e$  to the  $at$ . And I'm golden. And that you recognize, of course, as the correct answer, correct solution to this differential equation. The initial value  $y$  of  $0$  takes off with exponential  $e$  to the  $at$ . No problem. OK.

Can I do one more example of a first order equation? Now I'm going to put it in an  $f$  of  $t$ . I'm going to put in a source term. So I'll do all the same stuff, but I'm going to have an  $f$  of  $t$ . And what shall I take for-- I'll take an exponential again,  $e$  to the  $ct$ . So that's my right-hand side.

Can I do the same idea, the central idea? Take my differential equation, transform every term. I've started with a time equation and I'm going to get an  $s$  equation. So again,  $dy/dt$  minus  $ay$ , that transformed to-- what did that transform to?  $sY$  of  $s$  minus  $y$  of  $0$ . Came from there. Minus  $aY$  of  $0$ -- minus  $aY$  of  $s$ . Minus  $aY$  of  $s$ .

And on the right-hand side, I have the transform of  $e$  to the  $ct$ . We're getting good at this transform.  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $c$ , instead of  $a$  at  $c$ . OK. That's our equation transform. Now algebra. I just pull  $Y$  of  $s$  out of that. How am I going to pull  $Y$  of  $s$  out of this equation?

Well, I'll move  $y$  of  $0$  to the other side. And I'll divide by  $s$  minus  $a$ . Look at it.  $Y$  of  $s$  is-- OK. I have  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $c$ . And I have an  $s$  minus  $a$  that I'm dividing by.  $S$  minus  $a$ . And then I have the  $y$  of  $0$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ .

I've transformed the differential equation to an  $s$  equation. I've just done simple algebra to solve that equation. And I've got two terms. Two terms. You see that term? That's what I had

before. That's what I had just there. The inverse transform was this. No problem. That's the null solution that's coming out of the initial value .

The new term that's coming from the  $e$  to the  $ct$ , coming from the force, is this one. And I have to do its inverse transform. I have to figure out what function has that transform. And you may say, that's completely new. But we can connect it to the one we know.

OK. So that will give me the same inverse transform, the growing exponential. But what does this one give? That's a key question. We have to be able to do-- invert, figure out what function has that transform? The function will involve  $a$  and  $c$  and  $t$ , the time. And  $s$ , the transform variable, will become  $t$ , the time period.

So that's the big question. What do I do with this? And notice, it has two poles. It blows up at  $s$  equal  $a$ , and it blows up at  $s$  equal  $c$ . And I have to figure out-- well, actually, by good luck, I want to separate those two poles. Because if I separate the two poles, I know what to do with a blow up at  $s$  equal  $a$  and a blow up at  $s$  equal  $c$ .

The problem is, right now I have both blow ups at once. So I'm going to separate that. And that's called partial fractions. So I will have to say more about partial fractions. Right now, let me just do it. That expression there, I'll take this guy away. Because it gives that term that we know.

It's this one. Is that one. It's this two poles thing that I want to separate those two poles. So this is algebra again. Partial fractions is just algebra. No calculus. No derivatives are in here. I just want to write that as  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $c$ . It turns out-- look. There's a way to remember the answer.

$s$  minus  $c$  times  $c$  minus  $a$  and  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $a$ . And now  $a$  minus  $c$ . Do you see that that has only one pole at  $s$  equals  $c$ ? This is just a number. This has one pole at  $s$  equals  $a$ . That's just a number. In fact, those numbers are the opposite.

So now, are we golden? I can take the inverse transform with just one pole. So now that gives me the solution  $y$  from-- so this is just a constant,  $1$  over  $c$  minus  $a$ . And what is the inverse transform of this? That's the simple pole at  $c$ . It came from a pure exponential,  $e$  to the  $ct$ .  
Right?

And now this guy, this one. OK. Well, this has a minus  $c$ , which is the opposite of  $c$  minus  $a$ . So

if I put a minus sign, I can put them all over  $c - a$ . Look at that. Look at this.  $C - a$  is in both of these. Here it is with a plus sign. And that transform came from that function. Here it is with a minus sign. So I want a minus there.

And what function gave me that transform?  $e$  to the  $at$ , right? That's the one we know. The unforgettable transform of a simple exponential,  $e$  to the  $at$ . That is the particular solution. So the Laplace transform, we transformed the differential equation. We got an algebra equation. We solved that algebra equation, and then we had to go backwards to find what function had this transform  $y$ .

And to see that, clearly we had to use this partial fraction idea which separated these two poles into one pole there, when  $s$  is  $c$ , and another pole, when  $s$  is  $a$ . We've got two easy fractions. The easy fractions each gave me an exponential. And the final result was this one. And I don't know if you remember that. That is the correct solution to the first order linear constant coefficient equation, the simple equation there, when the right-hand side is  $e$  to the  $ct$ .

So our final solution then is the null solution with the initial value in it. And that function comes from the right-hand side, comes from the force,  $e$  to the  $ct$ . And so that's how Laplace transforms work. Take the Laplace transform of every term. Solve for  $y$  of  $s$ , and try your best to invert that transform. OK. More of that coming in the next lecture on Laplace transforms. Thank you.